

## राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड NATIONAL HIGHWAYS & INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.

# FINAL DETAILED PROJECT REPORT

**APRIL 2020** 

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PREPERATION OF DETAILED PROJECT REPORT AND PROVIDING PRE-CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING STRETCH ON NH-244 (OLD NH-1B) IN THE STATE OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR.

- (1) SUDHMAHADEV-DRANGA **TUNNEL OF APPROX. LENGTH 4.5** KM AND ITS APPROACH ROAD ON SUDHMAHADEV-CHENANI -**GOHA ROAD PORTION.**
- (2) VAILOO TUNNEL OF APPROX. LENGTH 10.0 KM UNDER SINTHAN PASS AND ITS APPROACH ROAD **ON GOHA-KHELLANI- KHANABAL ROAD PORTION.**
- (3) ROAD PORTION FROM 82.675 TO 82.925 AT KM 83 ON BATOTE-KISHTWAR ROAD SECTION OF NH-244.
- (4) EXTENDED ROAD SECTION FROM GOHA TO KHELLANI OF 30 KM LENGTH



# **GOHA - KHELLANI ROAD PACKAGE-I B (KM 20.300 to KM 29.030) VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT**

## getinza-euroeztudioz



TPF GETINSA EUROESTUDIOS S.L. Unit 305, Suncity Business Tower, Golf Course Road, Sector 54 Gurgram Haryana - 122002 India Email : indiacentral@tpfingeneria.com

## IN ASSOCIATION WITH



**RODIC CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED** 1, Jai Singh Marg (First Floor), YMCA Cultural Centre Building, New Delhi – 110001 (INDIA) Email :contact@rodicconsultants.com



DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Project Background
1.2	Applicable design codes and documents
2.	DESIGN REQUIREMENTS
2.1	Design Life
2.2	Width of Carriageway
2.3	Design Speed
2.4	Utility Services of Structures
3.	DESIGN LOAD9
3.1	Dead Load(G)9
3.2	Pre-stressing force
3.3	Creep and Shrinkage
3.4	Live Load(Q) 10
3.5	Wind Load(W) 17
3.6	Centrifugal forces (Fcf) 18
3.7	Construction loads
3.8	Water Currents Load (Fwc) 19
3.9	Temperature (Fte)
3.10	Buoyancy (Gb)
3.11	Earth Pressure (Fep)
4.	ACCIDENTAL LOADS
4.1	Seismic Force (Feq)





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

4.2	Response Reduction Factors	23
5.	LOAD COMBINATIONS	24
5.1	Limit States	24
5.2	The Ultimate Limit State (ULS)	24
5.3	The Service Limit State (SLS)	27
5.4	The Combination for Design of Foundation	28
б.	MATERIALS	29
6.1	Concrete Grade	29
6.2	Seismic Zone	29
6.3	Pre-stressing System	29
6.4	Bearings	30
6.5	Expansion Joints	30
7.	DURABILITY AND SERVICEABILITY	32
7.1	Durability	32
7.2	Crack width	32
8.	FOUNDATION DESIGN	34
8.1	Design Discharge of Foundation	34
8.2	Mean Depth of Scour	34
8.3	Maximum Depth of Scour for Design of Foundation	35
8.4	DEPTH OF FOUNDATION	36
8.5	LOADS, FORCES, STABILITY AND STRESSES	37
8.6	Horizontal Forces at Bearing Level	37
8.7	Base Pressure	38



DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

## LIST OF TABLE

Table 1: Width of carriageway    8	3
Table 2: Design Speed   8	3
Table 3: Utility Services	)
Table 4: Live load combination	1
Table 5: Ground contact area of the wheels of Class A	3
Table 6: Minimum Clearance    13	3
Table 7: Reduction in longitudinal effect    15	5
Table 8: Hourly mean wind speed and wind pressure    17	7
Table 9: Design temperature differences for concrete box girder (ref. to Fig 17a of IRC-6-2017)	1
Table 10: Response Reduction Factors    23	3
Table 11: Equilibrium Combinations	5
Table 12: Structural strength combinations    26	5
Table 13: Service limit state combinations    27	7
Table 14: Foundation combinations	3
Table 15: Concrete cover    32	2





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Index Map	5
Figure 2: Details of concrete crash barrier and railing	10
Figure 3: Live load combination	11
Figure 4: Class 70R vehicle (wheeled)	12
Figure 5: Class A Train of vehicle	12
Figure 6: Clear carriageway width	13
Figure 7: Impact percentage for highway bridges	15
Figure 8: (a) Fatigue Truck Figure 9: (b) Transverse wheel Spacing and Tyre Arrangement	16
Figure 10: Response spectra	22







Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MORT&H) is poised to develop all remote and strategically important roads in hilly terrains to perennial routes. In continuation to these developments National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL) has been appointed by MORT&H, to implement these projects.

NHIDCL has been assigned the work of Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities for the construction of a Road Tunnel and its approaches enabling all weather connectivity along the stretches on NH-244 in the State of Jammu and Kashmir. NHIDCL has entrusted TPF Getinsa Eurostudios SL in association with Rodic Consultants Private Limited, to carry out Consultancy Services for preparing Detailed Project Report for Daranga – Khellani section from Sudhmahadev – Daranga Tunnel approach road to Khellani town including a tunnel (bi-directional) named as Khellani tunnel to bypass the Khellani town in the State of Jammu & Kashmir.

The Index Map showing the stretches of National Highways, described above as a part of project road, is presented in Fig. 1.1 (enclosed).



Figure 1: Index Map







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

1.2 Applicable design codes and documents

> The design basis given in these documents follows the requirement based on the Indian codes/standards/specifications or as per applicable manual. In addition, the design basis basically follows the Limit State Design (LSD).

> The design basis follows the requirement as set forth in the following design documents and will form the basis for design. Additional standards and specifications required for the design will be given in the relevant sections of these criteria.

