#### Attachment - 3

### COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR DIVERSION OF PROTECTED (PF) FOREST LAND

Name of Project:-" <u>Rehabitation and Up-gradation of Widening & Strengthening of Meerut</u> <u>Budaun Road (SH-18) Ch. 163.000 to 208.400 Two lane from to Four Lane.</u>"

Nature of Proposal:- Diversion of 64.60 Ha protected forest land under FCA 1980 for Widening from Two Lane to Four Lane of existing road. Total Length of Project Road section:- 45.40 Km.

Number of District through which project road traverse - 01 No. i.e. Budaun.

# Total length of the project road along the Protected Forest/Reserve Forest.

Under Social Forestry Division, Budaun (Existing Km. 163 to 208.400) = 45.40 (Design Length)

#### Total Forest area proposed for diversion

Under Social Forestry Division, Budaun = 64.60 Hect(PF)

**Purpose:-** The Cost Benefit Analysis is being undertaken as the required forest land is > 20 hectare for proposed diversion of Forest land being affected due to widening (Four Laning) of existing road for above said project.

# Cost Benefit Analysis as per Guideline for Forest Land Diversion - 2017

51.	Nature of Proposal	Applicable / Not Applicable	Remarks
1.	All Categories of proposal involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectare in hills.	Not Applicable	These proposals may be considered on a case to case basis and value judgments.
2.	Proposal for defense installation purpose and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not Applicable	In view of national priority accorded to these sectors, the proposals would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use.
3.	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodge complex and other building construction.	Not Applicable	These activities being detrirmental to protection and conservation of proposals would be rarely entertained.
<b>.</b>	All other proposal involving forest land more than 20 hectare in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads,	Applicable	These are cases where a cost – benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to

Table- A:- Cases Under Which a Cost – benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

तिमध्य अभियन्ता दिल्लाय अभियन्ता विमांव अप लोठ विठ विठ भिमाद कुमाट) अधिजाली वर्णियण्डा विवाप वर्ण्ड, बो॰ वि॰ वि॰

transmission line, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway line, location specific installations like microwave stations, auto	non-forest use in the overall public interest.
 repeater centres, TV towers tc.	

Since the proposal is for diversion of forest land measuring more than 20 hectare in plain area for road project, cost benefit analysis report is applicable.

Table-B:- Estimation of cost of forest diversion

नवर्ष

5.00

SL Param	eters Given Cridal	
SL Param 1. Ecosystem se losses due to forest diversion	etersGiven GuidelinervicesEconomic value of loss of ecosystem services due to diversion of forests shall be present value (NPV) of the land being diverted as prese by Central Government (M CC).Note:- In case of Nationa the NPV shall be ten (10) ti normal NPV and in case V sanctuary the NPV shall I 	e the net e forest cribed loEF & Method loEF to al Parks mes the Wildlife be five IPV or y the npetent
Loss of animal	of environment and ecos scrvices loss:- The concept of Present Value of the forest diverted is a scientific meth calculating the environmental and other losses caused di diversion of forest land for forestry purposes, The represents the net value of val ecosystem services and environmental services monetary terms which the f would have provided if the fe would not have been diverted. To be quantified and expressed	of Net t land hod of al cost lue to non- NPV arious other in forest forest
husbandry produc Including loss of fodder	tivity. monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maxim	u i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

