

Site inspection report regarding Relocation of forest village Suplai Part-4 under option I and II from satpura Tiger Reserve Hoshangabad

S.No.	particular	Inspection Report By DFO (T)
1.	Extent in hectares	79.939 Ha.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion	PF 273 Land proposed for diversion lies between N 22°39'25.2" E 78°6'47.88" and N 22°39'15.48" E 78°6'47.88" (geo reference Map is attached in proposal)
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forests lands or any other forest land)	Range-sohagpur PF-273 area-79.939 hac. Beat- Pathrai Block-Dundadeh
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation of the area is done on the field.
5.	Any signs of encroachment	No encroachment.
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation, Site quality, species compositions etc.	The crown density of forest is 0.4 The main species are Tinsa , Bija, Haldu, Shesham etc.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No endangered wild life is reported in this area.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No uniqueness.
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why?	Managed under working plan prescription.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No historical importance.
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No families are dependent on the land.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement is required.
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	Not applicable.
15.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for C.A. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	Not applicable.
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not the part of any Protected area. It is also 10 kms far away from any protected area.

17.	Dependence of tribal in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	There are no rights on the land proposed.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The project will facilitate availability of 113.310 Ha. land inside the Satpura Tiger Reserve for developing habitate for wild animals.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in this earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Alternatives were examined and decided with concurrence of villagers from the village proposed to be shifted.
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forest purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate from user agency Satpura Tiger Reserve is enclosed in the project.
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	The fruit bearing trees will be saved and also some trees beside the proposed roads, houses and fields will also be saved.
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No other issue.
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	The relocation will make available 113.310 ha. of desirable meadow, which will support a wide range of wildlife ranging from small insects to large herbivores and simultaneously will form prey-base for the carnivores. So I recommend this area for above relocation.

Date :30-06-2021
Place : Hoshangabad


Divisional Forest Officer
Division Hoshangabad(T)

Site inspection report regarding Relocation of forest village Suplai Part-3 under option I and II from satpura Tiger Reserve Hoshangabad

S.No.	particular	Inspection Report By DFO (T)
1.	Extent is hectares	100.00 Ha.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion	RF 225- Part-1 Land proposed for diversion lies between N 22°37'43.12" E 77°59'34.58" and N 22°37'17.96" E 77°59'20.33" RF 225- Part-2 land proposed for diversion lies between N 22°37'43.31" E 77°59'22.40" and N 22°37'18.25" E 77°59'18.22" (geo reference Map is attached in proposal)
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forests lands or any other forest land)	Range-sohagpur RF-225 area-100 hac. Beat- North Bagda Block-Bagda
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation of the area is done on the field.
5.	Any signs of encroachment	No encroachment.
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation, Site quality, species compositions etc.	The crown density of forest is 0.5 The main species are Teak, Tinsa , Bija, Haldu, Shesham etc.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bier life retiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	From wild life view, it is a good habitatof animal like Bear, Deer etc. No endangered wild life is reported in this area.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No uniqueness.
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why?	Managed under working plan prescription.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No historical importance.
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No families are dependent on the land.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement is required.
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	Not applicable.

15.	Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for C.A . If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Not applicable.
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not the part of any Protected area. It is also 10 kms far away from any protected area.
17.	Dependence of tribal in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	There are no rights on the land proposed.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The project will facilitate availability of 113.310 Ha. land inside the Satpura Tiger Reserve for developing habitate for wild animals.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in this earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Alternatives were examined and decided with concurrence of villagers from the village proposed to be shifted.
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forest purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate from user agency Satpura Tiger Reserve is enclosed in the project.
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	The fruit bearing trees will be saved and also some trees beside the proposed roads, houses and fields will also be saved.
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No other issue.
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	The relocation will make available 113.310 ha. of desirable meadow, which will support a wide range of wildlife ranging from small insects to large herbivores and simultaneously will from prey-base for the carnivores. So I recommend this area for above relocation.