- 1. IRC:112-2019, Standard Specifications and Code of Practices for Concrete Road Bridge
- 2. IRC:6-2017, Standard Specifications and Code of Practices of Road Bridges, Section-II, Loads and Stresses include amendment
- 3. IRC:78-2014, Standard Specifications and Code of Practices of Road Bridges, Section-VII, Foundation & Substructures
- IRC:83-2014(Part-IV), Standard Specifications and Code of Practices of Road Bridges, 4. Section-IX- SPHERICAL AND CYLINDRICAL BEARINGS.
- IRC:5-2015, Standard Specification and Code of Practice of Road Bridges, Section-I, 5. General Design Features
- 6. IRC: SP:65-2005, Guidelines for Design and Construction of Segmental Bridges
- 7. IRC: SP:66-2005, Guidelines for Design of Continuous Bridge
- 8. IRC: SP:67-2005, Guidelines for use of External and Unbonded Prestressing Tendons in Bridge
- 9. IRC: SP:69-2011, Guidelines and Specifications for Expansion Joints
- 10. IRC: SP:114-2018- Guidelines for Seismic Design of Road Bridges
- 11. IS:14268-1995, Code of practice for Uncoated Stress Relieved Low Relaxation Seven-ply Strand for prestressed concrete-specification
- 12. IS:1786-2002, Code of practice for High Strength Deformed Steel bars and wires for

getin/a-euroe/tudio/







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

## concrete reinforcement.

- 13. IS:6403-1981, Code of practice for determination of bearing capacity of shallow foundations.
- 14. IS:2911 Part-1(Concrete piles) Sec-2(Bored cast In-situ piles) Code of practice for design and construction of pile foundation
- 15. IS:8009(Part 1 & 2), Code of practice for calculation of settlements of foundations.
- 16. IS:816-1969(R1998) Code of practice for use of metal arc welding for general construction in mild steel.
- 17. IS:2062-2011 hot rolled medium and high tensile structural steel specification
- 18. IS:4000-1992(R2003) High strength bolts in steel structures code of practice.
- 19. IS:3757-1985(R2003) Specification for high strength structural bolts
- 20. IS:2629-1985(R2016) Recommended practice for hot-dip galvanizing of iron and steel
- 21. Joseph E Bowles, "Foundation Analysis and Design".
- 22. However, in specific case, Equivalent International codes / Equivalent standard documents shall be referred.

**MoRTH Specifications** 

The specifications for road and bridges works of Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (latest editions) published by Indian Road congress shall be used for materials to be used for construction of bridge.





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 2. DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Design Life

The design service life of the bridge shall be 100 years in accordance with Clause 5.8.1 of IRC: 112-2019. The design service life is the period for which the structures are to be used for their intended purpose with anticipated maintenance, but without loss of reliability or structural, operational and aesthetic integrity.

The full-service life is required for the main structural components such as foundations, abutments, piers, bridge girders, pylons, stay cables etc.

2.2 Width of Carriageway

The minimum features in the cross section of the Project shall be as shown in Table 1.

Elevated Main Bridge Section / Viaducts on Main Alignment				
Cross Sectional Elements:Elevated Carriageway1x7.50 = 7.50				
Main Carriageway Inspection/Maintenance Path/Footpath 2x1.50=3.00				
	Median			
Crash Barrier		2x0.50 m = 1.00		
Railing 2 x 0.5		2 x 0.50 m = 1.00 m		
	Sub Total	12.50 m		

Table 1: Width of carriageway

## 2.3 Design Speed

The design speed of this Project as per Table 2 of IRC:73-2015 shall be as under:

## Table 2: Design Speed

Design Speed	Main alignment	100 km/h





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 2.4 Utility Services of Structures

The following structures shall be designed to carry utility services specified under Table 3.

## Table 3: Utility Services

Bridge	Utility service to be carried	Remarks
2-Lane Bridge	Electric cable, OFC Cable and water supply pipe line	Bridge shall be of two lane

## 3. DESIGN LOAD

- 3.1 Dead Load(G)
  - Self Weight

The characteristic self-weight of structural or non-structural permanent loads on the bridge can be determined based on the specific weights of the materials and of the dimensions of the structures.

The following unit weights of materials are used in accordance with Clause 203 of IRC: 6-2017:

Reinforced concrete	2	2.5 t/m3
Unreinforced concrete	2	2.5 t/m3
Pre-stressed concrete	2	2.5 t/m3
Asphalt concrete	2	2.2 t/m3
Soil	2	2.0 t/m3
Steel	7.85t/m3	

Superimposed Dead Load

The specific weight for road surfacing on a concrete deck is based on a surfacing with a thickness of 65mm. The following specific weights mentioned below shall be used:

Road surfacing - concrete deck

0.065 x 22 = 1.43 kN/m<sup>2</sup>

The following self-weights of safety barriers on structures mentioned below shall be used:

1	Railing	5 x 2 = 10.00 kN/m
2	Crash barrier	10 x 2 = 20.00 kN/m
3	Total	= 30.00 kN/m

getin/a-euroe/tudio/





### VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.



Figure 2: Details of concrete crash barrier and railing

3.2 Pre-stressing force

Pre-stressing force shall be classified as a permanent action caused by controlled forces and/or controlled deformations imposed on a structure.

3.3 Creep and Shrinkage

Creep and shrinkage effects shall be considered in accordance with IRC:112-2011, and it shall be considered as permanent load. The average relative humidity ratio should be taken as 80%.

Creep and shrinkage factors are calculated for individual structural elements with account taken of the member thickness, the age of the concrete when loaded and the nature and timing of the applied loading.

- 3.4 Live Load(Q)
  - Vehicle Load

The carriageway live load combination shall be considered in accordance with Clause 204.3 of IRC: 6-2017 as shown in the table below. Since the bridge has 3 lanes in with carriageway width of 11.0m, the carriageway live load combination (3) is to adopt.

getinvo-euroertudior



VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## Table 4: Live load combination

SI. No.	Carriageway Width (CW)	No. of Lanes for Design Purposes	Load Combination
1)	Less than 5.3	1	One lane of Class A considered to occupy 2.3m. The remaining width of carriageway shall be loaded with 500kg/m2
2)	5.3m and above but less than 9.6m	2	One lane of Class 70R OR two lanes for Class A
3)	9.6m and above but less than 13.1	3	One lane of Class 70R for every two lanes with one lanes of Class A on the remaining lane OR 3 lanes of Class A
4)	13.1m and above but less than 16.6m	4	One long of Close 70D for every two longs with one long
5)	16.6m and above but less than 20.1	5	of Class A for the remaining lanes, if any, OR one lane
6)	20.1m and above but less than 23.6	6	Class A for each faile.



