



Date: - 18 · 11 · 2021

The Divisional Forest Officer, Cuttack Forest Division, Cuttack.

Sub: - Proposal for diversion of 22.258 ha of balance forest land including 8.583 ha of safety zone as per Sabik settlement record as on 25.10.1980 in respect of Talangi – A Chromite mines in village Talangi &Ostapal in Sukinda Tahasil of Jajpur District of M/s IDCOL.

Ref: - Your Letter No. 7707/5F(Forest Diversion) 50/2018 dated 11.11.2021.

Sir,

With reference to the above, here we are complying the observations as desired by the Regional Chief Conservator of Forest, Angul circle, as follows:-

	0.	Compliances
1	As per online application 25.942 ha of non-forest land is involved in the proposal, but in check list Sl.No. 8, it has been mentioned that no non-forest is proposed for diversion which needs revised as per the proforma.	has been mentioned and revised as per the proforma.
2.	As per the CA scheme enclosed in the proposal, the non-forest land has been identified in village Madhupurgarh under Dharmasala Tahasil of Jajpur District, but in check list SI. No. 12, 17 & 18, undertaking has been furnished for village Abhayapur under Darpan Tahasil of Jajpur District.	In check list SI. No. 12, 17 & 18, undertaking has been furnished for village Madhupurgarh under Dharmasala Tahasil of Jajpur District.
3.	Land suitability certificate has been furnished for raising compensatory afforestation in respect of village – Abhayapur instead of village – Madhupurgarh.	Land suitability certificate has been furnished for raising compensatory afforestation in respect of village Madhupurgarh.
4.	The cost benefit analysis furnished at page - 80 to 83 of the DP has not	The cost benefit analysis furnished at page - 80 to 83 of the DP has been





	hoon eine the		
	been signed by the DFO.	signed by the DFO.	
5.	In part – II col. No. 10(i) regarding details of compensatory afforestation, it has been mentioned that non-forest land of 14 ha has been identified in village – Abhayapur which needs to be revised.	of compensatory afforestation, it has been revised and mentioned that non-	
6.	In the CA scheme enclosed in the DP, it is seen at page – 304 that 18 months old seedings will be raised for CA but in the cost norm 6 months old seedings has been calculated. In the mean-time the cost norm has been revised by the PCCF, Odisha and communicated to you vide Memo No – 18547, dated 02.11.2021 which may be referred to. Further the wage rate has also been revised from Rs 311/- to 315/- which should be taken in to account. Hance the CA scheme should be revised accordingly.	Request your good office to revise the wage rate in CA Scheme as per the cost norm.	
This is for your necessary action and for onward submission			

This is for your necessary action and for onward submission.

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

For IRC of Odisha Ltd.

Head (Geology)

Encl: - As above.