

पत्र संख्या 37 21/16C
सेवामें,

दिनांक 21/12/20...../17

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग,
रुद्रप्रयाग।

विषय— वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/10625/2015 गैठाणा सिरवाडी मोटर मार्ग का नव निर्माण कार्य हेतु वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्य हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ— भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगाई गयी आपत्ति दिनांक 14.11.2017।

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है—

Sr.	EDS Details	EDS Removed as follows
	Following shortcomings still remain in the online reply dated 02.11.2017 of the State Govt. EDS dated 04.07.2017, which may be attended by the State Government:-	
1	In reply to point no.3, it is mentioned that proposed CA area is near proposed road are thus may be seen overlapping with road area which is not actual case. However, perusal of the KML file of CA area uploaded at para 13 Part-II as well as para-L Part-I online shows presence of two polygons of CA and nothing has been mentioned as to which polygon pertains to the CA area proposed in this case. Shape of the CA area given in the geo referenced digital map is matching with one of these polygons but the alignment of the proposed road is still seen passing from the middle of this polygon of CA. Further, the reply of the State Govt. that this overlap is due to nearness of CA area to the proposed road is not tenable. State Govt. may clearly indicate and upload scanned copy of geo referenced digital map and KML file of only one CA area pertaining to this case and ensure that there is no overlap of proposed alignment of the road with the CA area. Original hard copy of digital map of CA area may also be provided.	Corrected Geo-reference digital map & KML file of CA area pertaining to this case has been uploaded at <u>L (iv) ©, L (v)</u> . Topo sheet showing CA area as also been uploaded at <u>L (vi)</u> Note:- Original Hard copy of digital map of CA area has been delivered to the Nodal Office by Special Messenger.
2	In reply to point no.4, it is mentioned that the density is 0.6 but going by the no. of trees proposed to be felled which works out at 55 per ha, this does not appear correct. State Govt. may review the density.	This EDS related to DFO
3	NPV has not been furnished which may be done and while doing so observation at S. No. 2 above may also be kept in view.	This EDS related to DFO
4	In reply to point no.5, though it is mentioned that the VLC meeting proceeding for village Sirwadi & Bhelunta have been uploaded but uploaded in sec-K Part-I but the VLC meeting proceeding in respect of Bhelunta village have not been found uploaded and only attendance sheet in respect of VLC meeting of Sirwardi village have been found uploaded without any proceedings of this meeting.	VLC meeting proceeding for Sirwadi has been uploaded at <u>K of part I</u> . Bhelunta is not a revenue village. Hence VLC level proceeding for Bhelunta are uploaded. <u>VLC level proceeding of Revenue village Badhani is uploaded as Bhelunta comes under Revenue village Badhani.</u>

अधिशासी अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो0नि0वि0
रुद्रप्रयाग।