CASE 1: CLASS A - 3 LANES



Figure 3: Live load combination

The design live load shall consist of two standard wheeled vehicles; Class 70R vehicle (Wheeled) and Class A Train of vehicles.





**VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT** 



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.



Figure 4: Class 70R vehicle (wheeled)



Figure 5: Class A Train of vehicle





**VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT** 



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

The ground contact area of the wheels of Class A shall be as under;

Table 5: Ground contact area of the wheels of Class A

Axle load (tonne)	Ground co	ontact area
	B (mm)	W (mm)
11.4	250	500
6.5	200	380
2.7	150	200

The minimum clearance, f between outer edge of the wheel and the roadway face of the kerb and the minimum clearance, g, between the outer edges of passing or crossing vehicles on multi-lane bridges shall be as given below:

Clear carriageway width	g	f
5.3m(*) to $6.1m(**)$	Varying between 0.4m to	150 mm for all carriageway
	1.2m	width
Above 6.1 m	1.2m	



Figure 6: Clear carriageway width







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

#### Congestion factor (Fcf) $\triangleright$

Congestion factor will not be taken into consideration as the location is not close to areas such as ports, heavy industries and mines and any other areas where frequent congestion of heavy vehicles may occur.

#### Footway Load $\geq$

For all parts of bridge floors accessible only to pedestrians and animals and for all footways the loading shall be 400 kg/m2 as per Clause 206.1 of IRC: 6-2017.

For the design of foot over bridges the loading shall be taken as 500 kg/m2 as per Clause 206.1 of IRC: 6-2017.

For effective spans of over 7.5 m but not exceeding 30 m, the intensity of load shall be determined according to the following equation as per clause 206.3 (b) of IRC:6-2017.:

$$P = P_1 \qquad -\frac{(40L - W)}{9}$$

For effective spans of over 30 m, the intensity of load shall be determined according to the following equation as per clause 206.3 of IRC:6-2017:

$$P = (P_1 - 260 + \frac{4800}{L}) (\frac{16.5 - W}{0})$$

Where,

P1 = 400 kg/m2 or 500 kg/m2 as per Clause 206.1 of IRC: 6-2017

P =the live load in kg/m2

L = the effective span of the main girder, truss or arch in m, and

W = width of the footway in

getin/a-euroe/tudio/ GENIERIA



VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## Impact factor (Qim)

Impact factor shall be considered in accordance with Clause 208 of IRC: 6-2017 and Fig. 3.7 as shown in figure below.



Figure 7: Impact percentage for highway bridges

Impact factors shall not be added to the walkway loading as per Clauses 208.4 of IRC: 6-2017.

Reduction in the longitudinal effect

Reduction in longitudinal effect on bridge having more than two traffic lanes shall be in accordance with Clause 205 of IRC: 6-2017.

Number of lanes	Reduction in longitudinal effect
For two lanes	No reduction
For three lanes	10% reduction
For four lanes	20% reduction
For five or more lanes	20% reduction

Table 7: Reduction	in	longitudinal	effect
		longituamar	chicot

Braking force (Fb)

The braking effect on a simply supported span or a continuous unit of spans or on any other type of bridge unit shall be assumed to have the following value as per clause 211.2 of IRC:6-2017.







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

In the case of a single lane or a two-lane bridge: twenty percent of the first train load plus ten percent of the load of the succeeding trains or part thereof, the train loads in one lane only being considered for this sub-clause. Where the entire first train is not on the full span, the braking force shall be taken as equal to twenty percent of the loads on the span or continuous unit of spans.

In the case of bridges having more than two-lanes: as in (i) above for the first two lanes plus five per cent of the loads on the lanes more than two.

Note: The loads in this Clause shall not be increased on account of impact.

The force due to braking effect shall be assumed to act along a line parallel to the roadway and 1.2 m above it. While transferring the force to the bearings, the change in the vertical reaction at the bearings should be considered.

The distribution of longitudinal horizontal forces among bridge supports is affected by the horizontal deformation of bridges, flexing of the supports and rotation of the foundations.

#### $\succ$ Fatigue load

Fatigue load is specified on new Clause 204.6 of amendment to IRC:6-2017. The truck defined in the figure below shall be used for the fatigue life assessment of concrete bridge. 50% of the impact factors mentioned in Clause 208 of IRC:6-2017 shall be applied to this fatigue load.





Figure 8: (a) Fatigue Truck Figure 9: (b) Transverse wheel Spacing and Tyre Arrangement





## DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT

# ADEL

Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

The single passage of the fatigue load along the longitudinal direction of the bridge shall be used. The minimum clearance between outer edge of the wheel of the fatigue vehicle and roadway face of the kerb shall be 150mm. The fatigue check shall be carried out under frequent combination of Serviceability Limit State (SLS), with load factors for fatigue load, taken as equal to 1.0. For design for fatigue limit state, reference shall be made to IRC:112 for Concrete Bridge.

## 3.5 Wind Load(W)

## > Design wind force on superstructure

Wind loads shall be taken in accordance with Clause 209 of IRC: 6-2017. The wind speed at the location of bridge shall be based on basic wind speed map as per figure 10 of IRC: 6-2017.

The basic wind speed for the project area is 39 m/s, return period of 100 years. The hourly mean wind speed and wind pressure shall be obtained by multiplying the corresponding wind speed value by the ratio of basic wind speed at the location of bridge to the value corresponding to table 12 of IRC: 6-2017 which is shown hourly mean wind speed and wind pressure for a basic wind speed of 33m/s.