विमाणि सम्ब, तीक जि० क्रिक

Г			
		Charles and The Although the	estimated for about 64.60
1		Trent de la construction de la const	hect. Will be 64.60 x5 x 100
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$= 32300.00/\text{Yr} \times 50 \text{ years} =$
1		The second se	Rs. 16,15000/-
		A Print of the second of the	RS. 10,13000/-
		Wind Strength Town of Strength on St.	Further considering 10% of
		totars here her in the second and a second	NPV Will be = $518.738$ lack
			$(NPV) \ge 0.1 = 51.874 \text{ Lac.}$
			So Considered amount
			1
	·		(maximum one) is Rs. 51.874 lakh.
3.	indinar	To be quantified and expressed in	
	resettlement	monetary terms on actual terms as	
		per approved R & R Plan.	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
4.	Loss of public facilities	Televice R & R Plan.	residing in forest land.
1	and administration	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	No loss of public
	and administrative	monetary terms on actual cost	infrastructure like Roads,
	infrastructure (Roads,	basis of the time of diversion.	
	building schools,		hospital etc are investigated.
	dispensaries, elelctric		However, there will be some
	line, railway, etc.) on		utility shifting like,
	forest land and 1		electricity pole, telephone
	forest land, which		line, OFC cable etc from
	would required forest		Proposed Row located in
	land if these facilities	A STATE OF A	forest land.
	were diverted due to	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	
	the project.		The likely cost of these
	1 - 5		utility shifting is estimated
5-	Possession value of	0000	Rs. 670.00 Lac.
5-		30% of environmental cost (NPV)	Possession Value of forest
	forest land diverted	due to loss of forest or circle rate	land will be (considering
		of adjoining area in the district	30% of MINU
		should be added as a cost	30% of NPV) = 0.3 x
			518.738 = 155.62 Lac
		component as possession value of	per hectare land rate along
		forestland whichever is maximum.	the highway in district
	STREAM IN THE SHARE		Buduan it very between
		Note 2:- Possession value of forest	17.00 Lac to 85.00 lac. in
		land diverted:- The forest land	17.00 Lac 10 05.00 lac. In
		diverted for the project and	different revenue villages
		diverted for the project such as	along the state highway. For
		irrigation, hydropower, railways,	estimation purpose average
		rouds, while and traininission lines	rate considered along the
		and mining etc are unlikely to be	road in Floo 111
			hectare.
			So Possession value of forest
		about of the net present value	land (As per average circle
		( i ) of torest land diverted or	rate) = $64.60$ hect. x 51 Lac
		market rate of adjoining area in the	= 3294.60 lac.
			P. A
		0.0 mm = 1 // // ·	So Considered amount
			(Maximum one) is Rs.
		forest land" in addition to the	3294.60 Lac.
		environmental cost due to loss of	
-	()	forests.	and the second
•	Cost of suffering of	The social cost of rehebitation of 1	VII. no resettlement &
	oustes.	oustes (in additional to the cost r	VII. no resettlement &
	Kleinsply		chaoitation is identified or
	Ca 2 1778	Line	
	(ano an o Juli)	M.1.18	
	রহায়ক জানিত্বন্যা	( जत्राय/ कमार)	
	নিলাৰ জাত লাত নিত বিত	and an and a second sec	
	w w w w w w w w w w w		

वियांग सन्द्र, जो॰ ति॰ वि॰ बचायू"

बदायूँ

4.

		likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and socia services as pcr R & R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustes should have earned in two years had he not been shifted.	<ul> <li>is proposed to be diverted.</li> <li>Also the community residing along the project road is not dependent on forest or forest produce.</li> <li>There will not be any losses on this account as diversion of the forest land to this project will not affect any house or structure in protected forest area which is</li> </ul>
8.	Habitat fragmentation cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	basically a linear plantation. Habitat fragmentation cost is
9.	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost.	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value.	44020971/ Car (4.60)

Table – C – Existing guideline for estimating benefit of forest diversion in Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA)

SI.	Parameter	Given guidelines	E L i
1.	Increase productively attribute to the specific project.	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms avoiding double Couting.	Evaluation The proposed project for which diversion of forest land is sought is for widening of Existing road. The project road will improve accessibility to the region. This will help in both economic & social development in the region. The project will enable smooth accessibility in the region by which people of the region will be directly benefited. This will accelerate industrialization/ commercialization in region and the same will directly generate maximum employment opportunities in these areas and boosting up the economy of the region and state. Again directly the project will have the potential for temporary employment generation for local people 200-250 for 2 years generating 135000.00 man days during construction period.
	Wunt		A

File Part

No Maria	
2. Be	enefits to economy The ingramment I
du	te to specific project. The incremental Economic benefit in terms of i
	due to the commentation and maintenance
	due cost better com interior and maintenance
	activities attributed in a commuter and saving of travel time.
	attailed a
	specific project. Improved road connectivity helps in better
	specific project. Improved road connectivity helps in better
	Sovernment sol
	economical transport of provide last and
	Completion of D
	Industries situated in industries and
	benefited. The wideping of will be greatly
	plovide set a out plotect road will
	Cuvilonment C
	State which in term will accelerate the rate of growth in this area.
	growth in this area.
	In addition to that there are several other benefits that may accrue due to several other
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	benefits that may accrue due to saving in fuel,
	reduction in the due to saving in first
	Indiffenance - 1 Commute vehicle
	110Wever than I full contents of the start
The second	It will be a from the outer (ugantified and
3. No	Valiables " During to the poly of the light
1 1.00	1 DODINATION A HULDOSSING as 1
benefiter	alle to have a started the proposed
specific	D.A. X in dial willow is nort of
	connect Bareilly, Shahjahanpur, Bulandshahar, Merrut, Ghaziabad etc.
	Wernit (then: 1 , Dillandehal
Selection and the second	The population of these districts are; Budaun 3681896, Bareilly 4448539 and D
	3681896 Dear'll address districts are: Buden
	3681896, Bareilly 4448539 and Bulandsahar
	3499171 persons which are directly benefited in addition to lac of peichb
1-1	in addition to lac of neighbour district
4. Economic	benefits of As per detailed Di-
auter al	nd indirect project readiled Direct employment to accelerate the second stance travellers
employmer	
project.	at due to the project report. during construction period (accordingly 225 –
	persons x average 25 working days/month x 24 months 1350000 Man days)
11	months 1350000 Man days/month x 24 substantial indirect employment as a
	substantial indirect employment as a result of
	development of infrastructure and will also
5. Economic be	
10 compensa	tory Benefit from such In lieu of the
afforestation	
	aforestation accruing over
	accruing over next 50 years to years the project road, it is proposed to undertake at
	next 50 years least twice of the affected trees as
	monate i foundersatory offer i the uncess of
	discounted to the productivity will increase and as per Forest
r st	Productivity will : DU the and
2010	GD 11-170
Charlen	11.1.18
Namin air	प जालराज्या ब तोo किo किo विविधासी बायराजा

