### COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

Name of Project: Construction of 6-lane structures on NH-1 Section (KM 407+000 to KM 444+794) on Brownfield Amritsar Spur of Delhi-Amritsar-Katra Expressway

Nature of Proposal: Diversion of 39.7656 ha of Forest land under FCA, 1980 for structure improvement

**Purpose:** The Cost Benefit analysis has been undertaken for proposed Diversion of Forest Land being affected due to rectification of Blackspots on existing NH-1 from KM 407+000 to KM 444+794 in the State of Punjab.

Total Length of the Project: 15.586 km

Number of districts involve: 02

Number of forest divisions involve: 02

Sl. No.	Forest Division	Proposed Forest Area for Diversion (ha)
1	Jalandhar	9.2556
2	Amritsar	30.510
Total		39.7656

### Table A: Cases under which a Cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion is required

Nature of Proposal	Applicable/Not Applicable	Remarks
All Categories of proposal involving forest land up to 20 hectares in plains and up to 5 hectares in hills	-Not Applicable-	These proposals may be considered a case-to-case basis and value judgments.
Proposed for defense installation purpose and oil prospecting only	Not Applicable	In view of national priority accorded to these sectors, the proposal would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use
Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodge complex and other building construction	Not Applicable	These activities being detrimental in protection and conservation of proposals would be rarely entertained.
All other proposal involving forest land more than 20 hectares in plain and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission line, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining	Applicable	These are cases where a cost benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest.
	All Categories of proposal involving forest land up to 20 hectares in plains and up to 5 hectares in hills Proposed for defense installation purpose and oil prospecting only Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodge complex and other building construction All other proposal involving forest land more than 20 hectares in plain and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission line, minor, medium and major itrigation projects,	Nature of ProposalApplicableAll Categories of proposalNot Applicableinvolving forest land up to 20hectares in plains and up to 5hectares in billsProposed for defenseProposed for defenseNot Applicableinstallation purpose and oilprospecting onlyHabitation, establishment ofNot Applicableindustrial units, tourist lodgeNot Applicablecomplex and other buildingConstructionAll other proposal involvingApplicableforest land more than 20hectares in plain and morethan 5 hectares in hillsincluding roads, transmissionline, minor, medium andmajor irrigation projects,hydroprojects, mining

Jalandhar Forest Officer, Jalandhar Forest Division, Jalandhar

খ্যানিৰ এমটাৰ সাম্পিয় সাম্পিয়াৰ বিশিষ্ঠ নৰ Minional High ways Authority of Torka ————, ন, ক্লোৱেৰণা চৰ্যাই, উদ্যোগ্য High-Amricsar

# COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

1	SL No.	Nature of Proposal	Applicable/Not Applicable	Remarks
		activity, railway line, location specific installations like microwave stations, auto repeater centers, TV tower etc.		

### Since, the proposal is for diversion of forest land measuring more than 20 hectare in plain area for the road project, cost benefit analysis report is applicable.

Table B: Estimation of Cost of forest diversion				
SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation	
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Economic value of loss of ecosystem services due to diversion of forest shall be the net present Value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by central Government (MoEF&CC) <b>Note:</b> In case of National parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times	INR 9,57,780/- (~9.58) lakhs per hectare (Tropical	
		the normal NPV and in case wildlife Sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority		
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maximum	The forest land proposed for diversion is roadside plantation notified by Govt. of Punjab as Protected Forest. No grazing activity is being / has been carried out on these patches. Therefore, no loss of animal husbandry productivity including loss of fodder will take place.	
nal Pore	ent Officer,	निर्देशक/Project Directo:	10% of NPV =10% of NPV ( <b>380.954448</b> lakhs) = <b>38.0954448</b> lakhs. So considered amount is <b>INR 380.954448</b> Lakhs.	
	est Division and	ति राष्ट्रीय राज्याः ional Highways Authonity of 2 मेजना कार्यान्वयन इक्राई, अमृतम्य J-Amritsar	Page 2 of 6	

#### Table R. Estimation of Cost of forest diversion

Page 2 of 6

## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved R & R plan.	NIL No resettlement in the forest land proposed for diversion.
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, buildings School, dispensaries, electric lines, railways etc) on forest land, or which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual basis at the time of diversion.	No loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure on forest land.
5	Possession value of forest land	30% of environment costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or	Possession value of Forest Land= 30 % of NPV
	diverted	circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forest land whichever is maximum	= 30% of 380.954448 lakhs = 114.2863344 Lakhs
6	Cost of Suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of Oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R & R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted	Nil as no Resettlement and Rehabilitation is required in forest land proposed to be diverted.
7	Habitat fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged	Habitat fragmentation Cost= 50% of NPV = 50% of 380.954448 Lakhs = 190.477224 Lakhs
RF I		at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	