	Bridge Situated in						
H (m)	Plain	Terrain	Terrain with Obstruction				
	V <sub>z</sub> (m/s)	P <sub>z</sub> (N/m <sup>2</sup> )	V <sub>z</sub> (m/s)	P <sub>z</sub> (N/m <sup>2</sup> )			
Up to 10 m	27.80	463.70	17.80	190.50			
15	29.20	512.50	19.60	230.50			
20	30.30	550.60	21.00	265.30			
30	31.40	590.20	22.80	312.20			
50	33.10	659.20	24.90	373.40			
60	33.60	676.30	25.60	392.90			
70	34.00	693.60	26.20	412.80			
80	34.40	711.20	26.90	433.30			
90	34.90	729.00	27.50	454.20			
100	35.30	747.00	28.20	475.60			

Table 8: Hourly mean wind speed and wind pressure





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

Design wind force on the deck of main bridge shall be as per Clause 209.3 of IRC 6-2014. The transverse wind force  $F_T$  can be taken as acting at the centroids of the appropriate area and it can be estimated from:

$$F_{T} = P_{z} x A_{1} x G x C_{D}$$

Where,

Pz: Hourly mean wind pressure in N/m2 A1: Solid area in m2

G: Gust factor (G=2.0 for highway bridges up to a span of 150m)

C<sub>D</sub>: Drag coefficient depending on the geometrical shape of bridge deck (1.3 if b/d (slab width to depth ratio) = $32.4/4=8.1\geq6$ )

Longitudinal force on the deck of main bridge FL should be taken as 25% of the transverse wind load as per Clause 290.3.4 of IRC 6-2017.

An upward or downward vertical wind load FV acting at the centroid appropriate areas, for the deck of main bridge can be derived from:

 $FV = P_z x A_3 x G x C_L$ 

Where,

 $P_{Z}$ : hourly mean wind pressure in N/m2 at height H A<sub>3</sub>: area in plan in m2

 $C_L$ : Lift coefficient which shall be taken as 0.75 for normal type of box girders as per Clause 209.3.5

Gust factor (G=2.0 for highway bridges up to a span of 150m)

> Design wind force on the substructure

Wind Load on the substructure shall be accounted in accordance with Clause 209.4 of IRC: 6-2017.

3.6 Centrifugal forces (Fcf)

Where a road bridge is situated on a curve, all portions of the structure affected by the centrifugal action of moving vehicles are to be proportioned to carry safely the stress induced by this action in addition to all other stress to which they may be subjected.







**DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD** Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

The centrifugal force shall be determined from the following equation:

## $C = WV^2/127 R$

where,

C = Centrifugal force acting normally to the traffic (1) at the point of action of the wheel loads or (2) uniformly distributed over every meter length on which a uniformly distributed load acts, in tonnes.

W = Live load (1) in case of wheel loads, each wheel load being considered as acting over the ground contact length specified in Clause 204 of IRC: 6-2017, in tonnes, and (2) in case of a uniformly distributed live load, in tonnes per linear meter.

V = the design speed of the vehicles using the bridge in km per hour, and

R = the radius of curvature in meters.

The centrifugal force shall be considered to act at a height of 1.2 m above the level of the carriageway. No increase for impact effect shall be made on the stress due to centrifugal action. The overturning effect of the centrifugal force on the structure as a whole shall also be duly considered.

3.7 Construction loads

The construction loads associated with the erection method of the superstructure shall be taken into account. However, each individual case shall be investigated in complete detail. In the detailed design stage, the structures are to be checked for actual construction loads at each construction stage and the actual loads indicated on the relevant drawings.

3.8 Water Currents Load (Fwc)

On pier parallel to the direction of the water current, the intensity of pressure shall be calculated from the following equation as per Clause 210.1 of IRC: 6-2017:

 $P = 52KV^{2}$ 

Where,

P = intensity of pressure due to water current, in kg/m<sup>2</sup>







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

V = the velocity of the current at the point where the pressure intensity is being calculated,

K = a constant having the following values for different shapes of piers (=0.66 for semicircular ends)

## 3.9 Temperature (Fte)

The bridge temperature shall be considered in accordance with Clause 215.2 of IRC:6-2017.

#### **Uniform Temperature** $\geq$

Maximum and minimum air shade temperatures shall be obtained from Figs. 15 and 16 of IRC 6-2017. Maximum and minimum air shade temperatures in vicinity of Srinagar are approximately 45.0°C and - 05°C respectively. Therefore, the mean of maximum and minimum air shade temperature is equal to 20 °C.

As the bridge location has a difference between maximum and minimum shade temperature greater than 20 °C; the bridge temperature to be assumed when the structure is effectively restrained as per the table shown in Clause 215.2 of IRC-6, is equal to mean of maximum and minimum air shade temperature ± 10° whichever is critical, which corresponds in this case to 30°C and 10°C.

Uniform temperature variation is considered as a long-term loading. The elastic modulus for uniform temperature effects will be taken as 0.5 times the instantaneous elastic modulus according to Clause 6.4.2.5 of IRC: 112-2011.

#### $\geq$ **Temperature Difference**

Effect of temperature difference within the superstructure shall be assumed as shown in the Table 9





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

Table 9: Design temperature differences for concrete box girder (ref. to Fig 17a of IRC-6-2017)

	2017/								
Pos	Positive Temperature								
Dif	Differences					Reverse Temperature Differences			
				ΔΤ.	1	h1 = h4	= 0.2h ≤	0.25m	
<u>h</u> ,		h1 = 0.3h k	out ≤0.15m	ΔΤ,	h,	h2 =h3=	0.25h ≤	0.25m	
h <sup>h</sup> .		h2 = 0.3h k but ≤0.25	out ≥0.10m m	ΔΙ,	h, h				
h,	AT.			ΔΤ,	‡h, 1				
		h3 = 0.3h	ı ≤ 0.15m					_	
Surfacing				Surfacing					
	1	2	3		1	2	3		
thickness				thickness					
50mm	17.8	4.0	2.1	50mm	-10.6	-0.7	-0.8	-6.6	

## 3.10 Buoyancy (Gb)

For piers and foundation under the influence the water flow, the effects of buoyancy as specified in Clause 213 of IRC: 6-2017 shall be considered.

