

GOVERNMENT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR



PMGSY
(JKRRDA)

PRADHAN MANTRI GRAM SADAK YOJANA

Up Gradation AND MAINTENENCE
OF
ROAD FROM
PANZLLA TO SHITLOO

Package No.	District	Block	Constituency	Length of Road	Total Cost of the Project (Lacs)
JK-03	Baramulla	ROHAMA	RAFIABAD	3.05 Km	Rs. 381.21 576.05

[Handwritten signature]
2. Mr. Engineer
PMGSY (JKRRDA) Baramulla

GOVERNMENT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR

DETAILED PROJECT REPORT
FOR
UPGRADATION OF ROAD FROM
PANZLLA TO SHITLOO
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana

District: BARAMULLA

Package No: JK03-

Block: ROHAMA

Length: 3.05 Km

Cost: Rs. Lacs 381.12
~~478.76~~

Maintenance Rs. Lacs 119.36
~~100.59~~

Total Estd. Cost: Rs. Lacs 598.03
~~598.03~~
84481.3

PMGSY(JKRRDA) DIVISION BARAMULLA(J&K)

PROFORMA-B

PRADHAN MANTRI GRAM SADAK YOJNA

PACKAGE SUMMARY- PACKAGE NO: JK03

PHASE:- STAGE U

S.NO	NAME OF BLOCK	NAME OF ROAD		TYPE OF PROPOSAL	PROPOSED LENGTH KM	COST OF PAVEMENT		NO. OF CD WORKS	COST OF CD WORKS		MISC COST		TOTAL ESTIMATED COST		AVERAGE COST PER KM	
		FROM	TO			LACS	NOS		LACS	9	LACS	10	LACS	LACS	LACS	LACS
1	2		3	4	5	7	8									
1	Rohama	Panzila	Shidoo	U	3.05		13		55.42		2.93					

345.49

381.21

Total Estimated Cost
Maintenance Cost for five Years WITH
6TH YEAR RENEWAL COAT

N= New Construction

Grand total

Prepared by

Checked by

Scrutinized by

Technical Scrutinity done by

Coordinator STA

Signature
Name
Designation

Coordinator
STA

Signature

Name

Designation

Signature

Name

Designation

Signature

Name

Signature

Name

Techn. Scrutinity by:

Signature
Name

Dr. Mohammad Shafi Mir

Professor

Civil Engineering Department

National Institute of Technology (NIT) Srinagar

Hazratbal Srinagar J&K - 190006

23	Does the proposal have provisions for PMGSY Logo Sign Boards and Information Board Km/Hm Stones Guard Stones (where necessary) Traffic Sign Boards (as necessary)	Yes / No Yes / No Yes / No
----	---	----------------------------------

24	Specific Remarks, if any, by STA (Specific remarks of STA about the overall project are necessary on each DPR)	Yes / No
----	--	----------

The DPR is for upgradation of the road from 3.5 L 5.5m Carriageway width and
Includes improvement in pavement structure, Drainage & slope stability aspects.

Certified that the Design and Estimation for the Proposed Road work are based on the data and SSR provided by PIU Engineers. The Proposal after final Correction is entered on the OMMS. The Proposal may be considered for clearance.

Technical Scrutiny at STA done by:	Co-ordinator STA
Signature	Signature
Name	Name
Date	Date
Date	Date

Prof. H. Shafi Mir
Dr. Mohammad Shafi Mir
Professor
Civil Engineering Department
National Institute of Technology (NIT) Srinagar
Hazratbal Srinagar, J&K - 190008

1. Location :- State: J&K

District : Baramulla

Block

Rohama

2. Package No:-

Name of the Road:- Upgradation and Maintenance of Road from Panzalla To Shiloo

4. Total Length (Km) :- 3.050 In Built up area - 1.5 Km

In Open Area - 1.550 Km

5. Estimated Cost lacs :

Average Cost :

Item	Total Cost in lacs.	Cost per Km. Lakhs
Pavement	345.17	115.14
Right Pavement	0.00	0.00
CD works	56.42	18.50
Others	2.93	0.96
Total	404.52	134.60

6. Type of proposal:-

Upgradation

- If the proposed road is a New connectivity No

- Is the road a part of core network

If Yes Through Route/ Link Route No.

- Name of the unconnected Target Habitation (s) (to be crosschecked with CN-5)

- Population sub served by the proposed road.

- Does the Proposed Road lead up to the Habitation for which it is supposed to provide connectivity (In other words are you sure that the road is not being made partially?)

YES

- Does the proposed Road connect the unconnected Habitation to

a) Another habitation having All- weather road.

b) Directly to an All weather road.

c) b) indicate the nature of road to which the proposed road leads.

(A)

(B)

- If the proposal is for up gradation

- Is the road a part of the core network

- Is it associated Through Route or Not

PCI value

Age of the road

- Is it certified that there are no other unconnected Eligible Habitations in the district.

YES

YES

YES

30

7. a) Whether the Proposed Road has the desired carriage way width, Roadway width and Road Land Width (RLW)

b) Indicates the actual widths of the following for the proposed road

a) Carriageway

b) Roadway

c) Road Land Width

In the Built Up Area (m)

In the Open Area (m)

5.50

7.50

10.00

5.50

7.50

10.00

YES