

FIELD INSPECTION NOTES OF THE FOREST DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KAGHAZNAGAR

Inspected the site on 26.09.2018 proposed area for permission an area of **168.4299 Ha** for laying of new third Broad-gauge (BG) railway line along the existing tracks within the railway boundary between Makidi and Rechini road railway stations in Komarambheem Asifabad District in favor of South Central Railway, Secunderabad, the proposed area is falling in Kaghaznagar and Sirpur Range along with concerned staff. As per the field verification of the proposed laying of new third Broad-gauge (BG) railway line is passing through the following Reserve Forests. The details of which are as follows.

Sl. No.	Range	Section	Beat	Compt. No	Name of the RF	Length in Mts	Width in Mts (Average on either sides of the existing line)	Area in Ha
1	Kaghaznagar	Vempally	Vempally	84	Vempally	4080	110.00	44.1255
2			Vempaly	91	Achelly	1020	127.00	13.0000
						5100		57.1258
3	Sirpur	Sirpur	Peddabanda	92	Achelli	3730	150.00	55.9095
4		Makudi	Makidi	85	Garlapet	4443	84.00	37.1789
5			Makidi	86	Garlapet Ext.I	1790	102.00	18.2160
						9963		111.3044
			Total			15063		168.4299

The proposed laying of new third Broad-gauge (BG) railway line is passing through RF: Vempally & Achelly with the total length is **15063** meters with a width of average 114.60 meters on either sides of the existing railway line and the area required for diversion in Kaghaznagar Range is **168.4299 Ha** and the area to be diverted in Compt.No 84 & 91 of Vempally Beat of Vempally Section of Kaghaznagar Range, 92 of Peddabanda Beat of Sirpur Section and Compt No. 85 & 86 of Makidi Beat of Makidi Section in Sirpur Range.

In the proposed diversion area, no unique tree is found. No protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important monument is found in the area. The proposed area is not falling in National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere reserve, Tiger reserve, Elephant corridor, etc. **But the area is falling under corridor of Kawal Tiger Reserve** The proposed area is having 0.1 to 0.4 Density.



The area proposed for diversion is barest minimum and unavoidable without alternatives for the project. No violation against the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 has been carried out by the User Agency, hence recommended.


Forest Divisional Officer
Kaghanagar