Site inspection Report

(Site inspection Report from Deputy Conservator of Forests Satara.) (Prescribed vide PCCF (HOFF) No Desk – 17 - 4 / 1445. Dated 5/11/2015)

Sr. N	ltems .			Obser	vatio	ns and	remarks.			
 1	Name of Project location (Range, Beat)	Proposal for diversion of forest land for laying of underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW of existing road width in village Amberi, Tal Koregaon, Amberi, Tal. Khatav, Pawarwadi, Tal Koregaqon, Umbarde, Tal – Khatav, District Satara,								
÷			S.R. no Range			Round		Beat		
			1	Korega	on	Rahim	natpur	Pawarw	adi	
				Vaduj	Vaduj			Pusesavli		
2	Name of User Agency		Reliance JIO Infrocomm Ltd. (JIO) Pune, The Vice president, Reliance JIO Infrocomm Ltd. (JIO), Reliance Corporate I T Park Ltd, Down town 2 nd . & 3 rd . Floor, S. no 8+13 /1/2, Off. Karve road, Mhatre Bridge, Erandwane, Pune 411,004.							
3	Date of site Inspecti	on	23.11.2019							
4	Extent (ha) and legal of forest land propos diversion.		Forest land Required 0.3975 ha.('Reserved Forests.')							
5	a) Details of forest	Details	tails of forest land proposed for diversion							
land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-			Name of Village		G. no		Length X Width in Mt.	Area in ha.	Status forest	Of
	up of Forest land Tal. Ko		eri (Khalchi) oregaon		346		800 x 1	0.080	R. F.	
			ri (Varchi) hatav		345		900 x 1	0.090		
		Pawar	irwadi Tal. Koregaon		117, 118		2000 x 1	0.200		
		Umbai	rdeTal Khat	av	253, 256	266,	275 x 1	0.0275		
b) Density and Eco-			Total Forest land required				0.3975			
	value class	Eco-Value class = Open forest Eco-Class III. NPV rate = 6.26 Lacks.								

Scanned with CamScanner

4

		42
6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col. of Part I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	U. A. is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. As the work is proposed within Row of road there is no need to examined three alternative ,
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If Yes, details thereof	construction.
8	a)whether forest area proposed for diversion is important form wildlife point of view or not.	Forest area Proposed for diversion is not importance from Wildlife point of view.
	 b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so the details thereof. 	There is no any rare or endangered unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land.
	c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (Km).	The proposed forest land failing 19 Km away from boundary of Mayani Bird Wildlife Sanctuary.
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.	There is no adverse effect due to this project as project is laying of underground OFC duct & cable within ROW of existing Road passing through forest
	 e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefor. 	No
9	Details of vegetation: a) Total no of trees to be felled.	No tree felling is required.

2

U

			43
		b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.	Nil
		c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm.	Nil
		d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.	Question doesn't arise.
	10	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	Reliance Industries Led. has lunched broadband service named as Gigafibaer in year 2018 .The main aim of company to provide the mobile service & 4 G Internate data service in all over Indian villages.
			To provide network, there is need network of Towers connected by OFC duct & cable, For laying of underground the OFC duct & cable within ROW of road, where the maximum area will be covered for mobile network and also easy maintain for long period. Public Works Dept. has sanction permission for laying of underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW of existing road. As same part of existing road passing through the forest land, and there need the prior permission from competent authority for laying of underground OFC duct & cable within the Row of the existing road.
			There is no other alternative other than the use of forest land. Hence this proposal is being submitted for approval under section 2 (ii) of F. C. Act, 1980.
		Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	No violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.
		Whether the proposal involves rehabitaions of displaced	No involves rehabitaions of displaced person

Scanned with CamScanner

44

	rehabitaions plan has approved by the State Govt.?			
13	Details of catchment and culturabale command area under the project (If applicable)	Not applicable.		
	Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (If applicable)	Not applicable.		
14	Utility of Project	To provide network, there is need network of Towers connected by OFC duct & cable, For laying of underground the OFC duct & cable within ROW of road, where the maximum area will be covered for mobile network and also easy maintain for long period. This project is useful to rural peoples /Offices far away from the developed urban area.		
15	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth tree/ forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion	No.		
16	Any other importance information related to the project (separate note may be attached , If required)	The proposed Forest lands required for Laying or underground OFC duct & cabal is already under road project. As this road is passing through forest land there is need to prior permission from competen authority of Forest Dept. After laying of OFC duct it trench will be refill and land will be made useable In this proposed no need of fresh forest land fo laying of OFC duct & cable		
	14	 approved by the State Govt.? 13 Details of catchment and culturabale command area under the project (If applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (If applicable) 14 Utility of Project 15 Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth tree/ forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion 16 Any other importance information related to the project (separate note may be 		

Scanned with CamScanner

11	Details of documents photographs enclosed	and	 Permission from PWD/ZP authorities. Authority Photographs of proposed area. 			
18	Recommendation of the Inspecting Officer.		The proposal is recommended for acceptance, as the proposed work will be useful to rural peoples /Offices far away from the developed urban area.			

Place - Satara Date- 2 % 12 /2019 Deputy Conservation of Forests Forest Division Satara

45