

PART-II

(To be filled by concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)


Location of the Project/Scheme

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| 7 | | |
| i | State/ Union Territory | Himachal |
| ii | District | Chamba |
| iii | Forest Division | Dalhousie |
| iv | Area of the forest land proposed for Diversion (in Hact) | 1.5298 |
| v | Legal Status Forest | DPF- Naroli (Part of Kahighar DPF) |
| vi | Density of Vegetation: | .03 % |
| vii | Species-wise(Scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed).In case of Irrigation/ hydro projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-1 meter also be enclosed | Detail of trees attached at page 41 |
| viii | Brief on Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion. | As the terrain is hilly, all possible preventive measures will have to be taken by the user agency to preventing the possibility of soil erosion. |
| ix | Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest. | Road side |
| x | Whether any part of National Park/ WL Sanctuary, Biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, Elephant corridor etc. (if so. the detail of the area and comments of the chief wildlife warden to Annexure) | No |
| xi | Whether any rare/ endangered unique species of flora and fauna is an area? if so details there of | No |
| xii | Whether any protected archeological/ heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area? If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required | No archeological/heritage site/defense establishment exists in this area. |
| 8. | Whether the requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency in col 2 of Para-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project? If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternatives examined. | Yes, The proposed land required for the construction of building is unavoidable and barest minimum. |
| 9. | Whether any work in violation of the act has been carried out if Yes details of the same including period of work done. Action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress. | No |
| 10. | Details of compensatory Afforestation scheme. | The compensatory afforestation will be carried out in one patch as per detail given at page 47-49 |
| | i) Details of non forest area/ degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches and size of each patch. | Manmassi DPF single patch. |

41-✓

| | | |
|-----|--|--|
| | ii) Map showing non forest/ degraded forest area indentified for Compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries. | Attached at page 45 |
| | iii) Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, cost Structure. | Detailed of CA Scheme has already been attached at page 47-49 |
| | iv) Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme. | The financial outlay for raising plantation and its maintenance under compensatory scheme comes out to be Rs. 4,11,354/- |
| | v)Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area indentified for compensatory afforestation and from Management point of view (to be signed by concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests) | Certificate attached at page- 49-51 |
| 11. | Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighted facts asked in col. 7(ix, xii), 8 & 9 above | Inspection report attached at page- 42-44 |
| 12. | Division/ District Profile: | |
| | i)Geographical area of the District | 652200 Sq. Kms |
| | ii)Forest area of the District | 243700 Sq Kms |
| | iii)Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases. | 54 No's cases 268.232 Hact. |
| | iv)Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the District/ Division since 1980 | 319.7012 Hact. |
| | a)Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation | 319.7012 Hact. |
| | b)Non forest land | Nil |
| | v)Progress of compensatory afforestation as on date | 30.09.2016 |
| | a)Forest Land | 319.7012 Ha. |
| | b)Non Forest Land | Nil |
| 13 | Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons: | The requirement of forest land as proposed is unavoidable and the barest minimum. The collage has been proposed to provide better education facilities in the surrounding area. Moreover, there is no higher education facility in Sihunta city and the children from the local area have to travel long to get education facility. The proposed collage building has been proposed in forest land as there is no other suitable non-forest land available in the vicinity. Therefore, the construction of new building of Govt. Collage at Sihunta is recommended please. |

Dated:- 06.12.2016
Place: Dalhousie


(Rakesh Katoch)HPFS
Divisional Forest Officer
Dalhousie Forest Division
Dalhousie