

18

PART -II

(TO BE FILLED BY DEPUTY CUONSERVATOR OF FORERST)

Serial No. of Proposal FP/AR/ROAD/144693/2021

Dated: 31/08/2021

Sl.No.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	2	3
7.	Location of the Project/Scheme	Construction of Foot Track from Sariyo to Longchu
i).	State/ Union Territory	Arunachal Pradesh
ii)	District	East Kameng District
iii)	Forest Division	Seppa Forest Division
iv)	Area of forest land proposal for diversion (in ha)	35.305
v)	a) Legal status of Forest	USF
	b) Forest Type	Semi evergreen forest type
vi)	Density of Vegetation	0.5
vii)	Species – wise (scientific names) and diameter class wise enumeration of tree (to be enclosed). In case of irrigation /Hydel project enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter are to be Enclosed.	Enclosed
viii)	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	The area is slightly vulnerable to erosion during the rainy season.
ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from Boundary of forest	Proposed site is in unclassified state forest and aerial distance from nearest protected area (Pakke Tiger Reserve) is 64.10 km.
x)	Whether forms part of National park, wild life sanctuary , biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc (If so, the details of the area and comment of the Chief Wild Life Warden to be annexed)	No
Xi)	Whether any rare/ endangered / unit species of flora and fauna found in the area if so details thereof.	No
xii)	Whether any protected archeological /heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monuments is located in the area. If so, the detail thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	No
8.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col-2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area items wise with details of alternatives examined.	Yes, it is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. The location is more technically viable for the User Agency and involvement of forest area is also lesser than the alternate sites considered.
9.	Whether any work in violation of the act has been carried out (Yes or No). If yes details of the same including period of the work done action on erring officials.	No
i)	Whether work in violation is still in progress.	No
10.	Details of compensatory Afforestation scheme.	

i)	Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each	The area for CA is presently not available in Seppa forest division , may be taken up from state land bank
----	--	--

ii)	Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	Not Applicable as CA site is not available under this Division
iii)	Detailed Compensatory Afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, and cost structure.	Not Applicable as CA site is not available under this Division
iv)	Total financial outlay for compensatory Afforestation scheme.	Not Applicable as CA site is not available under this Division
v)	Certificates from for complete authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory Afforestation and from management point of view (to be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest).	Not Applicable
11.	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed especially highlighting facts asked in Col.7 (xi, xii)) , 8 & 9 above.	The proposed land for diversion is falling under unclassified State forest. There has been no site of archeological importance in the said area. Till date, no species falling under endangered list (flora or fauna) has been recorded The area ask for diversion is barest minimum. Till now no work has been done in violation of the FC Act, 1980. (Detailed Site Inspection report is enclosed)
12	Division /District profile	Seppa forest Division has total of 4 Ranges 1. Seppa Range 2. C/Tajo Range 3. Bameng Range 4. Khenewa Range There are no protected area in the division (National park, wildlife sanctuary etc.) The forest area mainly falls under USF.
i)	Geography area of the District	4134 sq. km
ii)	Forest area of the District	Approx 3000 sq. km
iii)	Total forest diverted since 1980 with No. of cases.	
iv)	Total Compensatory Afforestation stipulated in the District /Diversion since 1980	
	a) Forest land including penal Compensatory Afforestation	Kamrung VRF 92 ha Tamuk poruik VRF 14ha
	b) Non- forest Land	No CA has been undertaken on non-forest land till now

21

v)	Progress of Compensatory Afforestation as on date.	
	a) Forest Land	Progress up to date
	b) Non- forest Land	Not Applicable
13.	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	<p>The said proposal is very important for safety of Nation and will be used to reach border, carriage of goods, ammunition etc. along with providing connectivity to the people of Khenewa. So, project may be considered favorably.</p> <p>Whoever the user agency, must ensure that during the construction phase, No activity will done by the staffs and labors at construction site which is in violation with the Assam forest regulation Act, wildlife protection Act, and other relevant act in force at the time like hunting of wild animals and birds, felling of trees, collection of fuel-wood etc from the nearby forest area.</p>



Vikas, IFS

Divisional Forest Officer
Seppa Forest Division

Divisional Forest Officer
Seppa Forest Division