कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फोरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून। संख्या : 3207 / 1जी–FP/UK/ROAD/10540/2015 देहरादूनः दिनांकः 8 मई, 2015

सेवा में,

अपर सचिव, वन उत्तराखण्ड शासन

विषय:- जनपद रूद्रप्रयाग में जसोली काण्डा मोटर मार्ग का अवशेष भाग निर्माण - 0.665 है0 वन भूमि हस्तांतरण (FP/UK/ROAD/10540/2015)

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक वन भूमि हस्तांतरण प्रस्ताव संस्तुति सहित शासन को ऑनलाइन प्रेषित किया गया है जिसकी हार्ड कॉपी आवश्यक अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही हेतु संलग्न कर विशेष पत्रवाहक के माध्यम से प्रेषित किया जा रहा है। प्रस्ताव में 39 वृक्ष प्रभावित है जो 50 वृक्ष प्रति है0 के अनुपात से अधिक होने के कारण प्रस्ताव पर सहमति की दशा में सैद्वांतिक स्वीकृति हेतु भारत सरकार को प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें।

fepito

(एस.टी.एस.लेप्चा) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

संलग्नकः उपरोक्तानुसार

संख्या :

/ 1जी-FP/UK/ROAD/10540/2015 तद्दिनांकित

## प्रतिलिपिः

- 1. प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, उत्तराखण्ड
- 2. मुख्य वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल, पौड़ी
- 3. अपर सचिव, लोक निर्माण विभाग/पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई, उत्तराखण्ड शासन, देहरादून
- 4. वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, पौड़ी
- 5. प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग
- 6. अधिशासी अभियंता, प्रा०ख०, लो०नि०वि०, रुद्रप्रयाग

(एस.टी.एस.लेप्चा) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

## भाग IV

(नोडल अधिकारी अथवा प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक अथवा अध्यक्ष, वन विभाग द्वारा भरे जाने के लिए)

परियोजना का नामः

जनपद रूद्रप्रयाग में जसोली काण्डा मोटर मार्ग का अवशेष भाग निर्माण - 0.665 है0 वन भि हस्तांतरण (FP/UK/ROAD/10540/2015)

1. टिप्पणी के साथ प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार करने या अन्यथा के लिए राज्य वन विभाग की विस्तृत राय और निर्दिष्ट सिफारिशें। (राय देते समय, सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक अथवा उप वन संरक्षक की प्रतिकूल टिप्पणी की सुस्पष्ट समीक्षा की जाय और विवेचनात्मक टिप्पणी दी जाय):

उपरोक्त योजना हेत् रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग में धनपुर कक्ष सं० ७ में ०.२४५ है० आरक्षित वन भूमि तथा ०. 42 है0 सिविल वन भूमि कुल 0.665 है0 वन भूमि का हस्तांतरण प्रस्तावित है जिसका अवलोकन करने पर पाया गया कि उपरोक्त मार्ग निर्माण में वृक्ष आच्छादित भूमि को न्यूनतम रखने का प्रयास किया गया है तथा मार्ग निर्माण हेत् प्रस्तावित आरक्षित व सिविल वन भूमि का सिम्मिलित होना अनिवार्य है। प्रस्ताव में कुल 39 वृक्षों की संख्या जो 50 प्रति है0 के अनुपात से अधिक होने के दृष्टिगत तथा प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग तथा वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त की संस्तृति के क्रम में जनहित में उपरोक्त वन भूमि हस्तांतरण की सैद्वांतिक स्वीकृति हेतु भारत सरकार सरकार को प्रेषित करने हेत् संस्तृति की जाती है।

हस्ताक्षरः

नाम व पदनामः

(एस०टी०एस०लेप्चा भा.व.से)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, वन संरक्षण

नोडल अधिकारी स्वत्तराखण्डधिकारी अपर प्रमुख वैन सरक्षण,भूमि सर्वेक्षण निदेशालय,उत्तराखण्ड सरकारी मोहरः नेवण्ड

तिथि :

