

PART - II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

S. No. of proposal.....

I	Location of the project / Scheme:	Rehabilitation and up-gradation to two -lane with paved shoulder configuration of Koyyuru – Chaparathipalem – Lambasingi – Paderu Section of NH-516E in the State of Andhra Pradesh from (km 120/000 to km 253/94)
	(i) State / Union Territory	Andhra Pradesh
	(ii) District	Visakhapatnam
	(iii) Forest Division	Paderu
	(iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.)	0.24 ha
	(v) Legal status of forest.	Sirasapalli RF (Comp. no. 309) notified U/S 15 of A.P. Forest Act, 1967.
	(vi) Density of vegetation	0.5
	(vii) Species –wise (scientific names and diameter class –wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed, In case of irrigation / hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed)	Species wise tree enumeration list is enclosed.
	(viii) Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	The area is moderate to steep slope and there is little scope of soil erosion as the proposed area for diversion is very little.
	(ix) Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.	The proposed site for diversion is inside RF with in a distance of 5-10 m from boundary.
	(x) Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc., (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).	Does not arise. This area does not fall in National Park / Wild Life Sanctuary/ Biosphere reserve / Elephant corridor proposed in this area.
	(xi) Whether any rare/ endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area – if so details thereof.	Does not arise. There are no endangered /unique species flora and fauna in the area.
	(xii) Whether any protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area – If so, details thereof with NOC from competent authority.	-- No. -- There is no such area / site was included in the protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important is located in the area.

2.	Whether the requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part -I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item -wise with details of alternatives examined.	The requirement of Forest land for the project is unavoidable and barest minimum.
3	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	-- No.---
4	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:	
	(a) Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	The degraded forest land as CA land to the extent of 147.26 ha in 5 bits is identified wherein 2 bits (26.55 ha & 49.28 ha) in Araku range and remaining 3 bits (23.72 ha, 24.01 ha and 23.70 ha) in Pedabayalu range of Paderu division.
	(b) Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	Maps enclosed
	(c) Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	C.A scheme enclosed.
	(d) Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	Rs.758.70 lakh
	(e) Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests).	Enclosed
5	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in Co. 7 (xi, xii) 8 and 9 above.	Site inspection report enclosed. There are no endangered / unique species of Flora and fauna found in the proposed area and these areas are not falling in protected archeological heritage sites / defense establishments located in the area. The user agency selected the site on a cost effective basis and barest minimum land use.
6	Division / District profile.	
	(a) Geographical area of the Division.	3149.65 Sq.km.
	(b) Forest area of the Division.	1048.1191 Sq.km.
	(c) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	14.63 ha
	(d) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in	-

	the division since 1980 on	
	i) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation.	-
	ii) non - forest land	-
	(e) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date).....on	-
	i) forest land	-
	ii) non-forest land	-
7	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	Proposals are accepted as there is not much loss to flora and fauna, and this facilitates the conveyance to the local tribes with barest minimum disturbance to the environment.

Date: _____

Place: _____

Signature V. Lom
 Divisional Forest Officer
 Name PADERU
 Official seal _____