FORM - I

FOR PROJECTS OTHER THAN ROAD PROJECTS
GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR.

REV- **Dt:13.07.2020**

TO WHOM SO EVER IT MAY CONCERN

In compliance of the Ministry of Environment and Forest (MoEF) Government of India letter no: II-9/98-FC(Pt), dated 3rd August, 2009 wherein the MoEF has issued guide lines on submission of evidence for having initiated and completed the process of settlement of rights under Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of the Forest Act, 2006 (FRA for short) on the Forest land proposed to be diverted for non forest proposes read with MoEF a letter dated 5th February, 2013 wherein MoEF issued certain relaxation in respect of road projects. It is certified that 0.99 Ha. of forest land proposed to be diverted in favour of Executive Engineer, PR, Tirupati (name of user agency) for Upgradation of the road from Tada – Satyavedu Road to Kambakam of Varadaiahpalem Mandal of Chittoor District in favour Executive Engineer, PR, Tirupati falls in the jurisdiction of Kambakam RF of Varadaiahpalem Mandal.

It is further certified that

a) The complete process for identification and settlement of rights under the FRA has been carried out for the entire 0.99 Ha of Forest area proposed for diversion. A copy of records of all consultations and meetings of the Forest Rights Committee (s) Grama sabha, Sub Divisional Level Committee (s) and District Level Committee (s) are enclosed as annexure a to h.

b) The diversion of forest land for facilities managed the Government as required under section 3(2) of the FRA have been completed and the Grama Sabhas have given their consent to it.

c) The proposal does not involve recognised rights of primitive tribal groups and pre Agricultural Communities.

Signature
Full Name and Official Seal of the
District Collector, Chittoor.

SCHEDULED TRIBES AND OTHER TRADITIONAL FOREST DWELLERS (RECOGNITION OF FOREST RIGNTS) ACTS, 2006, AS PER MoEF, Lr.No.11-9/98-FC(PT), DATED: 30.07.2009 AND 03.08.2009.

Sl. No.	Lr.No.11-9/98-FC(PT), DATED: 30.07.2009 AND 03.08.2009	Replies
a)	A letter from the State Government Certifying that the complete process of identification and settlements of rights under the RoFR Act has been carried out for the entire forest area proposed for diversion with a record of full consultations and meetings held.	There are no claims received under section 3(i), 3(i0(c) and 4(5) of RoFR Act proposed for diversion of 0.99 Ha of Forest land for upgradation of Road from Tada-Srikalahasti Road to Kambakam Village in favour of Executive Engineer (PR), Tirupati in Kamabakam RF of Varadaiahpalem Mandal in Chittoor District.
b)	A letter from the State Government Certifying that proposals for such diversion (with full details of the project and its implications, in vernacular/local languages) have been placed before dwellers who are eligible under RoFR Act.	There are no Forest Dwellers in the Proposed area. Hence the question of placing the proposals before the Grama Sabha does not arise.
C)	A letter from each of the concerned Gram Sabhas. Indicating that all formalities/ Processes under the RoFR Act have been carried out and that they have given their consent to the proposed diversion and the compensatory and ameliorative measures if any having understood the purposes and details of proposed diversion.	There are no claims under RoFR Act with respect to the proposed area. Question of obtaining consent from the Grams Sabha does not arise under RoFR Act.
d)	A letter from the State Government certifying that the diversion of forest land for facilities managed by the Government as required under section 3(2) of the RoFR Act have been completed and that the Gram Sabhas have consented to it.	Does not arise since there are no claims under RoFR Act with regard to the proposed area.
e)	A letter from the State Government certifying that discussion and decisions on such proposals had placed only when there was a quorum of minimum 50% of members of the Gram Sabha present	Not Applicable.
f)	Obtaining the written consent or rejection of the Gram Sabha to the Proposals	Not Applicable.
g)	A letter from the state Government certifying that the Government rights of Primitive Tribal Groups and pre agricultural communities. Where applicable have been specifically safeguard as per section 3(1) (e) of the RoFR Act	Since there are no primitive Tribal Groups and Pre Agricultural Communities Vana Samrakshana Samithi existing in the proposed area for diversion. Hence protection of rights of such groups will not arise.
h)	Any other issues having bearing on operationalization of the RoFT Act	There are no other issues concerned with regard to RoFR Act.

District Tribal Welfare Officer, Chittoor & Convenor

Divisional Forest Officer, Chittoor East (WL) Division, Chittoor

District Collector Chittoor & Chairman