Inspection Report by DFO-Neemuch (M.P.)

Inspection Report by DFO-Neemuch (M.P.)								
SI No.	Particular	Inspection Report By DFO (T)						
1,	Extent is hectares.	0.5925 Hactare						
	Location (lat-long) of the forest land	Start Point End Point						
2.	proposed for diversion.	Point No.	Latitude	Longitude	Latit	tude	Longitude	
		01 02	24 ⁰ 33'20.0" 24 ⁰ 34'19.0"	75°27'38.1" 75°28'37.0"		3'26.5" 5'37.0"	75°27'46.5" 75°30'28.0"	
		03	24 ⁰ 32'50.0"	75"26'58.0"	24°32	2'17.0"	75"26'42.0"	
3.	Legal status of the forest land (protected forest, reserved forest, revenue forest lands	Block name Legal status compartment no.			no.			
	or any other forest land)	Mair	Mainpichore Reserved Forest 442,452,453,4				52,453,455	
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Done						
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	Nil						
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.							
7.	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Mixed forest of Salar, Moyan, Palash, Tendu, Neem etc. Site quality -VB						
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Rarely wildlife like Leopard, Hyena, Jackal, Hare Blue bull, Wild Pigs, Monkey are seen in this area.						
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Nil						
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working Plan and, if not, why?	Yes, Rehabilitation working Circle fall in this area.						
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	Nil						
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	Nil						
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	Nil						
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	Nil						
15.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land than what is the current Working plan prescription for the areas? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.		NA					

DM 2020 332

16.	proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should'be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not a part of any protected area, but proposed area is attached to the Gandhisagar Sanctuary.
17.	Dependence of tribals in the area. Wheather the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	Nil
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Improvement in Mobile & Internet Network is expected by this project.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Nil
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Nil
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate taken, which is inclosed as on page No. 17
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No Tree felling is required.
23.	Any other issue of significance.	-
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Project for sanction is recommended as per rule.

Place:- Neemuch Date:- 27-07-2020 (Kshitij Kumar) Divisional Forest Officer Neemuch (Territorial) (M.P.)