COST-BENEFITS ANALYSIS FOR DIVERSION OF FOREST LAND

Name of Proposal:- Diversion of Forest land for Rehabilitation and Upgradation of single / Intermediate Lane to Two lane from km 75.00 to 101.00 on NH-123(507) under EPC mode in the State of Uttarakhand.

Nature of Proposal:-Diversion of 31.860ha. of Open forest land of Upper Yamuna Forest Division under FCA, 1980, Rehabilitation and Upgradation of single / Intermediate Lane to Two lane from Chainage km 75.00 to 101.00 on NH-507 under EPC mode in the State of Uttarakhand.

Total Length of the project road in/along the forest area:-17.700km

Total No. of District which proposed road alignment transverse:-1

Forest area proposed for diversion:-31.860 Ha.

Purpose:-The cost benefit analysis is being undertaken for proposed diversion of forest land for widening of existing road for the above project.Cost Benefit Analysis as per MoEF&CC guideline for Forest Land Diversion – August 2017 and 6 January 2022.

Since the proposal is for diversion of forest area measuring more than 5 hectare in hills for road project, cost benefit analysis report is applicable.

S.No.	Parameters	Reference Cuideline	
1	Ecosystem Services losses		Evaluation
	due to proposed ferret	As per MOEFF&CC notification	Forest land proposed for diversion
	due to proposed forest	for NPV.	falls under Eco-class -V (Dense
	diversion	Note: The Net Present Value	Forest). Since the Dense forest
		(NPV) of forest land diverted is	land is of Eco Class -V having
		scientific method of calculating the	density 0.5 therefore par hasture
ы. С	2	environmental cost and other losses	NPV rote of per MOEE
		caused due to diversion of forest	aircular No. 52/2011 EQ. (14)
		land for non-forestry purposes. The	circular No. 5-3/2011-FC (Vol-I)
		NPV represents the net sol	dated 6th Jan 2022 is taken Rs.
		varies of varies of	1292850 per Ha.
		various ecosystem services and	So NPV for forest area:
		other environmental services in	$31.860 \times 1292850 = 411.90 \text{ Lakbs}$
		monetary terms which forest would	
		have provided if forest land not	
		diverted.	
	Loss of animal husbandry	To be quantified and expressed in	Loss of animal husbandry due to
	productivity, including loss	monetary terms or 10% of NPV	proposed diversion is war
	of fodder	applicable whichever is maximum	moderate as calculated Crass
			loss@ 5 ton/he /w
			10ss(a) 5 ton/na./yr.
			(31.860*5*100)*50
-			yrs.=/.96Lakhs
			10% of NPV= 4110 Lakbe
			(Maximum ono)
2	Cost of Human Settlement	To be quantified & expressed in	Nil og no humon mosti
		monetary terms on actual terms as	result, as no numan resettlement
		per approved R&R plan	required
3	Loss of public facilities and	To be cupptified & provide the	
.'	administrative infrastructure	monetary terms on extend	No loss of public infrastructure
	(Dends building schools	the time of diagonal terms at	like roads, building etc. are
	(Koads, building, schools,	the time of diversion	envisaged from proposed ROW

D

Table -A: Estimation cost of forest diversion

S.No.	Parameters	Reference Cuideline		
	electric line, water line etc.)	Reference Guidenne	Evaluation	
	on forest land which require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.		located in forest land area. No cost of utility shifting in the proposed forest land for diversion.	
4	Possession value of forest land diverted	30% of environmental cost (NPV) or circle rate of area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forest lands whichever is maximum.	30% of environmental cost (NPV)= Rs 123.57 Lakhs. Average per Ha. land (non- commercial) rate along project road in nearby village to forest land to be diverted rate Rs. 3100 / sq.mtr Cost @31.86*10000*3100= Rs	
5	Cost of suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation oustees in addition to cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation etc. as per R&R, to be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years if not been shifted.	9876.60 Lakhs (Maximum one) Nil, no Resettlement & Rehabilitation is required in Forest land proposed for diversion, no losses on this account.	
6	Habitat fragmentation	Wildlife habitat fragmentation and forest services is complex, so simply taken 50% of NPV cost	50% of environmental cost (NPV)= 205.95 Lakhs	
7	EcoRestorationCompensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	Actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation	EcoRestoration and Compensatory afforestation and Soil & moisture conservation Cost @5.0 Lakhs per hectare = $31.86 \times 2 \times 5.0 = 318.60$ Lakhs	