## 3.11 Earth Pressure (Fep)

The effect of earth pressure shall be calculated in accordance with Coulomb's theory for earth retaining structures. Soil properties for earth pressure load shall be per the recommendations of geotechnical engineer. The center of pressure exerted by the backfill, when considered dry, is located at an elevation of 0.42 of the height of the wall above the base and 0.33 of height of wall when considered wet as per Clause 214.1 of IRC: 6-2017.





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 4. ACCIDENTAL LOADS

4.1 Seismic Force (Feq)

Seismic design loads shall be obtained in accordance with Clause 219 of IRC: 6-2017. The seismic acceleration can be calculated by the following equation.

(Z/2) x (I) x (S<sub>a</sub>/g)

Where,

Z = Zone factor (=0.24 according to table 16 of IRC: 6-2017)

I = Importance Factor (=1.2 according to table 19 of IRC: 6-2017)

Sa/g = Average response acceleration coefficient for 5 percent damping of load resisting elements depending upon the fundamental period of vibration T

Design response spectrum for seismic analysis is derived by the figure 20 of IRC 6-2017.

Considering the foundation support layer after scour, the values for hard soil condition (Type I) are used for the response acceleration factor. And, 5 percent damping is applied for preparing the response spectrum.



The derivation of the above is based on the following mathematical expression. For hard soil sites, type I soil with N>30





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

$$\frac{S_a}{g} = \begin{cases} 1+15\,T, & 0.00 \le T \le 0.10 \\ 2.50 & 0.10 \le T \le 0.40 \\ 1.00/T & 0.40 \le T \le 4.00 \end{cases}$$

Ductile detailing is mandatory for piers of bridges located in seismic zones V. The forces on various members obtained from the elastic analysis of bridge structure are to be divided by Response Reduction Factor for the design of pier and bearing. For design of foundation, the seismic loads should be taken as 1.35 times the forces transmitted to it by concrete substructure as per Clause 219.8 of IRC:6-2017, so as to provide sufficient margin to cover the possible higher forces transmitted by substructure arising out of its over strength.

4.2 Response Reduction Factors

Response reduction factors as per Table 20 of IRC 6:2017 shall be as follows;

Bridge	e Component	'R' With Ductile Detailing	'R' without Ductile Detailing (for Bridges in zone II only)
<ul> <li>a) Superstructure of i /Framed bridges</li> </ul>	2.0	1.0	
<li>b) Other types of Supe segmental construct</li>	1.0	1.0	
Substructure			
(i) Masonry/PCC Piers,	1.0	1.0	
(ii) RCC wall piers and a (where plastic hing	1.0	1.0	
(iii) RCC wall piers and a direction (where hing	3.0	2,5	
(iv)RCC Single Column		3.0	2.5
(v) RCC/PSC Frames	a) Column	4.0	3.0
	b) RCC beam	3.0	2.0
	b) PSC beam	1.0	1.0
(vi) Steel Framed Const	ruction	3.0	2.5
(vii)Steel Cantilever Pier	1.5	1.0	
Bearings and Connection	1.0	1.0	
Stoppers (Reaction dislodgement or drifti (See Note (vi) also)	Blocks) Those restraining ng away of bridge elements.	1.0	1.0

## Table 10: Response Reduction Factors





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 5. LOAD COMBINATIONS

5.1 Limit States

Load combinations to design bridge elements under various limit state are specified well in IRC: 6-2017. Therefore, this Project give priory to apply IRC:6-2017.

For the design of Bridges Limit State Design (LSD) has been adopted and appropriate load combinations are selected according to the IRC:6-2017. With the identified loading effects, two limit state conditions shall be verified with the partial factor method. A limit state is defined as a state where the bridge structure satisfies given requirements. The limit states applied to the design are:

The Ultimate Limit State (ULS)

The Serviceability Limit State (SLS)

The SLS corresponds to the boundary between acceptable and unacceptable states during normal operation of the bridge. The ULS corresponds to states prior to structural collapse of the entire or parts of the bridge structure.

5.2 The Ultimate Limit State (ULS)

Loads are required to be combined to check the equilibrium and the structural strength under Ultimate Limit State (ULS). The equilibrium of the structure shall be checked against overturning, sliding and uplift. It shall be ensured that the disturbing loads (overturning, sliding and uplifting) shall always be less than the stabilizing or restoring actions. The structural strength under ultimate limit state shall be estimated in order to avoid internal failure or excessive deformation. The equilibrium and the structural strength shall be checked under basic, accidental and seismic combinations of loads.

Load Combination of Flood and Seismic Loads

Different scour condition shall be considered subject to short term or long-term effect. Nonscour shall be considered when bridge elements are checked under the short-term effect, construction stage and bridge completion. Meanwhile scour condition shall be under the long-term effect as service stage.







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

In seismic combinations, especially, maximum depth of scour shall be reduced subject to flood or low water level as below.

The maximum depth of scour (2.0x dsm) below the Highest Flood Level (HFL) for the design of piers having individual foundations without any floor protection may be considered in accordance with Clause 703.3.1.1 of IRC:78-2014 as follows.

