

Site Inspection Report

State serial No. of Proposal FP/MH/ROAD/47068/2020																																											
Items	Observation and remarks																																										
Name of the project and location	Rehabilitation & upgradation of Barasgaon to Rahatee Bu. (Mh/AP Border) Section of NH-222 In km 558/200 to 615/00 to two lane with paved shoulders On EPC mode under NHDP-IV in the State of Maharashtra.																																										
Village :-	Tatkalwadi (Bachoticamp), Narwat, Bhokar, Rahati (Bk.)																																										
Range :-	Nanded / Bhokar																																										
Round :-	Bhoshi (pt), Bhokar, Darjani																																										
Beat :-	Bachoti camp, Bhokar, Nanda (mhaisa patti)																																										
Forest Compt. No.	410 A, 417 B, 417 A, 371 A																																										
Name of the User Agency.	Executive Engineer, National Highway Division, Nanded (Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)																																										
Date of site inspection.	13/02/2021																																										
Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion.	5.34 Ha., Reserved Forests																																										
a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land.	<p>5.34 Ha. Reserved Forests,</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. No</th> <th>Village</th> <th>Sur No/ Gut No</th> <th>Area</th> <th>Comt. No.</th> <th>Status of Land</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Tatkalwadi (Bachoti camp)</td> <td>54</td> <td>3.15</td> <td>410 A</td> <td>RF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Narwat</td> <td>46/66</td> <td>0.78</td> <td>417 A, 417 B</td> <td>RF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Bhokar</td> <td>386</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>417 a</td> <td>RF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Rahati</td> <td>99</td> <td>0.75</td> <td>371 A</td> <td>RF</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>178/1</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>371 A</td> <td>RF</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: center;">Total Area =</td> <td>5.34</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Activity-wise break-up - No activities has been carried out on the proposed area for diversion</p>	Sr. No	Village	Sur No/ Gut No	Area	Comt. No.	Status of Land	1.	Tatkalwadi (Bachoti camp)	54	3.15	410 A	RF	2.	Narwat	46/66	0.78	417 A, 417 B	RF	3.	Bhokar	386	0.51	417 a	RF	4.	Rahati	99	0.75	371 A	RF			178/1	0.15	371 A	RF	Total Area =			5.34		
Sr. No	Village	Sur No/ Gut No	Area	Comt. No.	Status of Land																																						
1.	Tatkalwadi (Bachoti camp)	54	3.15	410 A	RF																																						
2.	Narwat	46/66	0.78	417 A, 417 B	RF																																						
3.	Bhokar	386	0.51	417 a	RF																																						
4.	Rahati	99	0.75	371 A	RF																																						
		178/1	0.15	371 A	RF																																						
Total Area =			5.34																																								
b) Density and Eco-value class.	<p>Density:- Below 0.4</p> <p>EcoValue Class - III</p>																																										
Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	Yes.																																										
Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof.	No																																										
a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not.	Moments of Peacock, Black buck, Wild Pig etc. are found in proposed area.																																										

b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof.	No.
c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km).	No protected area is near by the proposed diversion land. Aerial distance is 42.50 km from the nearest boundary of Painganga wildlife Sanctuary.
d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.	Sensitivity of any type will not be affected
e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore:	Yes, as road cuts the habitat of wildlife, following mitigation measures are suggested i) Two water holes for each patch (one on each site) and four patches, 08 water holes required. ii) Sign boards for wild life safety (reflecting speed limit and no horn zone ect. Above mitigation plan suggested will be implemented by user agency under the supervision of forest department.
Details of vegetation:	
a) Total number of trees to be felled:	273
b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm:	199
c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm:	74
d) Effect of removal of trees on the general eco-system in the area.	No Effect on eco-system
Background note on the proposal (short summary).	Rehabilitation & upgradation of Barasgaon to Rahatee Bu. (Mh/AP Border) Section of NH-222 In km 558/200 to 615/00 to two lane with paved shoulders On EPC mode under NHDP-IV in the State of Maharashtra. the exiting carriage width is 7.00 Mtr with black top. Proposed widening will required 30.00 meter for NH Standard and hence proposed for diversion.
Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached.	Yes, Detail reports about violation enclosed on page no (98 to 100)
Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	No.
Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable).	NA.
Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable).	NA.
Utility of the project.	The project will bring socio-economic development of Nanded District especially Nanded & Bhokar tq. & also improves agriculture and tourism activities. And also establish good connectivity between Maharashtra & telangana state.
Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?	No.

Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required).	No.
Details of documents and photographs enclosed:	Geo tagged photo enclosed in the proposal. Page No. (115)
Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer	<p>The diversion of minimum forest land is recommended for the following reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Road proposed has been declared as National Highway connecting Nanded District of Maharashtra & Telangana state to create good connectivity between these two states. 2. This is being widening of existing road having 5.50 to 7.50 meter carriage width. Minimum forest is required which is unavoidable & no realignment is proposed in forest area. 3. Widening of road will improve socio-economic status of Nanded & Bhokar tq. 4. Most of the areas are along the project Road is agriculture belt. And thus it also will boost up agriculture growth in this area.

Place: - Nanded
Dated:- 18 / 02 / 2021

(Signature)
Name of Inspecting Officer:- R.A.SATELIKAR
Designation:- Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Nanded Division, Nanded

Dy. Conservator of Forests
Nanded.

Joint Site Inspection of NH-61 (222)

Date of Visit 13/02/2021

Proposed for diversion of forest area 5.34 ha FCA Proposal

