| (i). | Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, it distance from adjoining forest, number of patches size of each patch. | 6 |
|-------|---|---|
| (ii) | Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries | Attached at page No. 51-52 |
| (iii) | Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing Agency, time schedule Cost Structure, etc. | Attached at page No. 53 |
| (iv) | Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme. | 113. 140000/- |
| (v) | Certificate from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory Afforestation and from management point of view, (to be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests) | |
| 11. | Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts Asked in Col. 7 (IX-Xii) 8 & 9 above. | Attached at page No. 49 |
| 12. | Division/Districts Profile: | Canal |
| | i) Geographical area of the district (Mh.) | SOLAN E71 E8 SOLAN |
| | II) Forest area of the districts (in ha.) | 571.58 Sq. Km 193660 13151.268 Hect. 13548-0188 hect. (57/91) |
| E - | iii) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases | 223.72 Hect (54) |
| | iv) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the districts/division since 1980 as on 31-03-2012 | 887.6848 heat. |
| E | a)Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation | 887.6848 Hect |
| 13. | V) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on dated 31-03-2018 on a)Forest land b) Non forest land | 887.6848 Hect |
| | Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with | NIL The proposal is recommended for diversion of forest land because the project will benefit large population and promote tourist options |

Date: - 6-1-2021

Place: - Solan

Divisional Forest Officer Solan Forest Division, Solan H.P.

PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy conservator of Forests)

| State | Serial | No. o | f Pro | posal |
|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|

| S No. | Location of the project/scheme | Dive | rsion of 4.70 Hect of forest Land | |
|-------|--|---|--|--|
| 1. | Detailed of ingresistent enterprison on | For C/o Kiari to Khinna road Km 0/00 to 7/820 | | |
| (i) | District | Solan | Solan | |
| (ii) | Forest Division | Solan | Solan Forest Division | |
| (iii) | Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha) | | 4.70 Hect .of forest land is required to be diverted for Road construction | |
| (iv) | Legal status of forest | D.P.F | | |
| (v) | Density of vegetation | Average density of tract through in which the dumping site is proposed is 0.5 | | |
| 2. | Species-wise (scientific names) and diamete enumeration list enclosed at page 25-37 | pecies-wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (Detailed | | |
| | (Trees Enumeration List a | ttached | at Page No.25-37 | |
| 3. | Brief on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion. | | The area is not seriously eroded nor is much vulnerable to erosion. | |
| 4. | Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest. | | 50 mtrs. From the boundary of the forest. | |
| 5. | Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife Sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (if so details of the area and comments of the Chief Wild Life Warden to be annexed) | | No | |
| 6. | Whether any are/endangered /unique Species of flora and fauna found in the area? | | No rare or endangered species of flora o fauna is found in the area | |
| 7. | Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area? If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined? | | No No | |
| 8. | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project? If no, recommend area item-wise with details of alternatives examined. | | Yes the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user Agency is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. | |
| 9. | Whether any work in violation of the Act, has been carried out? If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether the work in violation is still in progress? | | Yes, Detail Attached at Page No. 46-47 Currently no work in violation is in progress. | |
| | Details of compensatory afforestation scheme: | | | |