SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Diversion of 0.5260 ha of forest land for construction of link road from Namchi Rong to Salleybong Dara Gaon in South Sikkim.

- 1. Legal status of forestland proposed for diversion: 0.5260 ha
- 2. Item wise break up of details of forestland proposed for diversion:

SI. No.	Component	Name and Status of Forest land	Area (in Ha)	
1.	Const. of Road	Namchi Rong (Sikkim Sharker Gaucharan)	0.5260	
		Total	0.5260	

3. Details of construction of buildings (if any) Not applicable

4. Total cost of project at present rates

The estimated cost of the project is Rs. 73,74,983/- Rupees (Seventy three lakh seventy four thousand nine hundred eighty three) only

- 5. Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view:
- **6. Vegetation:** The area identified for the project is a stable land comprising of mainly Chelawney, simal, Lapsi etc. Necessary care during and after the completion of the project shall be taken up to protect and conserve the bio diversity of the area. Maximum effort shall be made to minimize the felling of existing trees.

7. Details of fauna

Mammals: Barking deer (Muntiacus muntjac), Civet cat (Viverasp), etc.

Reptiles, Birds, Butterflies are also found in the forest area proposed for diversion.

8. Background note on the proposal:

9. Compensatory Afforestation:

Land for CA is appropriate for plantation & : Yes management point of view									
1	for CA is fro bencies	ee from e	om encroachment/other			: Yes			
Land for CA is important from religious/archaeological point of view						: No			
Number of patches involved in land identified for CA						: One			
SI.			Plantation Fe		cing SMC Work				
No.	Location	Range	area (ha.)	(in	km)	(in ha.)	Division		
i.	Khasmal	Namchi (T)	1.052	0.63	312	0.263	South Territorial Division		
Map of	area selected fo	r CA : Encl	osed						
Total fi	nancial outlay	:The Fores	:The total cost of Compensatory Afforestation scheme in Forest along with maintenance and protection is Rs. 15,23,935/- Rupees (fifteen lakh twenty three thousand nine hundred thirty five) only						

10. Whether proposal involves violation of FCA, 1980 or not. If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken.

No violation has been observed till date.

11. The requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommend area item-wise with details of alternative examined.

The area requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency for diversion is unavoidable and is the barest minimum.

12. Details on catchments and command area under the project and catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.

N.A.

13. Cost-Benefit ratio (only in case if diverted area is >5 ha) N.A.

Divisional Forest Officer (T)
South Division, Nixmon