

राजस्थान सरकार

कार्यालय अधिशाषी अभियन्ता, सार्वजनिक निर्माण विभाग खण्ड फतेहपुर सीकर

क्रमांक :- 1515

दिनांक:- 24/9/2021

श्रीमान उपवन संरक्षक
सांवली रोड़ सीकर।

Fca

3/11/21
6/10

महोदय

विषय:- Diversion of 0.7875 ha. forest land For construction of Missing Link Road from "LAXMANGARH SALASAR TO RAJAS VIA CHOUHANO KI DHANI, GOURU KA BAS, KHEJAROLIYAN KI DHANI & MIYAN KI DHANI" IN RAJAS P.F. Range Laxmangarh Village Rajas Tehsil Laxmangarh Distt. Sikar (Raj)
(Proposal No. FP/RJ/ROAD/33785/2018)

प्रसंग:- आपका पत्र 8766 दिनांक 24.08.2021 के क्रम में ।

उरापेक्त विषयान्तर्गत एवं प्रासंगिक पत्र के क्रम में निवेदन है कि प्रधान उपवन संरक्षक, हॉफ, राजस्थान जयपुर का ई.डी.एस.-2 दिनांक 29.06.2021 के द्वारा लगाये गये आक्षेपों का बिन्दु संख्या 01 से 07 का प्रतिउत्तर इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न कर आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु सादर प्रस्तुत है।

संलग्न:- उपरोक्तानुसार।



भवदीय

(केशर देव जांगिड़)
अधिशाषी अभियन्ता
सा.नि.वि. खण्ड फतेहपुर

Office of The Executive Engineer PWD Division Fatehpur

S.No.

Date

Short Comings

S.No.	Short Comings	Reply
1	CCF has recommended the proposal in PART III of the proposal while in site inspection report, the alignment of the road is shown unadvisable. Both the comments are contradictory to each other.	The point is not related to this office
2	CCF has opined that it is better to align the road along the forest land boundary so that fragmentation and encroachment of forest land is avoided. CCF has even cited villagers also of being this view. Further, a careful look at the map of the area suggest that if the road is constructed at the outer boundary of forest block in eastern side and linked with the road connecting village Roru Bara to Rajas, the purpose of connecting Rajas is well served. In such a case the land required for diversion will also be minimum, the plantation will also be safe, the forest land would not be fragmented and possibility of encroachment on the forest land can be avoided. This may be looked at and proposal may be amended accordingly.	As the road is being constructed for beneficiary the habitations of rajas village (for shortest route to Laxmangarh Salasar road). It is not possible to constructed road along the forest boundary as the curvatore of forest boundary is not as per guideline of IRC and to avoid encrochment to the left side forest boundary of proposed alingment chain link fencing may be proposed (undertaking for the same is enclosed) So the alingment proposed is as per guideline of IRC and beneficiary to village to go laxmangarh Salasar road (State Highway). By alinging the track in proposed alingment the purpose for Construction of road can be fulfilled .
3	It seems that the User Agency has not seriously examined the alternatives for the the proposal. This is contrary to the spirit of the FCA and its guidelines.	The alingment has been proposed for the beneficiary of habitations of village Rajas so for fulfill the purpose to construct road the alingment has been proposed.
4	Forest boundary given in KML of the proposal differs from the KML uploaded by department. Non Forest Land shown in the proposal for Village Rajas seems to, be the part of forest land, The exact forest land Boundary as per the forest records needs to be reflected in the kml of the proposal and verified by the DCF. The land required for diversion should be given on notified forest land and KML, Digital map and Topo sheet Should be corrected accordingly. DCF has to verify it.	Revised KM has been uploaded.
5	The Conditions for approval mentioned by the DCF in his site inspection report needs to be Included in his recommendations in Part II.	The point is not related to this office

6	<p>It seems that user agency has already constructed the road in non forest land. It may be noted that working on non-forest land part of the proposal without securing permission under FCA on the forest land part of the proposal creates a fait accompli situation which is better avoided as per the guidelines of FCA. A detailed factual note in this regard needs to be submitted by UA</p>	<p>As the Purpose of the road is to connected various habitations i.e. chouhano ki Dhani, Gouru ka Bas, Khijrio ki dhani, Miyan ki Dhani upto Rajas to Laxmangarh Salasar (SH) road (Site Plan Enclosed) so BT road Constructed from Laxmangarh Salasar road to these habitations only. So there was no intension to violation of FCA Rules. In future Guideline of FCA rule will be Followed.</p>
7	<p>DCF/CCF may examine the proposal with regard to involvement of "Deemed forest area in lie proposal If any "deemed forest', area falls the proposal, the same should bo included in the proposal otherwise an undertaking that the proposal has been examined for involvement of deemd forests and that it is certified that no deemed forest land falls in area of the complete project" need to be submitted by the DCF countersigned by the CCF. With regard to identification of land falling under the category of "Deemed Forest" , DCF/CCF area advised to refer to the relevant orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court and the direction issued the Department in this regard for time to time.</p>	<p>The point is not related to this office</p>



Executive Engineer
PWD Division Fatehpur