## <u>COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH</u> GOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
	afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost		is about two times of the

### Table C: Existing Guidelines for estimating benefits of forest land diversion in CBA

SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
1	Increase in productivity attributable to the specific project	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms avoiding double counting	The proposal for which diversion of forest land is sought is for rectification of Blackspots on existing NH-1 from KM 407+000 to KM 444+794 in the state of Punjab. The proposed expansion shall reduce traffic congestion and result in time savings. Moreover, the proposed
			expansion will improve road safety, save fuel and cost, generate employment, boost tourism and commercial activities in nearby areas etc. The proposed project shall improve trade efficiency and bring economic growth in the region. Again, directly the project will have the potential for employment generation for local people during the construction period. The proposed project does not involve any manufacturing or production. Hence, this section is not applicable. Monetary benefits due to increase in productivity is NIL.
2	Benefits of economy due to the specific project	to the activities attributed to the specific project.	trade, saving in vehicular operation and maintenance cost, better connectivity, safer journey to commuter and saving of travel time. Improved road connectivity helps in better implementation and management of government schemes. It will provide last and economical

# <u>COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH</u> GOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
			transport of goods, after completion of project, the local people and industries situated in the area will be greath benefited. The project road will provid safe and fast, economical and environment friendly transportation to the State, which in term will accelerate the rate of growth in this area. The expansion of existing highway shall decongest the highway and results in time and fuel savings. Average Annual Daily Traffic = 10909 Passenger Car Unit (PCU).
			Fuel saving = avg. 1.5 Litre per PCU Average fuel cost = INR 90 per Litre
			Fuel saving on 10909 PCU = $1.5 \times 10909 = 16363.5$ Litres per day
			Savings (in monetary terms) = 16363.5 x 90 = 1472715 INR = INR 14.72715 Lakhs
			Total benefits in 40 years = $14.72715 \times 40 \times 365 = INR$ 215016.39 Lakhs
	No. of population benefited due to specific project	-	Population of Jalandhar district (2193590), Kapurthala district (815168) and Amritsar district (2490656) will be benefitted due to proposed development.
	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect	As per the detailed project report	A total of 430174 man-days employment will be generated during construction phase for skilled/unskilled labour.
	employment due to the project.		Base wage rate for the year 2019 – 20 is INR360 per day. Total financial implication will come out to be = 430174x360= <b>INR</b> <b>1548.6264 Lakhs</b>
	Economic benefits due to Compensatory	compensatory forestation accruing	In lieu of total trees to be removed from the proposed Row in forest land along the project road, it is proposed to undertake at least twice of affected area
	Afforestation	over next 50 years	

T कारणावा मार्थापाटा विकास हका करियोजना कार्यान्वयन हका National High PIU-Aniritsar

Jalandhan Forest Div Jalandhar

## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOI FC GUIDELINES NO-7-69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

SL No.	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
		ministry for NPV	The Compensatory Afforestation will be done in <b>79.53</b> ha of degraded forest land which is down the line would be having a density of minimum 0.7. The

### Summary of Cost –Benefit Analysis for the Project

SL No.	Cost (in Lakh)	Benefit (Lakh)
1	Ecosystem services losses =INR 352.720872 Lakhs	Benefits of economy due to the specific project = INR 215016.39 Lakhs
2	Loss of Animal Husbandry Productivity including loss of Fodder = <b>INR 38.0954448 Lakhs</b> .	
3	Possession Value of Forest Land diverted = Rs 114.2863344 Lakhs	Ecology gains due to Compensatory Afforestation = INR 4031.85288 lakhs
4	Habitat Fragmentation Cost = INR 190.477224 Lakhs	
5	Compensatory Afforestation and Soil and Moisture Conservation = INR 453.321 Lakhs	
	Total Cost = INR 1177.134451 Lakhs	Total Benefit = INR 220596.86928 Lakhs

Cost Benefit Ratio:- 2205596.86928/1177.134451 = >1 Therefore, project will have multifold benefit on the economy.

Date: 12.12.2022 Place: Amritsar

Divisional Forest Office Jalandhar Forest Jalandha

(Sunil Yadav) Project Director NHAI, PIU-Amritsar