UTTARAKHAND

कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोक निर्माण विभाग
रूद्रप्रयाग

Website-http://pwd.uk.gov.in Fax: 01364-233204, E-mail ID: eepwd_rpg@rediffmail.com

पत्र संख्या 38 / 16 C/T दिनांक 03/01/2018

सेवामें,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग
रूद्रप्रयाग।

विषय– **वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/14707/2015 भौसाल चौण्ड की सड़क से तिन्सी तोक तक मोटर मार्ग का नव निर्माण कार्य हेतु 0.1925 हे0 वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।**

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है–

Sr.	EDS Details	EDS Removed as follows
1	In reply to point No.1 the correct component wise breakup has been provided with the reply and it is mentioned that the same has also been corrected online at B 2.4 Part-I online. However, as per the component wise breakup provided with the reply the area proposed for diversion is totalling to 0.1705 ha compared to 0.1925 ha area in the corrected component wise breakup uploaded online at B 2.4 Part-I. State Govt. may correct this mismatch in the component wise breakup as well as in the area proposed for diversion. The State Govt. should also ensure that the correct extent of area proposed for diversion may be filled in all the columns online as well as in the reply to the EDS.	Component wise break up has been corrected at B-2.4 Para I.
2	In reply to point No.2, it is mentioned that Tinsi is a Tok of Revenue Village Bhushal and proceedings of VLC meeting for Revenue Village Bhushal was conducted which has been corrected and filled up at para B 2.3 of online Part-I and documents uploaded at para-K (i) (a). Though, in para –B 2.3, the name of village has now been changed as Bhushal (Tinsi Tok) now, but in the proceedings of VLC meeting uploaded at para-K (i) (a) the name of the village is mentioned as Bhushal (Chond) in para-2 of the proceedings. Thus, the name of Bhushal (Tinsi Tok) is still not mentioned in the proceedings of the VLC meeting.	Mismatch regarding the name of village Bhaushal (Chaund) has been corrected.
3	In reply to point No.4, though the hard copy of the proposal has been submitted but all the documents available in the hard copy are photocopies and not the original documents or attested photocopies. State Govt. may provide hard copy of the proposal folder containing all original or attested copies of the documents. However, the FRA certificate and the geo-referenced digital maps of proposed road and CA should be in original.	Hard copy containing all original documents has been sent letter No. 2359/16C dated 25-10-2017 letter attached.

अधिशासी अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो0नि0वि0
रूद्रप्रयाग।