संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

्यमोह जिले में सतधारू जलाशय मध्यम सिंचाई (प्रेशराईज्ड पद्धिति योजना) परियोजना के कार्य अंतर्गत सब पावर स्टेशन एवं पाईप लाईन बिछाये जाने हेतु प्रस्तावित रकवा 0.98 हे0 (आवेदन कमांक/FP/MP/SUBSTATION/120657/2021) वनभूमि का दिनांक 28.02.2022 को वनक्षेत्र का संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया जो निम्नानुसार है:—

| S.N. | ाण किया गया जो निम्नानुसार है: Pariticular | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Extent is hectares. | S. | Range | Comp. | lenth | width | area |
| 1 | Extent is nectares. | No. | Range | No. | m. | m. | (h.) |
| | For Pipeline- | 1 | Damoh | PF-241 | 431 | 16 | 0.69 |
| | For Sustation- | 2 | Damoh | PF-241 | 50 | 58 | 0.29 |
| | | in a | | Total- | | | 0.98 |
| 2 | Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | According to Attached panchnama. | | | | | |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | Reserved forests | | | | | |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Yes | | | | | |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | No | | | | | |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | No | | | | | |
| 7 | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc. | Site Quality-V-B, Density 0.5 Mixed Composition. | | | | | |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | In T | he Applie at like m | d area | there is | some wil | d anima |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | Not applicable. | | | | | |
| 10 | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? | No. | | | | | |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | | do not hard d important. | | | | |
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on the land. | No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area. | | | | | |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No displacement proposed. | | | | | |
| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting voice. | | | | | |
| 15 | Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than kms. | | | Not a | pplicable | , | |
| 16 | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms. | propo Durga panna Noura | osed area osed area awati Singa a Tigar adehi Sanc | is at 30 gorgarh : Reserve |).0 kms Sanctuar | from Ve y, 65.0 k | erangan ms fron |
| 17 | Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of | No. | | | | | |

| | the tribal's have been recognized in the area. | |
|----|---|--|
| 18 | Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | Project is of great importance for Drinking Waters |
| 19 | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | It is application for allocation of fresh Extend fores land. |
| 20 | Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Not applicable |
| 21 | A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. | |
| 22 | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | No |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | To Facility of Drinking Water in Distt. Damoh. It is recommended to divert 0.98 ha. minimum forest land For this project. |

वनमंडलाधिकारी स्नान्य वनमण्डल,दमोह (म.प्र.)