## SITE INSPECTION REPORT

	STILL INSTITUTE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF		
1.	Legal status of the forest land proposed for diversion		Un-Classified State Forest
2.	Item-wise breakup details of the forest land proposed for diversion.		7.64 hac. Entry point before tower location_AP43/0 (93°48'04.78",27°12'01.06") and Exit point after tower location AP49 (93°49'20.89",27°12'53.58").
3.	Whether proposal involves any construction of Buildings (Including residential or not) if any, Yes, details thereof.	:	NO
4.	Total cost of the project	:	
5.	Wildlife		NO
	Whether the forest area proposed for		
	diversion is important from wildlife point of view.	:	NO
6.	Total No. of trees to be felled	:	514
i)	No. of trees to be felled of girth below 120 cm	:	495
ii)	No. of trees to be felled of girth above 120 cm	:	19
7.	Background note of the proposal	:	Diversion of 7.640 hac. forest land for construction of 132 kV D/C LILO of one circuit of Pare HEP to North-Lakhimpur Transmission line at Nirjuli (POWERGRID) Substation in Arunachal Pradesh.
8.	Compensatory afforestation	:	15.3 hac.
i)	Whether land proposed for compensatory afforestation is suitable for plantation from management point of view or not	:	Yes
ii)	Whether land proposed for compensatory afforestation is free from encroachments/other incumbencies.	:	Yes
iii)	Whether land proposed for compensatory afforestation is important from religious point of view	:	No
iv)	Land identified for compensatory afforestation is in how many patches	:	Single patch
v)	Map with details		DI Chaernal.
vi)	Total financial cost	:	Enclosed As . 5678200/2

Act 1980 or not. If so, detail report on violation i/c action taken against the concerned officer  10. Whether proposed land involves displacement. If so, whether rehabilitation plan has been proposed by agency  11. Describe/furnish the rehabilitation plan : N/A  12. Details of catchment and command area under the project catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.  13. Cost Benefit ratio : N/A as this project is in the interest of State/Country.  14. Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project : This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  19. Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  20. No  21. Whether the land under diversion forms part or any unique co-system.	9.	Whether proposed land is violation of Forest Conservation	:	N/A	
10. Whether proposed land involves displacement. If so, whether rehabilitation plan has been proposed by agency  11. Describe/furnish the rehabilitation plan  12. Details of catchment and command area under the project catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.  13. Cost Benefit ratio  14. Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  19. Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  10. Whether the land under diversion forms  11. Whether the land under diversion forms  12. Whether the land under diversion forms  13. Whether the land under diversion forms  14. N/A  15. N/A  16. N/A  17. N/A  18. Whether project limits in the project is in the interest of State/Country.  18. Whether the land under diversion forms		Act 1980 or not. If so, detail report on violation i/c action			
11. Describe/furnish the rehabilitation plan  12. Details of catchment and command area under the project catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.  13. Cost Benefit ratio  14. Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  19. Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  19. Whether the land under diversion forms  10. Whether the land under diversion forms	10.	Whether proposed land involves displacement. If so,	:	No	
Details of catchment and command area under the project catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.  13. Cost Benefit ratio  14. Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  19. Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  19. Whether the land under diversion forms  10. No	11.		:	N/A	
Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value. i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion. ii) Whether the land under diversion forms  No	12.	Details of catchment and command area under the project catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of	:	N/A	
Conservator of Forests/State Government.  15. Recommendation of the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value. i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion. ii) Whether the land under diversion forms  No	13.	Cost Benefit ratio	:		
Conservator of Forests alongwith detailed reasons.  16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project : This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No	14.	Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.	:		
reasons.  Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms  No	15.		:		
Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether, there are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project  Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms  Regional Chief Conservator  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.					
are any other natives routes alignment for locating.  17. Utility of the project : This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No	16.	Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall	:		
locating.  17. Utility of the project  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  19. Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  20. This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  21. It is project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  22. It is project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  23. It is project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  24. It is project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  25. It is project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.					
17. Utility of the project  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  18. Whether land being diverted has any socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms  This project will not only generate revenue but also will be beneficial for the State & Country.  State & Country.					
socio cultural religious value.  i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No	17.		rev	revenue but also will be beneficial for	
<ul> <li>i) Whether any scared groves or very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.</li> <li>ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No</li> </ul>	18.	·	:	•	
old growth trees/forests exist in the :No area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No					
area proposed for diversion.  ii) Whether the land under diversion forms :No			:No		
,					
part or any unique co-system.		ii) Whether the land under diversion forms	:No		
		part or any unique co-system.			

19. Situation W.R.T. to any P.A. : No

20. Any other information relating to the project. The

The project area is situated in the USF area of the Sagalee Forest Division. The distance of the Project from Itanagar Wild Life Sanctuary is around 8 Km. This project will be beneficial to the State/country as a while.

Divisional Forest Officer Sagalee Forest Division Sagalee

Divisional Forest Officer Sagalee Forest Division Sagalee