Site Inspection Report Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No Desk-17-4/1445

| Cr NI- | Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No.Desk-17-4/1445, Dated. 5/11/2015 | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Sr.No | Items | Observations and remarks | | | |
| 1 | Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat) | Proposal of Diversion of Forest land required for Construction of 800 KV HVDC Raigarh - Pugalur Transmission Line. | | | |
| | Range | Bedgaon, Purada, Kurkheda, Delanwadi and Armori | | | |
| | Round | Bethkathi, Korchi, Bedgaon, Kohaka, Ramgad, Purada, Gothangaon, Sonsari, Palasgaon, Vairagad & Armori | | | |
| | Beat | Bori, Mundipar, Bethkathi, Dawandi, Bedgaon, Banjari, Andhli, Purada, Yedapur, Ramgad, Chirchadi, Chandagad, Shivani, Sawalkheda, Vairagad, Wasala | | | |
| 2 | Name of the User Agency. | Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Bramhpuri | | | |
| 3 | Date of site inspection. | 07 Nov 2017 | | | |
| 4 | Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. | 168.66 Ha. (RF) 136.53 Ha. (PF) 3.14 Ha. (Zudapi Jungle) 7.30 Ha. (UF) 13.15 Ha. Big Tree Forest 328.78 Ha. | | | |
| 5 | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land.b) Density and Eco-value class | Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity wise breakup of forest land are attached Density- 0.4 and above Eco-valueClass-III | | | |
| 6 | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative | | | | |

| | examined. | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 7 | Whether the proposal involves | No. | 1 |
| | any construction of building | | |
| | (including residential) or not? If | | |
| | yes, details thereof. | | |
| 8 | a) Whether forest area proposed | a) The Transmiss | sion Line passes |
| | for diversion is important from | through Tiger Corridor at Villag | |
| | wildlife point of view or not. | Temli , Jamtola, Patanwada | |
| | | Chamorshi Chak | |
| | | Division. | |
| | b) Details of any rare of | b) | |
| | endangered or unique species of | Common Name | Scientific Name |
| | flora and fauna found in | Tiger | Panthera Tigris |
| | proposed forest land. If so, the | Panther | Panthera |
| | details thereof. | | Pardus |
| | | Hyaena | Hyaena hyaena |
| | | Wild Dog | Cuon Allpinus |
| | | Wolf | Canis lupus |
| | | Bison | Bos gaurus |
| | | Wild boar | Sus cristantus |
| | | Flying squirrel | Petaurista petaurista |
| | | c) Navegaon W/L | |
| | | Caprala W/L at | |
| | | T.A.T.R. W/L at | |
| | c) Aerial distance from the | a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | 10.0 10.111. |
| | nearest boundary of any | d) The Transmiss | ion I ine passess |
| | protected Area (km.) | through Tiger Con | |
| | () | Temli , Jamtola, F | |
| | | Chamorshi Chak | |
| | | Division. | 101000 |
| | d) Remarks about sensitivity of | e) To avoid chan | ces of |
| | the forest area likely to be | electrocution, the | |
| | affected due to project: | through forest are | |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | covered within in: | |
| | | consulting the ex | |
| | | 3 3 | |
| | e) Whether wildlife mitigation | | |
| | plan is required? If yes, reasons | | |
| | there for: | | |
| 9 | Details of vegetation: | | |
| | a) Total number of trees to be | a) 36571 | |
| | | 4) 00011 | |
| | felled. | | |

| | girth below 60 cm: | |
|-----|--|---|
| | c) Number of trees to be felled of | c) 19808 |
| | girth above 60 cm: | -34 |
| | d) Effect of removal of trees on | d) 36571 |
| | the general ecosystem in the | |
| | area. | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| 10 | Background note on the proposal (short summary) | This Project has great National Importance for evacuating the |
| | | bottled up power surplus States to power deficit Southern States and will also support to provide additional system strengthening of National Grid. Strengthening of Transmission Network across various States of India. |
| 11 | Whether the proposal involves | No. |
| | any violation of Forest | 140. |
| | (Conservations Act 1980? If yes, | |
| | a detailed report on violation | |
| | including action taken against | |
| | the concerned officials to be | |
| | attached. | |
| 12 | Whether the proposal involves | Not required |
| | rehabilitation of displaced | an od |
| | person. If yes, whether | |
| | rehabilitation plan has been | |
| | approved by the State | £ |
| | Government? | |
| 13 | Details on catchment and | Not Applicable |
| | cultural command area under the | . Tot Applicable |
| | project (if applicable) | |
| | project (ii applicable) | |
| | Status of catchment area | Not Applicable |
| | treatment plan to prevent | Not Applicable |
| | siltation of reservoir (if | |
| | applicable) | |
| 14 | Utility of the project | Power Strongth and a |
| | 2 5 51 6 50 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 | Power Strengthening of |
| | | Transmission Network across |
| 15 | Whether land being diverted has | various States of India |
| 10 | | No. |
| | , and an interest of the second of the secon | |
| 2 | values? Whether any sacred | |
| I . | grove of very old growth trees/ | |

| | forests exists in the areas proposed for diversion? | |
|----|---|--|
| 16 | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required) | No. |
| 17 | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | Site inspection Photographs are attached with proposal. |
| 18 | Recommendations of the inspection Officer (CCF/CF/DCF) | The proposal is recommended for sanction under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 subject to Compliance of condition mentioned at 8(e). |

Place: - Wadsa

Date:- 13-12-2017

(S. B. Fule)

Dy. Conservator of Forest, Wadsa Division, Wadsa



Dy. Conservator of Forest Wadsa, Dn. Wadsa