- Flood without seismic combinations: maximum depth of scour is considered (for piers: 2.0 x dsm)
- Flood with seismic combinations: 0.9 times of maximum depth of scour (for piers: 2.0 x dsm x 0.9)
- Low water level with seismic combinations: 0.8 times of maximum depth of scour (for piers: 2.0 x dsm x 0.8)
- $\triangleright$ Equilibrium Combinations

The following combinations are specified in amendment to IRC:6-2017

Loads / Case	Bas	Basic Combination			Seismic Combination
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Permanent Loads:					
Dead Load	1.0/0.9	1.0/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.0/1.0	1.1/0.9
Surfacing	1.35/1.0	1.35/1.0	1.35/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.35/1.0
Prestress	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0
Variable Loads:					
Live Load (Special Vehicle)	1.5/0.0 (1.0/0.0)	1.15/0.0 (-)	1.15/0.0 (-)	0.75/0.0 (-)	0.2/0.0 (-)
Temperature Load	0.9/0.0	1.5/0.0	0.9/0.0	0.5/0.0	0.5/0.0
Wind Load	0.9/0.0	0.9/0.0	1.5/0.0	-	-
Accidental Load	-	-	-	1.0/0.0	-
Seismic Load	-	-	-	-	1.5/0.0
Construction Dead Load	1.1/0.9	1.1/0.9	1.1/0.9	-	-
Construction Wind Load	1.2/0.0	1.2/0.0	1.5/0.0	-	-
Hydraulic Load	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.0/1.0	1.0/0.0	1.0/0.0

## Table 11: Equilibrium Combinations



**IDENIER**IA





**DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD** Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

- \*If the special vehicle is combined, no other vehicle, wind, seismic, braking force and dynamic impact on the live load need to be considered.
- \*\*In case of seismic combination, two cases of flood and low water level are considered.
- \*\*\*Wind load and temperature load need not be taken simultaneously as per the 'Amendment' to IRC:6-2017'
- $\triangleright$ Structural Strength Combinations

The following combinations are specified in amendment to IRC:6-2017.

Loads / Case	Basic Com	bination	Accidental Combination	Seismic Combination
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Permanent Loads:				
Dead Load	1.35/1.0	1.35/1.0	1.0	1.35/1.0
Surfacing	1.75/1.0	1.75/1.0	1.0	1.75/1.0
Prestress	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Variable Loads:				
Live Load	1.5	1.15	0.75	0.2
(Special Vehicle)	(1.0)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Wind Load	0.9	1.5	-	-
Accidental Load	-	-	1.0	-
Seismic Load during	-	-		1.5
service				
Seismic Load during				0.75
construction				
Hydraulic Load	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Table 12: Structural strength combinations

\*If the special vehicle is combined, no other vehicle, wind, seismic, braking force and dynamic impact on the live load need to be considered.

\*\*In case of seismic combination, two cases of flood and low water level are considered.





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 5.3 The Service Limit State (SLS)

Loads are required to be combined to satisfy the serviceability requirements. The serviceability limit state check shall be carried out in order to have control on stress, deflection, vibration, crack width, settlement and to estimate shrinkage and creep effects. It shall be ensured that the design value obtained by using the appropriate combination shall be less than the limiting value of serviceability criterion as per the relevant code. The rare combination of loads shall be used for checking the stress limit. The frequent combination of loads shall be used for checking the deflection, vibration and crack width. The quasipermanent combination of loads shall be used for checking the settlement, shrinkage creep effects and the permanent stress in concrete.

Table 13: Service limit state combinations Ouasi-Frequent Rare Permanent Combination Combination Loads / Case Combination (2)(1)(3) (4) (5)(6)(7)Permanent Loads: Dead Load 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.2/11.2/11.2/1 1.2/1 1.2/11.0 Surfacing 1.2/1Prestress 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Settlement Effects 1.0/0 1.0/0 1.0/0 1.0/0 1.0/0 1.0/0 1.0/0 Variable Loads: Live Load 1.0 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.2 0.2 (Special Vehicle) (1.0)(0.75)(-) (-) (-) (-) (-) Temperature Load 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 Wind Load 0.6 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.6 Hydraulic Load 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0

The following combinations are specified in amendment to IRC:6-2017

\*If the special vehicle is combined, no other vehicle, wind, seismic, braking force and dynamic impact on the live load need to be considered.

\*\*Wind load and temperature load need not be taken simultaneously as per the 'Amendment to IRC:6-2017'







**DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD** Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

#### 5.4 The Combination for Design of Foundation

For checking the base pressure under foundation and to estimate the structural strength which includes the geotechnical loads, the partial factor for loads shown in below shall be used.

Loads / Caso	Combination (1)		Combination (2)		Seismic Combination		Accidental Combination	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Permanent Loads:								
Dead Load	1.35	1.35	1.0	1.0	1.35		1.0	1.0
Surfacing	1.75	1.75	1.0	1.0	1.75		1.0	1.0
Prestress	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0
Settlement Effects	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0		1.0/0	1.0/0
Variable Loads:								
Live Load	1.5	1.15	1.3	1.0	0.75/0	0.2	0.75/0	0.2
(Special Vehicle)	(1.0)	(-)	(1.0)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Temperature Load	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Wind Load	0.9	1.5	0.8	1.3	-	-	-	-
Accidental Load	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0
Seismic Load	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.5	-	-
Construction Dead Load	1.35	1.35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Hydraulic Load	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0	1.0/0

## Table 14: Foundation combinations

\*If the special vehicle is combined, no other vehicle, wind, seismic, braking force and dynamic impact on the live load need to be considered.

\*\*In case of seismic combination, two cases of flood and low water level are considered.

\*\*\*Wind load and temperature load need not be taken simultaneously as per the 'Amendment' to IRC:6-2017'





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 6. MATERIALS

6.1 Concrete Grade

The minimum Grade of concrete in various elements shall be as under for moderate conditions of exposure as per IRC 112-2011:

Major Bridge

All RCC M 35

PSC I Beam Girder M 45

PSC Box Girder M 50

All PCC M 25

Reinforcement Steel

- > High yield strength deformed bar shall be of grade Fe-415/Fe-500/Fe-500D
- Mild steel bar shall be of grade Fe-240
- 6.2 Seismic Zone

The project road is in a seismic zone IV. It is proposed to design the bridges for seismic forces as mentioned in modified clause 222 of IRC: 6-2017.

