

PART-II**(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)**State serial No. of proposal: **FP/AP/ROAD/34882/2018**

7	Location of the project/Scheme	:	Diversion of 1.37 ha. forest land for formation and BT to the road including construction of culverts from Jerrila to Panasapalli of G.K.Veedhi Mandal passing through Sileru R.L. of Lothugedda Range in Narsipatnam Division in favour of Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Division, PIU, Visakhapatnam under FC Act 1980.
	Total length	:	3.00 Km. (2.283 Km. is passing through RF)
	(i) State	:	Andhra Pradesh
	(ii) District	:	Visakhapatnam
	(iii) Forest Division	:	Narsipatnam
	(iv) Area of Forest Land proposed for diversion.	:	Area: 1.37 ha.
	(v) Legal status of forests	:	Sileru RL - Under Section 4 of A.P. Forest Act, 1967.
	(vi) Density of vegetation	:	The density of the forest in the nearby vicinity of the proposed road is about 0.8.
	(vii) Species - wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation/hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed).	:	There are 25 Non-teak trees falling along the width of the proposed Road.
	(viii) Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	:	There is no vulnerability of forest area to erosion.
	(ix) Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forests.	:	Passing through the Reserve forest.
	(x) Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).	:	No

	(xi) Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area-if so details thereof.	:	No
	(xii) Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	:	No
8	Whether any requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.	:	Yes, unavoidable and barest minimum.
9	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	:	No
10	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme :		
	(i) Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	:	Does not arise.
	(ii) Map showing non-forest/degraded forest are identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	:	Does not arise.
	(iii) Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	:	Does not arise.
	(iv) Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme	:	Does not arise.

	(V) Certificate from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Chief Conservator of Forests).	:	Does not arise.
11	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col.7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.	:	Enclosed
12	Division/District profile		
	(i) Geographical area of the Division.	:	3,971.37 Sq.Km,
	(ii) Forest area of the Division	:	2,343.67 Sq.Km.
	(iii) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	:	No. of cases - 88 no. Area - 111.34 ha.
	iv) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 on wards.		
	(a) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation	:	Nil
	(b) Non-forest land.	:	449.42 ha.
	(V) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date)	:	
	(a) Forest land	:	Nil
	(b) Non-Forest land	:	Out of 449.42 ha., the area suitable is only 269.35 ha. The balance 180.07 ha. is not suitable for treatment.
13	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	:	The proposal is recommended as it is essential to the people in tribal villages/ hamlets for their connectivity and essential services like medical facilities, education, marketing of agricultural produce etc.

Signature :

Name : Dr.G.Sekhar Babu, IFS

Office Seal: **DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER**
NARSIPATNAM

Date:17.09.2018.

Place: Narsipatnam.