Proposal for the diversion of 0.18 ha. Protected Forest Land for Construction of Access/Approach Road to proposed Logistics Park along with Warehouses Project, underground water pipeline, Electricity cable and other ancillary works under old Survey No.98/1, new Gut No.239/4 of Village Kharivali tarf Paulbar, Tal. Wada, Dist. Palghar, Maharashtra in favour of Shri. Nehalkumar Hasmukhrai Gandhi & Harsh N. Gandhi

## **JOINT INSPECTION REPORT**

| Sr.<br>No | Items  | Observation and Remarks   |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1.        | Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)                                | Proposal for the diversion of 0.2805 ha. Protected Forest Land for Construction of Access/Approach Road to proposed Warehousing Project including underground water pipeline, underground Electricity cable, drainage line & other ancillary activities in Gut No.239 Survey No.98/1 of Village Kharivali tarf Paulbar, Tal. Wada, Dist. Palghar, Maharashtra |
| 2.        | Location :- i) Division ii) Range iii) Round iv) Survey Nos. Name of the User Agency | Jawhar Forest Division  Wada (West)  Khupari  Gut No.239/4 (Old Survey No98/1)  Shri. Nehalkumar Hasmukhrai Gandhi & Harsh N. Gandhi  |

| 3. | Date of the site inspection  | 26 /07/2022  |
|----|--|--|
| 4. | Extent (ha) and legal status of Forest land proposed for diversion   | 0.18 ha. Protected Forest area   |
| 5. | a) Details of Forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of Forest land b) Density of the Forest and Ecovalue class   | Detailed area Statement attached on Page No.  Density – below 0.1  Eco value class – III (Open ) |
| 6. | Whether the requirement of Forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-1 is unavoidable and bearest minimum for the project. If not recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. | Yes.  Protected forest land area required for the project is indispensable and minimum.          |
| 7. | Whether the proposal involved any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof.  |  |
| 8. | a) Whether Forest area proposed for diversion is important for wildlife point of view or not.  | No   |
|    | b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of florae and fauna found in proposed Forest land. If so, the details thereof.  | Not Applicable   |
|    | c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any  | Not Applicable   |

|     | Durch and a discount of the second  | 10   |
|-----|---|--|
|     | Protected Area(km)  |  |
|     | d) Remarks about sensitivity of<br>the Forest area likely to be<br>affected due to project.   |  |
|     | e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If, yes, reason therefore.   | Not Applicable                                       |
| 9.  | Details of Vegetation   |  |
|     | a) Total number of trees to be felled.  |  |
|     | b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.   |  |
|     | c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm  |  |
|     | d) Effect if removal of trees on<br>the general ecosystem in the<br>area  |  |
| 10. | Background note on the proposal (short summary)   | Project note is attached on Page No in the Proposal. |
| 11. | Whether the proposal involved any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached |  |
| 12. | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes. Whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State  | No   |

|     |   | Jan   |
|-----|---|---|
|     | Government?   |   |
| 13. | Details on catchments and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable)   |   |
|     | Status of catchments area   | No  |
|     | treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir  |   |
|     | (if applicable)   |   |
| 14. | Utility of the Project  | The project proponent purchased 15.5690 Ha private land for establishment of Logistic park especially to store chemicals brought from different part of the Country and to Distribute it to different Industrial Organizations. |
|     |   | The proposed project area is well connected with Mumbai Metropolitan City.  |
|     |   | This project will provide permanent employment to the villagers belonging to the Scheduled Tribe community.   |
|     |   | Further, the project proponent is willing to incur necessary compensatory afforestation costs. This underlines the concern of Project Proponent in favour of Forest.  |
| 15. | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural / religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion. | No  |
| 16. | Any other important information related to the project (Separate  | No  |

|     | note may be attached, if required)                     |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 17. | Details of documents and photographs enclosed          | Yes  |
| 18. | Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (CCF/CF/DCF) | Since the proposal is for access/approach Road to proposed logistic park and After care full inspection of all three alignments the alignment no. 2 is Recommended for sanction. |

It is agreed that the Protected Forest area mentioned above is found correct on the spot and in the map.

Project Proponent

Harsh N (Gandhi)

(M.B., Mhaske\_

Assistant Conservator of Forests,

Wada