8/05/2015

स्थानः देहराद्न

#### PART-III

# (सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक द्वारा भरा जाना)

# प्रोजेक्ट संख्या-FP/UK/Road/10540/2015

परियोजना का नाम— जनपद रूद्रप्रयाग के विकासखण्ड अगस्तमुनि में राज्य योजना के अन्तर्गत जसोली—काण्डा मोटर मार्ग का अवशेष भाग का निर्माण (लम्बाई 02 किमी) हेतु लो0नि0वि0 को हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।

1.	कार जर्म की राजा कार्य की कार्य	
	स्थल जहाँ की वन भूमि शामिल की गई है। क्या इसका	नहीं।
	सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक ने निरीक्षण किया है? (हाँ / नहीं)	નદા !
	यदि हाँ तो निरीक्षण की तारीख और किए गये प्रेक्षणों को	
	निरीक्षण नोट के रूप में संलग्न करें।	
2.	AU THATTA AT THE COME OF THE O	
2.	क्या सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक भाग-ख में दी गई सूचना	अहमत   परिथोजना क्षेत्र में कुल 39 श्रम प्रभावित हो रहे हैं, जिनमें
	और उप वन संरक्षक के सुझावों से सहमत है?	के प्राथम
		सभी ब्रम चीड़ प्रजातिक हैं।
3.	प्रस्ताव की स्वीकृति या अन्यथा के बारे में सम्बन्धित वन	
J.		अनिहत में सैंस्तृति की जाती है।
	संरक्षक की विस्तृत कारणों के साथ विशेष सिफारिशें।	

दिनांक... १६/५/१८

हस्ताक्षर 16/4/15

(बी० पी० गुप्ता) नाम.....वन संरक्षक

गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पीड़ी

# SITE INSPACTION NOTE BELOW THE RANK OF DCF (for the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is a RF/PF/un-classed/Protect forest measuring  $0.665\ ha$ .

The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in Col. 2 part-I is unavoidable and is base minimum required for the project.

Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If, so the details there of.:-

Whether any protecterd archeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monoments is located in the area, if, so the details there of with NOC from competent authority, if required:-

- a) The user agency has not violated the provisions of forest. (Conservation), Act 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.
- b) It has been found that the user agency has violated the forest (Conservation), Act 1980 provisions. A detail report as per para 1.9 of chapter 1, Para C of Handbook of forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 is attached.

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

Recommended in the public interest.

Place day a suppose a suppose a suppose a suppose signation.

राम्य वर्ग प्रमाण ()ffice Seal. समागाय वर्गाधकार रुद्रप्रयाग वर्ग प्रभाव

N.B. x state the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted.

xx out of (a) and (b) tick the option which is applicable and cross the option which is not applicable

As per letter number 2-2/2000 FC dated 16-10-2000 from Ministry of Environment & Forests, Government of India for proposal involving less then 40 hactares of forest land, the site inspection report from DCF is required and for proposal involving more than 40 hactares of forest and site inspection report from the conservation of forests is required.

#### **PART-II**

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of proposal: UK-0294/2015

1. Location of the project/Scheme: Uttarakhand

(i) State / Union Territory: Uttarakhand

(ii) **District**: Rudraprayag

(iii) Forest Division: Rudraprayag

(iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.): 0.665

(v) Category: Road

#### 2. Legal status of forest land proposed for diversion:

S. No.	Division	Forest Land(ha.)	Legal Status
1	Rudraprayag	0.42	Civil Soyam land
2	Rudraprayag	0.245	Reserved Forest

#### Division 1.: Rudraprayag

#### 3. District wise area to be diverted in the division:

S. No.	District	Area(ha.)
1	Rudraprayag	0.665

4. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion:

#### (ii) Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	0.665	0.01	Eco 5

S. No.	Scientific Name	Local Name	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
1	Pinus roxburghii	Chir	0	6	4	4	25

- 5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : No Data
- 6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion: no erosion prozone
- 7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.): 0.00
- \$. >> Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view :
  - (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion: No wildlife present in the surrounding areas of i.
  - (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc.: No
  - Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act,1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No
  - Whether any national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, (iv). elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed for diversion:
  - (v). Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area: No

9.

