

S.N	EDS	DATE	22.08.23	REPLY
1.	NPV Rate are not taken as per Crop density.			NPV Rate is taken as per Crop density
2.	As per Part II rare and endangered flora and fauna found in the area but not mention in site inspection report. Although mitigate measures for the species have not beentaken.			Correction has been done in Part II.
3.	CA Scheme is Provided for 3261550.00 but estimate Provided for 1742281 (without sign). kindly rectified it .32.19 ha non forest land has been allotted under different road proposals in different patches. It would be better to make scheme patch wise and charge accordingly.			CA scheme has been made according to the NFL Modal (259/-) .
4.	DCF has not been mention legal status of Proposed forest land and justification for recommendation.			legal status of Proposed forest land in mentioned. justification of the Project also given.
5.	As per KML file , the Area falls within two forest block (Bhaisaghat A and kundakotda A) is non forest area but in the proposal it show forest area kindly confirm and rectified accordingly.			As per Revenue map road ch-0 to 1872 mtr. Continue passing in forest land Correction has been done.
6.	Alternative routes are not taken properly. Some encroachment is shown in the forest area which should be removed and road will have proposed directly (not saving the encroachment)			Proper Alternative routes has been uploaded. The Proposed alignment is taken along the exististing track ,so that the tree falling is minimum up to the extent possible.
7.	DCF imposed special condition for construction of 6 feet wall along the road side but it is not mention neither in site inspection report nor recommendation . construction of of 6 feet wall along the road is not as per wildlife management CCF must comment accordingly.			6 feet wall Condition is removed in the project.
8.	Project cost is 200 lacs but as per			Compensatory levies are taken as

	imposed levies by DCF is more than 180 lacs. How the project is feasible? Project cost should be revised.	under CA Amount -1455403 NPV amount -2299920/- Underpass amount -7075098/- The user agency shall bear all the levies imposed by the department.
9.	As per available KML files, partial area of NFL comes under notified forest area.	Correction has been done.

(अनिल यादव)
उप वन संरक्षक
बारां

क्रमांक 0 एफ () / एफ.सी.ए. / उ.व.स. / 2024 -25 / दिनांक
प्रतिलिपि:- निम्न को सूचनार्थ एवं आव यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेशित है:-
1. अति.प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, FCA नोडल अधिकारी राज 0 जयपुर।
2. अधिसाशी अभियन्ता सार्वजनिक निर्माण विभाग खण्ड शाहवाड।

(अनिल यादव)
उप वन संरक्षक
बारां

कार्यालय अधिषाषी अभियन्ता सा. नि. वि. खण्ड शाहाबाद जिला बारां

ईमेल :- pwd_shahabad@rediffmail.com

कमाक 666

दिनांक 01.09.2023

श्रीमान् उपवन संरक्षक,
बारां।

विषय:- EDS Reply

कार्य का नाम:- चोखवाड़ी से नयागांव बाया महेशपुरा सड़क निर्माण के वन भूमि
प्रत्यावर्तन के प्रस्ताव।

प्रस्ताव सं.- FP/RJ/Road/34657/2018(1.872 Ha.)

प्रसंग:- श्रीमान् का पत्रांक एफ ()/एफ.सी.ए./उ.व.स./2022-23/8517

दिनांक 28.08.2023 के कम में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत निवेदन है कि प्रस्ताव में लगाये गये आक्षेपों की पूर्ति बिन्दुवार निम्न प्रकार है:-

क. सं.	बिन्दु	प्रतिउत्तर
1.	NPV rates are not taken as per crop density.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
2.	As per part II, rare and endangered flora and fauna found in the area but not mention in site inspection report. Although mitigate measure for the species have not been taken.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
3.	CA scheme is provided for 3261550.00 but estimate provided for 1742281 (without sign).Kindly rectified it. 32.19 ha nonforest land has been allotted under different road proposals in different patches. It would be better to make scheme patch wise and charge accordingly.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
4.	DCF has not been mention legal status of proposed forest land and justification for recommendation.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
5.	As per available KML files, the area falls within two forest blocks (Bhaisaghat A and Kundakotda A) is non forest area but in the proposal it shows forest area. Kindly confirm and rectified accordingly	As per revenue map road ch- 0 to 1872 mtr. Continue passing in forest land . (khasara detail given)

	Alternative routes are not taken properly. Some encroachment is shown in the forest area which should be removed and road will have proposed directly (not saving the encroachment)	Proper alternate route has been upload. Road proposed in existing cart track. In this track minimum tree cutting . so directly not possible.
7.	DCF imposed special condition for construction of 6 feet wall along the road both side but it is not mention neither is site inspection report nor in recommendation. Construction of 6 feet wall along the road is not as per wildlife management. CCF must comment accordingly.	6 feet wall is not justified and cost of project will be so much high. So please wall cost is remove from it project.
8.	Project cost is 180 lacs but as per imposed levies by DCF is more than 180 lacs. How the project is feasible? Project cost should be revised.	The sanction amount of the project is 180 lacs and DCF proposed in 6 feet hight wall & 3-4 under passed but these are not necessary in the project, so requested please minimize the levies cost.
9	As per available KML files, partial area of NFL comes under notified forest area.	KML File Prepare is per Geo reference of revenue map and checked by DCF Office surveyor . available KML files is not correct.

भवदीय



(हरि प्रसाद मीना)
अधिशायी अभियंता
सा.नि.वि. खण्ड शाहाबाद