## Check list for site inspection by the DFO

Inspection Date -

14/03/2020

Proposal No. –

FP/MP/WATER/45654/2020

SI. No.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO
1	2	3
1.	Extent is hectares.	4.9063 hac.
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest and proposed for diversion.	Bargi Range (GPS Point for laying pipeline along the road) Starting point - 22 <sup>0</sup> 51'41.7"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 53'20.20"E to Ending point - 22 <sup>0</sup> 54'16.9"N 79 <sup>0</sup> 54'06.20"E
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Reserved Forest –217, 220, 169, 170, 171, 174, 175, 182, 191, 197, 200, 215, 218, 219, 228, 227, Protected Forest – 194, 203, 222, 225, 226.  Orange Area – 0-443, 0-444, 0-451, 0-455, 0-464, 0-477, 0-479, 0-480, 0-482, 0-486, 0-489, 0-490, 0-510, 0-526, 0-527, 0-538, 0-549, 0-552.
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	No
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7.	Status of vegetation site quality species composition etc.	Density – 0.4, Eco-class – Eco 3
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not, why?	The proposed area is on the side of existing road and comes under protection working circle in which no other work would be done.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	
12.	Any dependent persons/ families on this land.	No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement proposed.
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	No persons or families would be affected by the proposed area therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable.

		<b>y</b>
15.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land	Not applicable.
Ì	or non-forest land.	
	Location of this area suitability of the area for CA. If	
	in the degraded forest land then what is the current	
	working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the	
	non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area.	
	Number of patches in case the area should be more	
	than to kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area.	Area is not part of any protected Area. Nearest
	Also distance from the boundary of the nearest	Protected area is more than 20 kms.
	protected area should be more than 10 kms.	
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights	Rights of the tribal have been recognized and no
	of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	rights of the tribal's recognized in the proposed area.
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in	Drinking water will be made available to the people
	close vicinity of the project.	living vicinity the project.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated	Not applicable.
-	in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of	Not applicable.
-	non-site specific projects.	
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land	Not applicable.
	requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare	The approach
	minimum.	
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that	Not applicable.
	the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted	The application
	is also not adversely affected.	
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
2	This other issue of significance.	
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for	Proposed forest land of 4.9063 ha. Shall be given
	approval of the project.	to Executive Engineer M.P. Jal Nigam Maryadit
	rt	Project Implementation Unit Jabalpur for under
		, <del>,</del> -
		laying of the pipe line for drinking water supply.
		So, that public shall not face drinking water
		problem.
		Thomstone diviousies of account formet 1 1 C
		Therefore, diversion of proposed forest land for
		under laying Pipe line in the forest area for the
		drinking water is recommended and put up for
		approval.
1		
		Δ

Divisional Forest Officer
(T) Division Jabalpur