

संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट को सागर जिले में प्रस्तावित कृषि मध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना के लिए वन भूमि के व्यपवर्तन हेतु 79.912 हे. वन भूमि की आवश्यकता हेतु आवेदित वन भूमि का दिनांक 24.01.2018 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, निरीक्षण उपरांत चेकलिस्ट अनुसार निरीक्षण प्रतिवेदन निम्नानुसार है।

Inspection Report by CCF (T.)				
S.No.	Particular	Sr.no.	Comp. No.	Arca(h.)
		1	PF 808	35.933
		2	PF 807	41.00
		3	PF 805	0.362
		4	PF 801	0.733
		5	PF 809	0.706
		6	PF 811	0.178
		7	PF 812	1.00
		<b>Total PF.</b>		<b>79.912 Ha</b>
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	According to attached list		
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	PF		
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	Yes		
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No		
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No		
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Site Quality-VB. Density 0.1 to 0.4 Comparison Mixed forest. <i>Comparison</i>		
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.		
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Not applicable.		
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why ?	Yes, RDF		
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view.		
12	Any dependent persons/families on the land	No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.		
13	Any displacement of persons proposed	No displacement proposed.		
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting voice.		
15	Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non- forest land. Location of the area suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area	Compensatory a forestation is being prepared on Non forest land (Revenue land). Area is suitable for CA and CA scheme is preparing in Single patch.		

2/12

	should be more than kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not a part of protected area. Proposed area is at 26 kms from Nauradehi Wild life Sanctuary.
17	Dependence of tribal s in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in the area.	Right of the tribal has been recognized. There are no tribal residing on the proposed area.
18	Utility of the project. including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Project is of great impotence from national point of view and also for development of agricultural and dirking water including generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier section order have been complied with.	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land.
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific Projects.	Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum in inevitable.
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for the diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate is obtained from the user agency.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring for the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Since Kaith irrigation scheme is a project of national importance and it will provide water for irrigation of agricultural land and Dirking water. It will also help adjoining forest area with better greenery and increase of natural regeneration of Flora and Fauna. Hence diversion of 79.912 h. of forest land is recommended for construction of aforesaid project.

Vikas Karan Verma  
(IFS)

Chief Conservator of Forest  
Sagar Circle Sagar