Details of any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument located in the area, if any:

- (i). Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area: No
- 10. Comment as to the reasonability of the extent of the forest land proposed for diversion :
  - (i). Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project: Yes
- 11. Details of violation(s), if any ,committed:
  - (i). Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out: No
- 12. Whether work in violation is still in progres(Yes/No): No Data
- 13. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme :

S.no	Patch No.	Area(ha.)	Name of PF/RF	Range	Compartment No	Uploaded KML file of patch

- (ii). Copy of CA scheme details: NA
- (iii). Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed for C.A. prepared by using DGPS or Total Station:

  <u>Annexure Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map</u>
- (ii). Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed for C.A.: NA

Additional information Details:

S. No.	Documents	Remarks
	NIL	

#### 14. District Profile:

S	.no	District Name	Geographical area of the district (in ha.)	area or	Total forest area diverted since 1980 (in ha.)	No. of Approved Cases	Forest Land including penal C.A. (in ha.)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on(date)	A) Forest land (in ha.)	B) Non- forest land (in ha.)
	1	Rudraprayag	198400.00	179099.50	580.852	145	9.64	07/04/2015	727.29	0.00

 $15. \ \ Site inspection \ report \ of \ the \ DFO/CCF/Nodal \ Officer \ highlighting \ important \ facts \ pertaining \ to \ the \ forest \ land:$ 

Division Name	Circle	Site inspected By	Whether site inspected	No. of times site visited	Site inspection report	Date of visit
Rudraprayag	Garhwal	DFO	Yes	One	Site Inspection Report	27/02/2015

#### (Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer with (Part II, III & Part IV))

16. Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason:

Division	Circle	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Reason	Letter	Whether CF agreed
Rudraprayag	Garhwal	DFO	Recommended	The proposal is related with development.	No Data	

15			report ruit 2				
	Garhwal	CF	Recommended	The project is	Recommendation	Yes	
				necessary to	<u>letter</u>		
				provide			
				connectivity			
				to the local			
				people who			
				are located in			
				quite remote			
				areas.			
	•		•	•			

Print page

### FORM - A

Form for seeking prior approval of Central Government under section 2 of the Forest(Conservation) Act, 1980 for Diversion of fresh forest area

#### PART - I

(To be filled up by User Agency)

<u>A.</u>	<u>Genera</u>	<u>l De</u>	tail	<u>lS</u>

A-1. Project Details

(i). Proposal No.: FP/UK/ROAD/10540/2015

- (ii). Name of Project for which Forest Land is required: Const. of Remaining part Jasoli-Kanda Motor Road under State Sector
- (iii). Short narrative of the proposal and Project/scheme for which the forest land is required: This road length is 2 Km and starts from Km 26 of Nagrasu-Dhanpur-Dandakhal Motor road and connect village Kanda
- (iv). State: Uttarakhand
- (v). Category of the Project: Road
- (vi). Shape of forest land proposed to be diverted: Linear
- (vii). Estimated cost of the Project(Rupees in lacs): 94.8
- (viii). Total Area of Forest Land proposed for diversion(in ha.): 0.665
- (ix). Total Area of Non-Forest Land proposed for diversion(in ha.): 0.735
- (x). Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted(in years):  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NIL}}$

A-2. Details of User Agency

- (i). Name: PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT
- (ii). Address1: PWD PROVINCIAL DIVISION RUDRAPRAYAG
- (iii). Address2: UTTARAKHAND
- (iv). State: Uttarakhand

```
(v). District: Rudraprayag
 (vi). Pin: 246171
 (vii). Landmark: NIL
 (viii). Email address: eepwd_rpg@rediffmail.com
 (ix). Landline Telephone No.: 233204
 (x). Fax No.: NIL
 (xi). Mobile No.: 7500217670
 (xii). Website (if any): NIL
 (xiii). Details of Proposals seeking prior approval of Central Government under
 the Act for diversion of forest land submitted by the User Agency in the past:
 (xiv). Legal status of User Agency: State Government
A-
Details of Person Making Application
 (i). First Name: EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 (ii). Middle Name: NIL
 (iii). Last Name: RUDRAPRAYAG
 (iv). Gender: Male
 (v). Designation: EXEUTIVE ENGINEER
 (vi). Address 1: P.D. PWD RUDRAPRAYAG
 (vii). Address 2: NIL
 (viii). State: Uttarakhand
 (ix). District: Rudraprayag
```

