Check list for site inspection by the DFO

Inspection Date - 08-09-2022

Proposal No. - FP/MP/ROAD/51129/2020

SI. No 1	2. Particular	Inspection Report by DFO			
1.	Extent is hectares.	3			
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for	4.50 hec.			
	diversion.	what	Compartm ent No.	G.P.S. Reading	
				Starting point	Ending point
/	1	Main	, RF-333	23°20'81.01"N	23°12'21.76"
		Existin	<i>A</i>	80°08'16.13"E	80°17'09.07"
		g Road Wideni	PF-334	23°13'7.26"N	23°13'15.15"
0		no		80°11'23.35"E	80°12'7.80"E
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest,	Reserve F	orest & Prote	cted Forest	
	reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)				
4.		· ·			
,т.	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	Yes			
5.					
<u>6.</u>	Any signs of encroachment.	No			
υ.	Any activity already taken up within the forest	No			•
	land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the	÷		• 2 · · · ·	
	proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user Agency in case of				
	violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.				<i>z.</i>
7.		 	_		
	status of vegetation site quality species composition etc.	Range	Com.	Vegetation & Site	Density
		16.1	No.	Quality	
	. · · · · · ·	Kundam	RF- 333	Teak Iva, Mixed Iva	0.3 -0.5
8.		Kundam	P-334	Teak Iva, Mixed Iva	0.5
o.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. status	No			
	of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other				
	scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any	6		φ.	1.00
	latest census of wildlife in this area				
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique	No			
-	ecosystem in the area.	NO			
0.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per	The Propose	d area 4 5 her	of compartment no	DE 224 4 DE
	prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not	t, 333 are prescribed under IWC working ci		IWC working circle	ac nor present
	why?	333 are prescribed under IWC working circle as per present Working plan by Anand kumar singh (Year 2018-19 to 2027-28)			
1.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No			
2.	Any dependent persons/ families on this land.	No			
3.	Any displacement of persons proposed	No			
4.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for	No			
- 1	the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting	110			
	voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	1997 c.	8		
•	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest	CA is propos	sed on the la	nd of Katni division.	
	land or non-forest land	• •		ou of Ruun division.	
	Location of this area suitability of the area for	83			
24	CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is				\$2
	the current working plan prescription for the				и 8
	area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA		Ι.		
	from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.			52 52	
1.173	III case the area should be more then to have	20 Ki	12		1

2

//	Proposed area should be a			
	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	area is more than 10 kms.		
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	regarding the presence and recognition of the rights of tribals in the proposed area		
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The widening of the existing road will provide easy movement and transportation to the people living close vicinity of the project thereby improving their standard of living.		
19	Incase of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not applicable.		
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Not applicable.		
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Not applicable.		
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	Not applicable.		
23	Any other issue of significance.	No		
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	The widening of the existing road will provide easy movement and transportation to the people living around the project thereby improving their standard of living. It		
· .				
	will also improve inter-state transportation. Therefore the diversion of 4.5 hectares of the proposed forest land in recommended.			

•

Divisional Forest Officer (T) Division Jabalpur

C1