

राजस्थान सरकार

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, सीकर (राजस्थान)

(सांबली रोड़, सीकर-332001, Ph. No. 01572-274094, email : dcf.sikar.forest@rajasthan.gov.in, dcfsikar@gmail.com)

क्रमांक : एफ ( )एफसीए/उवसंसी/2021-22/10329

दिनांक : 14/10/2021

निमित्त:- मुख्य वन संरक्षक,  
अरावली भवन,  
जयपुर।

विषय:- Diversion of 0.7875 Ha. Forest land For Construction of Missing link road from "Laxmangarh-Salasar to Rajas Via Chouhano ki dhani, Gouru ka bas, Khejaroliyan ki dhani & Miyan ki Dhani" in Rajas P.F., Range Laxmangharh, Village-Rajas, Tehsil-Laxamgarh, Distt-Sikar (Raj.)  
(Proposal no. FP/RJ/ROAD/33785/2018)

संदर्भ:- प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, (हॉफ) राजस्थान, जयपुर का ई.डी.एस. दिनांक 03.06.2021 के क्रम में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत संदर्भित पत्र के क्रम में निवेदन है कि आपका ई.डी.एस. दिनांक 29.06.2021 के क्रम में यूजर एजेंसी अधिशाषी अभियन्ता, सार्वजनिक निर्माण विभाग, खण्ड- फतेहपुर, सीकर द्वारा पत्रांक 1515 दिनांक 24.09.2021 द्वारा प्रस्तुत बिन्दुवार जवाब निम्नानुसार प्रस्तुत है:-

S.N	Question	Answer
0		
1	CCF has recommended the proposal in PART-III of the proposal while in site inspection report, the alitgnment of the road is shown unadvisable. Both the comments are contradictory to each other.	This point is not related to this office.
2	CCF has opined that it is better to align the road along the forest land boundary so that fragmentation and encroachment of forest land is avoided. CCF has even cited villagers also of being this view. Further, a careful look at the map of the area suggest that if the road is constructed at the outer boundary of forest block in eastern side and linded with the road connecting Rajas is well served. In such a case the land required for diversion will also be minimum, the plantation will also be safe, the forest land would not be	As the road is being constructed for the benefit of the habitation of Rajas village (for shortest route to Laxmangarh Salasar road). It is not possible to construct the road along the forest boundary as the curvature of forest boundary is not as per guideline of IRC and to avoid encroachment on the left side of forest boundary of proposed alignment chain link fencing is proposed (Undertaking for the same is enclosed) So the alignment proposed as per guideline of IRC and for the benefit of villagers to go to laxmangarh Salasar road (State

	fragmented and possibility of encroachment on the forest land can be avoided. This may be looked at and proposal may be amended accordingly.	Highway). If the proposed road is aligned along the forest boundary on the one hand the length of the road increases as a result of which more forest land is required for diversion and on the other hand there are many sharp blind corners which are contrary to the IRC guidelines. Secondly the proposed road will provide connectivity to most of the habitations of village Rajas.
3	It seems that the user agency has not seriously examined the alternatives for the proposal. This is contrary to the spirit of the FCA and its guidelines.	If the proposed road is aligned along the forest boundary on the one hand the length of the road increases as a result of which more forest land is required for diversion and on the other hand there are many sharp blind corners which are contrary to the IRC guidelines. Secondly the proposed road will provide connectivity to most of the habitations of village Rajas.
4	Forest boundary given in KML of the proposal differs from the KML uploaded by department. Non forest land shown in the proposal for village Rajas seems to, be the part of forest land, The exact forest land boundary as per the forest records needs to be reflected in the KML of the proposal and verified by the DCF. The land required for diversion should be given on notified forest land and KML, Digital map and Topo sheet should be corrected accordingly, DCF has to verify it.	Revised KML has been uploaded and corrections as per forest boundary has been made. KML file, Digital map, and topo sheet map has been corrected and verified.
5	The conditions for approval mentioned by the DCF in his site inspection report needs to be included in his recommendations in Part-II.	Conditions imposed for approval in the inspection report has been incorporated in the recommendations in Part-II and uploaded in additional documents.
6	It seems that user agency has already constructed the road in non forest land. It may be noted that working on non forest land part of the proposal without securing permission under FCA on the forest land part of the proposal creates a fait accompli situation which is better avoided as per the guidelines of FCA. A detailed factual note in this regard needs to be submitted by UA	The proposed road connects various habitations i.e. chauhano ki Dhani, Gouru ka Bas, Khijrio ki dhani, Miyan ki dhani upto Rajas to laxmangarh-Salasar road (Site plan Enclosed). A Part of the proposed road on non forest land has been constructed to provide connectivity to these habitations. So there was no intension of violation of FCA Rules.
7	DCF/CCF may examine the proposal with	No Deemed forest is involved in the complete

<p>regard to involvement of "Deemed forest area in lie proposal if any "deemed forest", area falls the proposal, the same should be included in the proposal otherwise an undertaking that the proposal has been examined for involvement of deemed forests and that it is certified that no deemed forest land falls in area of the complete project" need to be submitted by the DCF countersigned by CCF. With regard to identification of land falling under the category of "Deemed Forest", DCF/CCF area advised to refer to the relevant orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court and the direction issued the Department in this regard for time to time.</p>	<p>project area. Certificate enclosed.</p>
--	--

संलग्न:-उक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,  
  
 (भीमा राम चौधरी)  
 उप वन संरक्षक,  
 सीकर

क्रमांक एफ ( )एफसीए/उवसंसी/2021-22/10330

दिनांक 14/10/2022

प्रतिलिपि अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, प्रोटेक्शन एवं नोडल अधिकारी, एफसीए, राजस्थान, जयपुर को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।

  
 (भीमा राम चौधरी)  
 उप वन संरक्षक,  
 सीकर

राजस्थान सरकार  
कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, सीकर (राजस्थान)

**"Deemed Forest Certificate"**

**It is to certify that No "Deemed Forest" falls in the area of the complete project.**



**(Bhima Ram Choudhary)**

**Deputy Conservator of Forest,  
Sikar**