Table -B: Estimation benefits of forest diversion in cost benefit analysis (CBA)

S.No.	Parameters	Reference Guideline	Evaluation
1	Increase in productivity due to project road	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms.	Proposed forest diversion is for widening of existing road and project road will improve accessibility to the region leading to economic and social development in the region. Further saving in terms of travel time, fuel saving and growth rate increase of the state due to tourist increase etc. All these are considered in Economic benefits of project road
2	Benefits to economy due to specific project	The incremental economic benefits in monetary terms due to the activities due to project road	Economic Improvement in the Project district considering 0.05% enhanced growth rate in the GDP against normal benefit for next 5 yers. There are 13 districts in Uttarakhand. (Current GDP of the state @ Rs 3,94675.00 Crore / annum) $(3.94,675x \ 100 \ x \ 0.05 \ x \ 5 \ / \ 100 \ / \ 13) =$ 7589.901 akbs
3	No. of population benefited from the project	As per the project report and census data	The proposed road project in the Uttrakhand state is part of NH-507 in the district Uttarkashi. Whole population of Uttarakhand state (100.86 lakhs)

2

All

& P



3

S.No.	Parameters	Reference Guideline	Evaluation
011-01			will be benefit from the project, specifically Uttarkashi population projected 5.0 Lakh for 2025.
4	Economic benefits due to employment generation	As per the project report	Construction stage employment considering 2 years construction period for project generating 1123200 Mandays (1800 no. of peoples*26 days in month*24 months) employment @600 Rs. Per day = 6739.20Lakhs
5	Economic benefits due to compensatory afforestation	Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation. *For benefits of CA the guideline of the Ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted	In lieu of total trees to be cut for the proposed road in the forest area, it is proposed to undertake compensatory plantation as per forest conservation act. equal to double of the diverted forest area, it will increase productivity. Ecological value of compensatory afforestation @15 lakhs for density 1 forest as per Forest conservation act, 1980 Benefits from compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years is huge and monetary
			$@(31.860 \times 2) \times 1005210 = 640.52$ Lakh

Table C: Cost Benefit Analysis for the Project

S.No.	Total cost/Loss (in Lakhs)	Benefits (in Lakhs)
1	Ecosystem Services losses	Benefits to economy due to specific project.
	Rs. 411.90 Lakh	Rs. 7589.90Lakh
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including	Economic benefits due to employment generation.
	loss of fodder	Rs. 6739.20 Lakh
	Rs. 41.19 Lakh	
3	Possession value of Forest land Diverted Rs.	Ecological Gain from compensatory afforestation.
	9876.60 Lakh	Rs. 640.52 Lakh
4	Habitat Fragmentation	34
	Rs. 160.13 Lakh	
5	Eco Restoration and Compensatory afforestation	
	and Soil & moisture conservation Cost Rs.	
	318.60 Lakh	
Total	411.90 +41.19+ 9876.60+205.95+318.60=	7589.90+6739.20+640.52= 14969.62 Lakh
	10854.24Lakhs	
Cost-Be	nefit Ratio (Total benefit/Total cost)	14969.62/10854.24 = 1.38

Cost-Benefit Ratio is (>1) high as lesser forest area to be diverted compare to length of project road alignment benefittingthe project district and state economy.

AAE

AE

ExecutiveEngineer N.H. PWD, Barkot