## Check list for site inspection by the DFO

Inspection Date - 08/10/2019

Proposal No. – FP/MP/WATER/40849/2019

SI. No.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO
1	2	3
1.	Extent is hectares.	0.09 hac.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	A- 23 <sup>0</sup> 7'20.16"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 55'49.89"E B- 23 <sup>0</sup> 7'20.16"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 55'50.96"E C- 23 <sup>0</sup> 7'19.17"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 55'50.97"E D- 23 <sup>0</sup> 7'19.16"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 55'49.89"E
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Protected Forest -232 (Total Compartment area is transferred to S.F.R.I.)
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	No
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation site quality species composition etc.	Density – 0.2, Eco-class – Eco 3
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No
10.	Current land use. Is the area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not, why?	Total Compartment area is transferred to S.F.R.I., So there is no prescriptions are given in the Working Plan.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	The area has no historical value and not religious important.
12.	Any dependent persons/ families on this land.	No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement proposed.

Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	As no persons/ families are dependent on the proposed area therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable.
Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land.  Location of this area suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Not applicable.
Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Area is not part of any protected Area. Nearest Protected area is more than 20 kms.
Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	Rights of the tribal have been recognized and no rights of the tribal's recognized in the proposed area.
Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Drinking water will be made available to the people living vicinity the project.
In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.
Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Not applicable.
A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Not applicable.
Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	Not applicable.
Any other issue of significance.	No
Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Proposed forest land of 0.09 hect. shall be given to Executive Engineer, water resource department, Nagar Nigam Jabalpur for construction of high level water tank for surrounding areas. So thegeneral public shall not face drinking water problem.  Therefore, the proposed high level water tank construction in forest area is recommended and put up for approval.
	the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?  Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land.  Location of this area suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.  Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.  Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.  Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.  In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.  Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.  A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.  Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.  Any other issue of significance.  Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for

