

Site inspection Report by the DFO

SL No.	Particular	Inspection Report By CCF/DFO (T)																			
1.	Extent is hectares	1.690 hac.																			
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	<table><tr><th colspan="4">(1) Location (lat-long) Cmp.No. RF 670</th></tr><tr><th>S.N.</th><th>LATITUDE</th><th colspan="2">LONGITUDE</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>21°58'33.5"</td><td colspan="2">78°27'10.48"</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>21°58'20.44"</td><td colspan="2">78°28'30.77"</td></tr></table>				(1) Location (lat-long) Cmp.No. RF 670				S.N.	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		1	21°58'33.5"	78°27'10.48"		2	21°58'20.44"	78°28'30.77"	
(1) Location (lat-long) Cmp.No. RF 670																					
S.N.	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE																			
1	21°58'33.5"	78°27'10.48"																			
2	21°58'20.44"	78°28'30.77"																			
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest , reserved forests,revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	1.690 ha. Reserved Forest																			
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes																			
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No																			
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed Project by the user agency.Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC ACt and guidlines there under.	No																			
7.	Status of vegetatin.Site quality,species composition etc.	<table><tr><th colspan="4">Density of vegetation</th></tr><tr><th>S.No</th><th>Area</th><th>Density</th><th>Eco-Class</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1.690</td><td>0.4</td><td>Eco3</td></tr></table>				Density of vegetation				S.No	Area	Density	Eco-Class	1	1.690	0.4	Eco3				
Density of vegetation																					
S.No	Area	Density	Eco-Class																		
1	1.690	0.4	Eco3																		
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species , bird life reptiles,butterflies and other scheduled animals,any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No signs of scheduled animals & endangered animals found.																			
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No																			
10.	Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and , if not , why ?	Yes																			
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No																			
12.	Any dependent persons/farmilies on this land.	No																			
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No																			
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the person to be affected ? Is there any dissenting voice among the person proposed to be displaced ?	Not required																			
15.	- Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area , suitability of the area for CA, if in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area ? - Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. - Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Not required																			
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Distance Between protected area and proposed area are following 1.Pench Tiger Reserve - 82 km 2. Satpura Tiger Resrve - 54 km																			
17.	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	No																			
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	For Public Transporting																			
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	It is Fresh Proposal																			
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	No																			

21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry	Yes
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuing that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	—
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	The Proposal provide conveyance facilities to villagers there will not be any adverse effect on the forest hence recommended.



Divisional Forest Officer

Place :-

Date :- 16.10.2021