6.3 Pre-stressing System

a.	System (Post tensioning)	:	12T13/19T13 multiple strand system of
			"Freyssinet" or "ISMALCCL" or equivalent
b.	Cables (Post tensioning)	:	12T13/19T13 with strands of 12.7mm
			nominal dia.
C.	High Tensile Steel		
	(for both post/pre- tensioning)	)	
	Strands	:	Nominal 12.7 mm dia 7 ply low relaxation Strands
		confor	rming to class 2 of IS:14268-95





**DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD** Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

d.	Area section area)	:	98.7 sq.mm per strand (nominal cross
e.	Ultimate load	:	183.71 KN per strand
f.	Modulus of Elasticity	:	1.95x10 <sup>5</sup> Mpa
g.	Sheathing (Post tensioning) corrugated flexible sheathing for 12T13,	: /19K13	75 mm OD/90mm OD Bright metal cables respectively.
h.	Friction Coefficient (Post tensioning)		0.17/radian
İ.	Wobble Coefficient (Post tensioning)		0.0020/m

- j. Anchorage Slip (Post tensioning) 6mm average
- k. Loss of force due to relaxation : 2.5% at 0.7 UTS after 1000 hrs. The final relaxation values for design shall be 3.0 times the value as per cl 11.4 of IRC: 18-2000

#### 6.4 Bearings

POT-PTFE bearings shall be designed as per IRC: 83 (& III) and shall conform to CI.2005 of MORT&H Specifications for Road & Bridges Works (4th Revision). RCC solid slab superstructures of culverts and minor bridges shall directly rest on pier/abutment caps with a tar paper in bearing.

POT-PTFE bearings shall be proposed for long span simply supported superstructures and continuous superstructures. The loads and forces on the bearings shall be calculated to enable the manufacturer to design these bearings and these shall be designed as per IRC:83 (Part III) 2002.

#### 6.5 **Expansion Joints**

The following types of Expansion Joints shall be adopted:

Single Strip seal expansion joints shall be proposed for superstructures having movements up 80mm. (± 40mm).

The strip seal joints shall conform to CI. 2606 of MORTH's Specification for Road and ~ Bridges works (5th Revision).

getin/a-euroe/tudio/





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

Modular expansion joints shall be prepared for superstructures having movement more than 80mm. The modular strip seal joint shall conform to CI. 2607 of MORTH's Specification for Road and Bridges works (5th Revision).

Concrete Clear Covers:

For all reinforcement duct spacing

- As per IRC: 112 and 78 For other covers and inter

- As per IRC: 11









Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 7. DURABILITY AND SERVICEABILITY

- 7.1 Durability
  - Exposure Condition

As per the table 14.1 of IRC: 112-2011, service environment is considered to moderate, very severe and extreme subject to exposure condition of structural element.

Minimum Concrete Cover

Cover to the reinforcement shall be the minimum clear distance measured from the surface of the concrete to the closest reinforcing bars. Minimum concrete cover is decided based on durability provisions as per Clause 14.3.2 of IRC 112-2011.

The clear concrete cover to reinforcement shall not be less than the following

S No	Location				
3. NO.	Elecation	(mm)			
1	Cast-in-situ Concrete exposed to weather	50			
2	Concrete of all substructure elements in contact with earth	75			
3	Concrete of all substructure elements exposed to weather	50			
4	Superstructure cast-in-place concrete exposed to weather	50			
5	Concrete of well foundation in contact with earth	75			
	Precast superstructure elements				
	Outside faces	50			
6	Inside faces	40			
7	Post-Tensioned tendons	75			

Table 15: Concrete cover

Note

- Where bundled or paired bars are used then the equivalent diameter shall be considered in determining cover requirements.
- 7.2 Crack width

Crack width check shall be carried out as per Clause 12.3.4. Crack width shall not exceed the admissible value for moderate exposure condition defined in Table 12.1 of IRC 112-2011 for the load combinations as given below.

Under Quasi permanent load combination for RCC = 0.3 mm.





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

Under Frequent load combination for PSC members with Bonded tendons = 0.2 mm.

All transverse spanning elements of the superstructure shall be considered as reinforced concrete with regards to the application of crack control requirements.

Further minimum reinforcement for reinforced concrete members for crack control shall be provided as per Clause 12.3.3. In pre-stressed members if the concrete is in compression under rare combination, then only minimum reinforcement for early thermal and shrinkage cracking shall be provided as per Clause 16.5.4 of IRC: 112-2011.





VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 8. FOUNDATION DESIGN

8.1 Design Discharge of Foundation

To provide for and adequate margin of safety, the scour for foundation shall be designed for a larger discharge over the design discharge determined as per IRC:5 as given below:

Catchment area in km2	ea in km2 Increase over design Discharge in percent 30	
03000		
3000 - 10000	30 - 20	1415
10000 - 40000	20 - 10	
Above - 40000	10	

NOTES:

- i) For intermediate values of catchment area, linear interpolation may be adopted.
- ii) The minimum vertical clearance above the HFL already determined as per IRC:5 need not be increased due to larger discharge calculated above.
- 8.2 Mean Depth of Scour

The mean scour depth below Highest Flood Level (HFL) for natural channels flowing over scourable bed can be calculated theoretically from the following equation:

$$dsm = 1.34 \text{ x} (D_b^2/K_{sf})^{1/3}$$

Where,

Db = The design discharge for foundation per meter width of effective waterway.

Ksf = Silt factor for a representative sample of bed material obtained up to the level of anticipated deepest scour.

"Ksf" is given by the expression  $1.76\sqrt{dm}$ , dm being the weighted mean diameter in millimeter.

The value of Ksf for various grades of sandy bed are given below for ready reference and adoption:





VOLUME – II – DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

Type of bed material	dm	sf
Coarse silt	0.04	0.35
Silt/fine sand	0.081 to 0.158	0.5 to 0.7
Medium sand	0.223 to 0.505	0.85 to 1.25
Coarse sand	0.725	1.5
Fine bajri and sand	0.988	1.75
Heavy sand	1.29 to 2.00	2.0 to 2.42

8.3 Maximum Depth of Scour for Design of Foundation

The maximum depth of scour below the Highest Flood Level (HFL) for the design of piers and abutments having individual foundations without any floor protection may be considered as follows.

