



पत्रांक

/

दिनांक

/

/2017

सेवामें,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,  
उत्तरकाशी वन प्रभाग, कोटबंगला,  
उत्तरकाशी।


**विषय :-** प्रपोजल संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/20179/2016 विकास खण्ड चिन्यालीसौड़ के अन्तर्गत थौला से माड़ मोटर मार्ग के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदया,

विषयक प्रपोजल संख्या प्रपोजल संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/20179/2016 विकास खण्ड चिन्यालीसौड़ के अन्तर्गत थौला से माड़ मोटर मार्ग लगी आपत्तियों का फार्म ए. संशोधन कर दिया गया है। आपत्तियों का निराकरण निम्न प्रकार है।

क्र स	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	Justification for locating the project in forest land is not clear uploaded in online Part I D(i). The State Govt may justify the importance and necessity of the proposed road and also gives distance of the villages to be connected by the proposed alignment from existing road network in the area.	Clear Justification, importance and necessity of the proposed road are corrected and uploaded in online Part I D (i). The Distance between existing to connected Village is 10 km.
2	The employment details furnished in online Part I appear to be incorrect.	The employment details corrected in online Part I
3	The area mentioned in road component in component wise break up is incorrect in online Part I.	The area corrected in road component in component wise break up in online Part I.
4	The State Govt may upload the correct map at column D(ii)(a) of alternative examined in online Part I	The alternative map corrected and uploaded at column D(ii)(a)
5	The Village Level Committee proceeding is undated and the name of gram panchayat written Thaula but signed by representative of NewKhalsi. The clarification and correct document may upload at designated place in Part I.	Now date is mentioned in Village Level Committee proceeding. The name of gram panchayat written Thaula but signed by representative of New Khalsi by Mistake. The correct name of gram panchayat is Maad(New Khalsi). The clarification is that it written by mistake and correct document upload in Part I.
6	In the Cost Benefit analysis submitted with the reply information in column 1 of both performa VI b and VI C needs to be quantified in monetary terms. Cost over Benefit ratio may also be worked out.	The Cost Benefit analysis information in column 1 of both performa VI b and VI C now quantified in monetary terms and document uploaded

7	The details furnished in district profile showing shortfall in stipulated CA against total area diverted in the district. This may be clarified and need necessary correction in online Part II.	The details furnished and clarified by the D.F.O level
8	The State Govt provided CA scheme for equivalent land which is not accepted. The State Govt may provided CA scheme for double the area being diverted and revised the information in online Part I column L and II column 13 accordingly with revised georeferenced map, KML file, and site suitability certificate.	The CA scheme land being diverted double area
9	24 trees per hectare does not account for 0.4 density. The State Govt may review the density and correct the NPV rate accordingly.	The density is 0.4 and the NPV rates are correct according to the density.
10	Original copy of the revised document should be furnished to this office	Original copy of the revised document are attached

  
 अधिशासी अभियन्ता  
 निर्माण खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०,  
 विद्यालीसौड़ (उत्तरकाशी)  
 31/07/17