Subject:-Submission of proposal for diversion forest land of 0.0735 Hector For Subject:-Submission of proposal for diversion forest land of 0.0735 Hector For construction of 66KV S/C Keshod to Mangrol transmission line by laying of 66KV construction of 80 SQ.MM. (3+1) Underground power cable near village: Mangrol (Taluka 1xcore 630 SQ.MM. (3+1) Underground power cable near village: Mangrol (Taluka Mangrol, Dist.: Junagadh) (Ha.0.0735)

Mangrou, J. Mangro

Site	Inspectior	i by the	e DFO

	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO
Sr.no.	tin bectares	0.0735 Ha.
Ι.	Extent in nectures Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land	(1) Starting point Mangrol City
l 2.	Proposed for Diversion	Road
	Proposed for Diversion	21°7'55.10"N 70°7'11.32"E
		End point Magrol-Keshod Road
		21° 8'27.91"N 70°7'22.35"E
	Legal Status of the forest land (Protected	Protected forest Area.
3.	forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest	
	land or any other forest land)	
	Demarcation of the area with temporary	In Protected Forest Roadside Area, no
4.	cairns etc.	Temporary cairns are Available.
	Any signs of encroachment	No
5.	Any activity already taken up within the	No Seen,
6.	forest land or adjoining on forest as part	No work has been done by the user
	of the proposed project by the user	agency for the proposed Laying 66 KV
	agency. Details of action taken against	Underground Cable in the Proposed
	the user agency in case of violation of the	area.
	FC Act and guidelines there under.	
7.	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species	No vegetation in the Proposed area.
/.	composition etc.	
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of	The Proposed area is not Important from
0.	view, status of wildlife (density and	wildlife point of view.
	abundance of important species, bird life	
	reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled	
	animals any endangered wildlife). Any	
	latest census of wildlife in this area.	
9.	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other	The Proposed area is not Important for
).	unique ecosystem in the area.	Endemism of flora I fauna.
10.	Current land use. is this area managed as	The Proposed area is Roadside
20.	per prescription in the working plan and	Protected forest Area, which is not
	if not, why	Under Working Plan.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or	
	religious point of view.	Place/Archeological Place in this
	rengious point of view.	Demanded Area.
12.	Any department of persons proposed.	No
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and	There is no Rehabilitation Hence not
	Resettlement plan for the person to be	Applicable.
	affected? Is there any dissenting.	F F

	Compensatory Afforestation proposed is	Not applicable,
15.	on forest land or non –forest land.	As per Guideline GOG letter no.
	Location of this area, suitability of the	FCA/1015/1013/15/ SF-83/F (1)
page 1 and 1	area for CA. if in the degraded forest land	DT.04/02/2016
36805	then what is the current working plan	& APCCF, Land Letter no
	prescription for the area? Distance of the	FCA/29/A/1062-1135/Dt. 10/03 /2016
	non-forest land for CA from the nearest	1 0/03/2016
11	non-torest land for CA from the hearest	
	forest area. Number of patches in case	
1	the area should be more than 10 Km.	Duran I.A. 1 70 control
16.	Proposal area should not be part of any	Proposed Area is 58.00 K.M.
ie -	Protected area. Also, distance from the	(Approx.) (Using GPS Technology)
	boundary of the nearest protected area	Away in Area Linear Distance from
13	should be more than 10 Km.	the nearest as Gir National Park.
17.	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether	The Proposed area is not in tribal area.
	the rights of the tribal have been	
P	recognized in this area.	
18.	Utility of the project, including the	The proposed Project is useful for the
	people living in closed vicinity of the	people living in closed vicinity of the
	project.	project.
19.	In case of renewal all the condition	The Proposal satisfactory fulfills all the
	stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction	necessary conditions of FCA.
	order have been complied with.	
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency	No
	in case of non-site-specific projects.	
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the	Yes
and the	forest land requested for diversion for	
A The second	non- forestry purpose is bare minimum.	
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while	There is no tree cutting during the
	ensuring that the purpose for which the	diversion.
	forest land is being diverted is also not	
	adversely affected.	
23.	Any other issued of significance.	No
24.	Specific recommendation of the DFO	0.0735 Ha Recommended because, The
	with reason for approval of the project.	Project is not Harmful from wildlife and
		flora / fauna point of view.

Date: 0 2 MAR 2021 Place:Mangrol-Keshod Road. (Dr. Shobhita Agarwal) Deputy Conservator of Forests Social Forestry Division, Girsomnath 06 03 2021.