. e.

present value should be included as benefit of CA the guideline of the Ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted.	Apart from compensatory plantation and on road divider plantation. The compensatory aforestation will be taken up in about 64.60 hect $x = 129.20$ hect of Degraded Forest land which is at least two times of the area proposed to be diverted.
	The compensatory afforestation will be done in 129.20 hect. of degraded forest land, which is down the line would be having a density of minimum 0.7. The ecological value for a 50 years period for the density of 10 is INR 126.74 lacs per hectare (As per Forest Conservation Act 1980). By considering minimum 0.7 density, the ecological gain for this project would be 126.74 lac x 0.7 x 129.20 - INR 11462.37 lac.

Summary of Cost- Benefit Analysis for the Project.

SL No.	Loss (in Lac)	Benefit (in Lac)
1.	Ecosystem services losses Rs. 518.738 Lac	Ecological gain from compensatory afforestation on 129.20 (atleast) hectare of land use 111
2.	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder = Rs. 51.874 Lac	<ul> <li>135000-man days will be generated for unskilled/semi-skilled worker in terms of Salary an Wages @ Rs. 500/day* (average) = Rs. 500 x 13500 = 675.00 lac)</li> <li>(A Minimum wages in Uttar Pradesh is Rs. 174.00 bu for considering actual practical wages including lodging the average cost per day for semiskilled skilled labourer is appros. Rs. 500 per Day)</li> <li>Basic living amenities including alternative fuel (LPG solar Cooker etc) will be supplied to lobours/workers in construction period by contractor- 2 years.</li> <li>Number of labours at peak time - 225</li> <li>Approx 50% labour assume to be local.</li> <li>Per head cost of fueld-Rs. 2.00-/- per day for rest 112</li> </ul>
	oss of public facility = 670 lac.	days = Rs. 163520.00/- of Rs. 1.64 lac
111	ossession Value of Forest land verted = $3294.60$ lac.	
Ha	abitat fragmentation $cost = 250.27$	
Co &	moisture conservation cost = 0.21 lac.	
	कार्यक आहित्याव्या संपद्य आहित्याव्या संपद्य तो० वि० वि० सरहर्षु	प्रमाद कुआह) वावनती बाँधवाजा नाव अन्य, तो० ति० जिल्

Total Cost/Loss = 518.738 Lac +<br/>Rs. 51.874 lac + 670.00 lac +<br/>3294.60 lac + 259.37 lac + 440.21Total gain/benefit from project = Rs. 11462.37 Lac<br/>+ Rs. 675.00 lac. + Rs. 1.64 lac = 12139.01 lac<br/>Lac = 5234.792 Lac.

Note:- As the project is proposed to be development under EPC mode thus financial model have not been carrid out for the project road for general estimation purpose cost benefit analysis has been done in terms of Environmental aspects.

Cost benefit Ratio = Total Benefit/Total loss = 12139.01 / 5234.792 = 2.32 which is >1, so project is found valuable based on given/above described criteria.

Date:

निकांच संघड लोग बिठ हिंद ant's

11.1.18

(Pramod Kumar) Executive Engineer Construction Division, P.W.D Budaun.

> প্রতিয়ালী প্রদিয়ন্যা বিহারি ক্রমণ, লাও নিও সিও

> > ৰমাৰ্

Place: Budaun

TheFAINTER

(रत्नेरा भीवास्मेंव)) प्रभागीय निदेशक सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग भूध-बदायुँ।