Part-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of Proposal FP/MH/ROAD/142109/2021

Sr No.	Location of Project/ Scheme	
(i)	State/ Union territory	Maharashtra.
(ii)	District	Thane.
(iii)	Forest Division	Mumbai Mangrove Conservation Unit
(iv)	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.)	4.0122 ha.
(v)	Legal status of forest	Reserved Forest & Un-surveyed Mangrove
(vi)	Density of vegetation	Density- 0.4 to 0.6 Eco-value Class II
(vii)	Species-wise [Scientific names] and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees [to be enclosed. In case of Irrigation / hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FEL-4 meter also to be enclosed.]	Avicennia Marina, Officinalis alba, Rhizophora mucronata, Ceriops tagal, Bruguiera gymnorrhiza, Sonneratia alba, Sonneratia apetala, Aegiceras corniculatum.
(viii)	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	The slope of the land is plain & soil is muddy. Proposed forest land under diversion is not vulnerable and there is no possibility of erosion.
(ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest	Proposed project is passing through Reserved Forest & Un-notified Mangrove area.
(x)	Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, etc. [If so, the details of the chief wildlife warden to be annexed.]	No. Sanjay Gandhi National Park is distance of 5.60 km. Distance from Thane Creek Flamingo Sanctuary is at 0.240 Km. The major part of the said project is falling within proposed Eco-Sensitive zone of Thane Creek Flamingo Sanctuary.
(xi)	Whether any rare/ Endangered species of Flora and Fauna found in the area. [If so, details thereof.	No.
(xii)	Whether any protected archeological/ heritage site/ defense establishment or any other important monument is located in	No.

	d	
	the area. If so, the details thereof with	
	NOC from competent authority, if	
. <u> </u>	required.	1
8.	Whether the requirement of forest land as	Yes.
	proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of	The Reserved Forest & Un-notified
	Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum	Mangrove land proposed for
	for the project. If no, recommended area	diversion in the construction of
	with item wise break-up and details of	proposed project is unavoidable and
	alternatives examined.	barest minimum required.
9.	Whether any work in violation of the Act	No.
	has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes,	
	details of the same including period of	
	work done, action taken on erring	
	officials. Whether work in violation is still	×
		a * * **
10.	in progress. Details of compensatory Afforestation	Project proponent has undertaken
10.	scheme:	that, details of suitable non-forest
	senenc.	land equivalent in extent to the area
		proposed for diversion will be made
		available for Compensatory
		afforestation. Details of the same will
		be submitted before the proposal is
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	moved to MoEF&CC for Stage-I
		Clearance through the State Govt.
(i)	Details of Non-forest area/ degraded	
	forest area identified for compensatory	
	Afforestation, its distance from adjoining	
	forest number of patches, size of each	
	patch.	
(ii)	Map showing non-forest / degraded forest	
	area identified for compensatory	
	Afforestation and adjoining forest	N .
	boundaries.	
(iii)	Detailed compensatory Afforestation	As above
× 40	scheme including species to be planned,	
	implementing agency, time schedule, cost	
	structure, etc.	. *:
(iv)	Total financial outlay for compensatory	
()	Afforestation scheme.	** * **
(v)	Certificates from competent authority	
(1)	regarding suitability of area identified for	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	compensatory Afforestation and from	a a
	management of points of view. (To be	
	management of points of view. (10 be	

11.	Conservator of Forest) Site inspection report of the DFO (to be	
	Site inspection report of the DEO (to be	
	site inspection report of the Dro (to be	Attached on Pg. No. 46.10 49
	enclosed) specially highlighting facts	. 1
	asked in col.7 (Xi, Xii), 8 and 9 above.	
12.	Division /District Profile :	
(i) (Geographical area of the district	4214 Sq Km.
(ii)]	Forest area of the district	3450 ha.(Mangrove Forest)
(iii)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with	8.1223ha 12 cases
ı	number of cases.	
(iv)	Total compensatory Afforestation	
S	stipulated in the 1980 on	
((a) forest land including penal	
C	compensatory Afforestation	a) 7 ha
((b) non-forest land.	b) Nil ha.
(v) I	Progress of compensatory afforestation as	
C	on (date) -31.03.2021 on Progress of	
C	compensatory afforestation as on (date) -	
3	31.03.2021 on	
((a) forest land	a) 7 ha.
	(b) Non-forest land.	b) Nil ha.
13. S	Specific recommendations of the DCF for	The project will connect the two
a	acceptance or otherwise of the proposal	important nodes of Navi Mumbai i.e.
V	with reasons.	Ghansoli and Airoli which is essential
		for regional connectivity in the area.
		By connecting Ghansoli -Airoli
		Bridge to Airoli -Katai Naka elevated
	2	corridor, it will connect Mumbai,
		Thane and other important places via
		Airoli Mulund Bridge and Airoli-
		Katai Naka elevated corridor.
		The proposed project will help to
1		reduce pollution due to traffic
	8	regulation & decongestion. Hence,
		the proposal is recommended as it
		will be beneficial for public.

Date: 20/08/2021 Place: Mumbai



(Adarsh Reddy)
Divisional Forest Officer,
Mumbai Mangrove Conservation Unit.