- Flood without seismic combination
- i) For piers 2.0 dsm

ii) For abutments - a) 1 .27 dsm with approach retained or lowest bed level whichever is deeper.

b) 2.00 d with scour all around.

> Flood with seismic combination

For considering load combination of flood and seismic loads (together with other appropriate combinations given elsewhere) the maximum depth of scour given in Clause 703.3.1.1 may be reduced by multiplying factor of 0.9.

For low water level (without flood conditions) combined with seismic combination maximum level of scour below high flood level can be assumed as 0.8 times scour.







Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

## 8.4 DEPTH OF FOUNDATION

## > General

The foundation shall be designed to withstand the worst combination of loads and forces evaluated in accordance with the provisions of Clause 706. The foundations shall be taken to such depth that they are safe against scour or protected from it. Apart from this, the depth should also be sufficient from consideration of bearing capacity, settlement, liquefaction potential, stability and suitability of strata at the founding level and sufficient depth below It. In case of bridges where the mean scour depth 'dsm' is calculated with the depth of foundation shall not be less than those of existing structures in the vicinity.

> In Soil

The embedment of foundations in soil shall be based on correct assessment of anticipated scour considering the values given under Clause 9.1. Foundation may be taken down to a comparatively shallow depth below the bed surface provided good bearing stratum is available, and the foundation is protected against scour. The minimum depth of open foundations shall be up to stratum having safe bearing capacity but not less than 2.0 m below the scour level or the protected bed level.

> In Rocks

For open foundations resting on rock, the depth of rock, which in the opinion of the geological expert is weathered or fissured, shall be excluded in deciding the depth of embedment into the rock existing below. Where foundations are to rest on credible rocks, caution shall be exercised to establish the foundation level at sufficient depth, so as to ensure that they do not get undermined, keeping in view the continued erosion of the bed. After allowing for conditions stipulated above the minimum embedment of the foundations into the rock below shall be as follows, which in case of sloping rock profile can be provided by properly benching the foundations.







DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

	Type of Rock	Embedment depth
a)	For rocks of moderately strong and above in table 2 of classification of rock (under clause 8.2 of appendix 2) having UCS of more than 12.5 MPA or where it is not possible to take core to get the UCS but extrapolated SPT N value is more than 500	0.6 m
b)	For rock of moderately weak and below in table 2 of classifi- cation of rock (under clause 8.2 of appendix 2) having UCS < 12.5 MPA but $\geq$ 2.5 MPA or where it is not possible to take core to get the UCS but extrapolated SPT N value is more than 100 but less than 500	1.5 m

#### 8.5 LOADS, FORCES, STABILITY AND STRESSES

#### $\triangleright$ Loads, Forces and their Combinations

The loads and forces may be evaluated as per IRC:6 and their combinations for the purpose of this code will be as follows:

Combination I): G + (Qor Gs + Fwc+ Ff+ Fb+ G+ Fcf+ Fep,

Combination II): i) + W + Fwp

Or

i) + Feg + Fwp

or

ii)+Fim + Fwp

Combination iii) : G + Fwc + Gb + Fep + Fer + Ff + (W or Feg)

8.6 Horizontal Forces at Bearing Level

> $\geq$ Simply Supported Spans

For simply supported span with fixed and free bearings (other than Elastomeric type) on stiff supports, horizontal forces at the bearing level in the longitudinal direction shall be as given below:

**Fixed Bearing Free Bearing** 

Greater of the two values given below:

Fh -  $\mu$ (Rg + Rq) i)  $\mu(Rg + Rq)$ 



VOLUME - II - DESIGN BASIS REPORT



Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev – Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha – Khellani – Khanabal road portion.

ii) 
$$Fh/2 + \mu(Rg + Rq) = \mu(Rg + Rq)$$

Where,

- Fh- Applied horizontal force
- Rg Reaction at the free end due to dead load
- Rq- Reaction at the free end due to live load
- $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  Co-efficient of friction at the movable bearing which shall be

assumed to have the allowable values:

i) For steel roller bearings	:	0.03
ii) For concrete roller bearings	:	0.05
iii) For sliding bearings:		
a) Steel on cast iron or steel on steel	:	0.4
b) Grey cast iron on grey cast iron	:	0.3
(Mechanites)		
c) Concrete over concrete	:	0.5
d) Teflon on stainless steel	:	0.03 and 0.05

(whichever is governing)

- In case of simply supported small spans up to 10 m and where no bearings are provided, horizontal force in the longitudinal direction at the bearing level shall be Fh/2 or  $\mu(Rg)$  whichever is greater.
- 8.7 Base Pressure

The allowable bearing pressure and the settlement characteristics under different loads and stresses may be determined on the basis of sub-soil exploration and testing. Though the help of relevant Indian Standard Code of Practice may be taken, the allowable bearing pressure may be calculated as gross so that the gross pressure at the base without deducting the soil displaced can be computed.







**DPR GOHA KHELLANI ROAD** Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing Pre-Construction activities in respect of the following stretches on NH-244 (old NH-1B) in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. (i) Sudhmahadev - Dranga Tunnel of approx. length 4.5 Km and its approach roads on Chenani – Sudhmahadev – Goha road portion. (ii) Vailoo Tunnel of approx. length 10.00 Km under Sinthan Pass and its approach roads on Goha - Khellani - Khanabal road portion.

#### Factor of Safety $\triangleright$

For open foundations and well foundation resting on soil, the allowable bearing pressure on ultimate bearing capacity may be taken as 2.5 for soil.

#### Factor of Safety for stability $\geq$

Factors of safety against overturning and sliding are given below. These are mainly relevant for open foundations:

	Without seismic caseWith seismic case		
I. Against overturning	2	1.5	
II. Against sliding	1.5	1.25	
III. Against deep-seated failur	e 1.25	1.15	

Frictional co-efficient between concrete and soil/rock will be Tan 0, 0 being angle of friction.

Founding soil in foundation of bridge being generally properly consolidated, following values may be adopted:

Friction co-efficient between soil and concrete = 0.5

Friction co-efficient between rock and concrete = 0.8 for good rock and 0.7 for fissured rock.



