

**Proposal for permission to use Restored Private Forest Land for Non Forest purpose and approval to Restoration Order of Survey No.40, Area 14.93 ha. of Village Valiv, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Palghar by Shri. Shokinkumar Shankarlal Jain.**

.....

**SITE INSPECTION REPORT**

Sr. No.	Items	Observation and Remarks
1.	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	Proposal for the diversion of Restored Private Forest Land for Non Forestry purpose at Village Valiv, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Thane, Area 14.93 ha.
	Location :- i) Division ii) Range iii) Round iv) S. No.	Thane Forest Division Mandvi Vasai 40
2.	Name of the User Agency	Shri. Shokinkumar Shankarlal Jain
3.	Date of the site inspection	11/11 /2017
4.	Extent (ha) and legal status of Forest land proposed for diversion	14.93 ha. Restored Private Forest land
5.	a) Details of Forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of Forest land b) Density of the Forest	Detailed Area Statement attached on Pg. No. ---- to ---- Upto 0.3
6.	Whether the requirement of Forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-1 is unavoidable and bearest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	Yes, the requirement is unavoidable as it is restored private forest land. Project proponent has proposed to use entire land of 14.93 ha. Item wise details have not been provided and no alternatives are proposed.
7.	Whether the proposal involved any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof.	Yes
8.	a) Whether Forest area proposed for diversion is important for wildlife point of view or not.	Area is adjacent to the boundry of RF and presence of wildlife indicate that it is important for wildlife.
	b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of florae and fauna found in proposed Forest land. If so, the details thereof.	No
	c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area(km)	1.5 kms. from Tungreshwar Wildlife Sanctuary.
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the Forest area likely to be affected due to project.	Area is sensitive from the point of wildlife conservation.
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If, yes, reason therefore.	No
9.	Details of Vegetation	NA

	a) Total number of trees to be felled.	735
	b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.	175
	c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm	560
	d) Effect if removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area	Reduced biodiversity and soil erosion.
10.	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	Project Summary attached on Page No.
11.	Whether the proposal involved any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	No.
12.	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes. Whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	No
13.	Details on catchments and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable) Status of catchments area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	No  No
14.	Utility of the Project	The Project proponent is seeking permission for Non-Forest use after learning that such permission is required. Further, the project proponent is ready to carry out necessary compensatory Afforestation and has offered alternate non-Forest land in lieu of the private Forest land if required. This underlines the concern of project proponent is in favour of Forest.
15.	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural / religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion.	No
16.	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	No
17.	Details of documents and photographs enclosed	No
18.	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (CCF/CF/DCF)	Since the land has been restored to the Project Authority by the

	Competent Authority under the Maharashtra Private Forest (Acquisition) Act, 1975. The proposal is recommended subject of ESZ and prior wildlife clearance.
--	--

  
(Dr. Jitendra Rangaokar)  
Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Thane Forest Division  
**Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
Thane Forest Division, Thane.**

Place : Thane

Date : 12/11/2017