(x). Tehsil: Rudraprayag

(xi). Pin: 246171

(xii). Landmark: NIL

(xiii). Email Address: eepwd\_rpg@rediffmail.com

(xiv). Landline Telephone No.: 233204

(xv). Fax No.: NIL

(xvi). Mobile No.: 7500217670

(xvii). Copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the User Agency:

Annexure U.A Document

#### B. Details of land required for the Project

B- Details of proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act for diversion of forest land for the Project already submitted in

1. the past

(i). Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act for diversion of forest land required for the project has been submitted in the past?.: No

B-2. Details of forest land proposed to be diverted

#### B-2.1 Details of Divisions involved

Details of Divisions involved			
S.no	Division Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1.	Rudraprayag	0.665	0.735

#### B-2.2 Details of Districts involved

District wise breakup			
S.no	District Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1.	Rudraprayag	0.665	0.735

#### B-2.3 Village wise breakup

Villages wise breakup			
S.no	Village	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Kanda	0.665	0.735

#### B-2.4 Component wise breakup

S.no	Component	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Road	0.665	0.735

#### C. Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted

Division 1.: Rudraprayag

(i). Area of forest land proposed to be diverted(in ha.): 0.665

(ii).: Linear

(b). No. of Segments: One

Segment wise details			
Segments	Segment Area(in ha.)	Kml File of Segments	
1.	0.665	Annexure Segment1	

(iii). Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted: <u>Annexure Survey of India</u> Toposheet

(iv). Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using GPS or Total Station: Annexure scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map

# <u>D. Justification for locating the Project in forest land and details of alternatives examined:</u>

- (i). Copy of note containing justification for locating the Project in forest land : Annexure Justification
- (ii). Whether a copy of map indicating location of alternative examine is required to be provided: Yes
- (a). Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined: <u>Annexure alternative examined</u>

#### E. Employment likely to be generated

- (i). Whether the Project is likely to generate employment ?: Yes
- (ii). Permanent/Regular Employment(Number of persons): NIL
- (iii). Temporary Employment(Number of person-days): 50

### F. Displacement of People due to the Project, if any

(i). Whether Project involves displacement?: No

#### G. Details of Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project

- (i). Whether the Project requires Cost-Benefit analysis?: No
- H. Status of Environmental Clearance
  - (i). Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act 1986?: No
- I. Status of Wildelife Clearance
  - (i). Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area or their Eco sensitive zone? : No
- J. Applicability of special provisions governing Scheduled Areas
  - (i). Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in a Scheduled Area?: No
- K. Status of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted
  - (i). Whether the process for settlement of Rights under the Forest Rights Acts 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted has been completed? : Yes
    - (a). Copy of documentry evidence in support of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted: Annexure Documentry Evidence
- L. Details of land identified for Compensatory Afforestation
  - (i). Whether non-forest or Revenue forest land is required to be provided by User Agency?:  $\mbox{NIL}$
  - (ii). Whether the area of non-forest land or Revenue forest land required to be provided by User Agency for raising Compensatory Afforestation is less than area of forest land proposed to be diverted?: NIL
  - (iii) . Reason for not providing Non-Forest Land: NIL

#### Additional information Details

Documents		
S.No	Documents	Remarks
1	Additional Info.	Cover page, check list, Report, Part I, GEO, Joint surcey,

	Report Fart 1	
		Comperative Alignment, LxB of Project, Barchart, CA, Muck plan, NOC ov land holder
2	Additional Info.	NOC of Village, Geoligist Report, Task force, Manak sharte, Gas/Kerosine, Benefited village, NPV
3	Additional Info.	NPV, RCC pillar, Fact sheet, CA, Soil Erosion
4	Additional Info.	Part II to V, Joint Survey, Site inspection, Land schedule, National park, ACT 1980, Layout plan, Reg/Historical place, NPV, Catch plan, Distance of National park etc

Print page