



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highways Authority of India

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways)

परियोजना क्रियान्वयन इकाई - बागपत

Project Implementation Unit, Baghpat

फ्रेंड्स कॉलोनी, निकट पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. गेस्ट हाउस, बड़ौत रोड, जिला-बागपत - 250609

Friends Colony, Near PWD Guest House, Baraut Road, District Baghpat-250609

टेलीफोन:

ई-मेल : piu.baghpat@gmail.com



भारतमाला
प्रगति के पथ पर अग्रसर
BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

Letter no: 1034

Date: 04-01-2019

To,

Nodal Officer,

Uttar Pradesh Forest Department, Lucknow,
Uttar Pradesh-UP.

Sub: Four laning of Panipat (Start from junction with Existing Km of NH-44 at Panipat) -to Shamli
(End at its junction with Existing 39+300 of SH-12) of NH-709AD in the State of Uttar Pradesh
Package-I (km 0.000 to km 35.580 Reg.:Submission of Forest Compliance

Ref: 1) Online Proposal No: FP/UP/ROAD/37343/2018 EDS raised on 24-12-2018

Dear Sir,

With reference to your EDS raised on 24-12-2018 , observation wise compliance is submitted as
under

S.NO	Information Sought	Reply
1	In A-1 (vi) estimated cost of the project shown as Rs. 12255700.00 lakh which is incorrect.	In A-1 (vi) Revised estimated total cost of the project Rs. 125261 Lakhs has been corrected.
2	In A-3 (xvii) in uploaded authorisation letter, The details of the road is shown as 0.00 to 132.00 KM whereas in other sections shown as Km 0.00 to 35.800. Kindly rectify.	In A-3 (xvii) in modified authorisation letter Km 0.00 to 35.580 has been uploaded
3	In B-2.3, in village wise breakup, only one village has been shown. Kindly ensure to give details of every village falling within the proposed alignment.	In B-2.3, in village wise breakup, It has ensured that the details of every village falling within the proposed alignment has been updated.
4	In C(ii)-b KML file is not correct. Ensure that forest land as well as non-forest land should be shown in KML file and uploaded accordingly.	In C(ii)-b Corrected KML file has been uploaded and it also ensured that forest land as well as non-forest land has been shown in KML file and uploaded accordingly.

33

5	In C(iii), Uploaded toposheet is not a original. The toposheet and kml files along with geo referenced map are not same. The applicant has now uploaded kml file and geo referenced map without showing any non-forest land, whereas the toposheet uploaded by the applicant shows non-forest land also and signature, seal & date of authorised person.	In C(iii), Original toposheets along with matching KML file and geo referenced map on showing non-forest land with signature, seal & date of authorised person has been uploaded.
6	In C(iv), Uploaded map is not a geo referenced map. Upload correct geo referenced map with all coordinates, title name, legend & signature, date & seal of the authorised officer.	In C(iv), Uploaded corrected geo referenced map with all coordinates, title name, legend & signature, date & seal of the authorised officer.
7	Upload Calculation of non-forest land & NOC of owner departments.	Revised Calculation of non-forest land indicating in the area sheet Colum no 28 has been uploaded in Additional detail & We undertake that the Compliance NOC of Owners Department will be made available and it also ensured that hard copies are submitted to DFOs with NOCs of Owner Department.
8	It is seen that instead of putting signatures of authorised person in the uploaded documents, the applicant has instead pasted scanned patch of signatures which is highly objectionable. During discussions on 21.12.2018 this was shown to RO and PD both. Kindly ensure that signatures along with seal has to be made in every document by the applicant instead of pasting scanned patch.	We ensured that signatures along with seal has been made in every document by the applicant instead of pasting scanned patch.

The above point wise compliance is submitted for further necessary action.



Sanjay.Kumar Mishra

Project Director

PIU NHAI, Baghpat

Encl: As above.