Date :19-04-2021
Place : Hoshangabad


Divisional Forest Officer
Division Hoshangabad(T)

Site inspection report regarding Relocation of forest village Suplai Part-2 under option I and II from satpura Tiger Reserve Hoshangabad

S.No.	particular	Inspection Report By DFO (T)
1.	Extent in hectares	50.00 Ha.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion	Land proposed for diversion lies between N 22°29'51.95" E 77°41'33.25" and N 22°29'48.82" E 77°41'33.68" (geo reference Map is attached in proposal)
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forests lands or any other forest land)	Range-Itarsi Block-Khori Beat-Tangna PF-179 Protected Forest
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation of the area is done on the field.
5.	Any signs of encroachment	No encroachment.
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation, Site quality, species compositions etc.	The crown density of forest is 0.3 to 0.4. The main species are Teak, Saja, Tendu, Haldu etc.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life, reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	From wild life view, it is a good habitat and almost all wild animals are found here. No endangered wild life is reported in this area.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No uniqueness.
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why?	Managed under working plan prescription.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No historical importance.
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No families are dependent on the land.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement is required.
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	Not applicable.
15.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for C.A . If in the degraded forest land then what is	Not applicable.

	the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Not applicable.
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not the part of any Protected area. It is also 10 kms far away from any protected area.
17.	Dependence of tribal in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	There are no rights on the land proposed.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The project will facilitate availability of 113.310 Ha. land inside the Satpura Tiger Reserve for developing habitate for wild animals.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in this earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Alternatives were examined and decided with concurrence of villagers from the village proposed to be shifted.
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forest purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate from user agency Satpura Tiger Reserve is enclosed in the project.
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	The fruit bearing trees will be saved and also some trees beside the proposed roads, houses and fields will also be saved.
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No other issue.
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	On this site Plantation has been done in the year 2018-19, in which 4.5 lakhs have been spent so far. So The applicant department consents for the depositing this amount in Champa head. On this condition I recommend for the the displacement of forest village Suplai Part-2.


Divisional Forest Officer
Hoshangabad

Place : Hoshangabad
Date :19-12-2020

Site inspection report regarding Relocation of forest village- Suplai Part-1 under option I and II from satpura Tiger Reserve Hoshangabad

S.No.	particular	Inspection Report By DFO (T)
1.	Extent in hectares	110.00 Ha.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion	Land proposed for diversion lies between N 22°28'22.56" E 77°39'53.65" and N 22°28'12.72" E 77°40'12.34" (geo reference Map is attached in proposal)
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forests lands or any other forest land)	Range-Itarsi Block-Khori Beat-Golandoh PF-174 Protected Forest
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation of the area is done on the field.
5.	Any signs of encroachment	No encroachment.
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation, Site quality, species compositions etc.	The crown density of forest is 0.5 to 0.6 . The main species are Teak, Saja, Dhawda, Tendu, etc.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	From wild life view, it is a good habitat and almost all wild animals are found here. No endangered wild life is reported in this area.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No uniqueness.
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why?	Managed under working plan prescription.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No historical importance.
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No families are dependent on the land.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement is required.

14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	Not applicable.
15.	Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for C.A . If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Not applicable.
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not the part of any Protected area. It is also 10 kms far away from any protected area.
17.	Dependence of tribal in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	There are no rights on the land proposed.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The project will facilitate availability of 113.310 Ha. land inside the Satpura Tiger Reserve for developing habitate for wild animals.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in this earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Alternatives were examined and decided with concurrence of villagers from the village proposed to be shifted.
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forest purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate from user agency Satpura ' Tiger Reserve is enclosed in the project.
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	The fruit bearing trees will be saved and also some trees beside the proposed roads, houses and fields will also be saved.
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No other issue.

<p>24. Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.</p>	<p>In this compartment Urjavan Plantation has been done in the year 2018-19 in which Rs 900000.00 (Nine Lakh) have been spent so far. So The applicant department consents for the depositing this amount in Campa head. On this condition I recommend for the the displacement of forest village.</p>
--	--


Divisional Forest Officer
Division

Place : Hoshangabad

Date : 14.01.2021