SITE INSPECTION REPORT

| Sr. No. | Items | Observation and Remarks | | | | |
|------------|---|---|--------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1 | Name of the Project and Location (Range, Round, Beat) | Proposal seeking Forest Clearance for diversion of Forest Land for doubling of Nira - Lonand Section of Pune - Miraj BG Railway | | | | |
| 2 | Name of the User Agency | Line. | | | | |
| 3 | Date of Site Inspection | Central Railway, Pune | | | | |
| 4 | | 01/09/2022 | | | | |
| | Extent (Ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion | 0.02 Ha RF | | | | |
| 5 | a) Details of forest and proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land | S. no | Talu-ka | Villa- ge | Compt. No / Gut No | Extant |
| | | 1 | Khanda la | Pade gaon | Gut. N. 176 Gut. N. 180 | |
| | b) Density and Eco-value class | De | | | | |
| 6 | Whether the requirement of forest land as | Density below 0.1 & Eco class III | | | | |
| Ü | proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. | It is unavoidable and the demanded land of 0.02 Ha is the Barest minimum | | | | |
| 7 | Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (Including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. | No | | | | |
| 8 | a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. | Forest area proposed for diversion is only small isolated patch of 0.02 ha. near existing railway track hence, currently there is very less presence of any wildlife. | | | | |
| | b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the dtails there of. | unique species of flora and in proposed forest land as i already disturbed very small piece of forest land. | | lora and faur land as it is c ery small and | auna found is observed | |
| | c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any protected Area (KM) | | | ms. | | |
| | d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. | | | | na. isolated poole piece ar | |

| | e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons thereof. | consideration of this project, hence, it is not much sensitive. Primarily, it is not required. |
|----|--|--|
| 9 | Details of Vegetation : | |
| | a) Total number of tress to be felled.b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 CM. | Nil Nil |
| | c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 CM. | Nil |
| | d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area. | No any effect |
| 10 | Background note on the proposal (Short Summery) | There has been wide-ranging pressure on increasing passenger and freight services on existing Pune-Miraj Broad Gauge Railway Line. |
| | | To cope up with continually increasing volume of traffic, the Railway Board has sanctioned doubling of existing Pune - Miraj Broad Gauge Railway Line. |
| k | | The new BG line proposed to be laid parallel to existing BG line. |
| | | The existing BG Line traverse the Forest Land at two patches. The Forest Land, to the extent of 0.02 ha., falling within the jurisdiction of Satara Forest is required to be diverted for the project. |
| 11 | Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980? If yes a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached. | Yes, violation report attached herewith in page no. to |
| 12 | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government? | No |
| 13 | Details on catchment and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable). Status of catchment area treatment plant to prevent siltation of reservoir (If applicable) | Not Applicable |

(he

| 14 | Utility of the Project | The project is important for public |
|----|--|---|
| | | transport, trade and commerce. |
| 15 | Whether land being diverted has any Socio- Cultural/Religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exists in the areas proposed for diversion? | No |
| 16 | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, If required) | No |
| 17 | Details of documents and photographs enclosed. | Enclosed |
| 18 | Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (DCF) | The project is site specific and recommended for acceptance on priority as it is associated with trade, commerce & socio-economic development of the region. This recommendation for the project is subjected to compliance to the directions given in accordance withe violation report. |

(U.S.Sawant)

Dy. Conservator of Forest, Satara Forest Division, Satara.

Place : Satara

Date: