

(To be filled up by the Nodal Officer with date of receipt)

PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State serial No. of proposal FP/TG/WATER/18949/2016

7. Location of the Project/Scheme:

- (i) **State/Union Territory** : Telangana
- (ii) **District.** : **Erstwhile KHAMMAM**
Presently falling in Bhadradri
District, Kothagudem
- (iii) **Forest Division** : PALONCHA
- (iv) **Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in Ha):- 4.66 Ha**
(4.41 Ha Khammam Division and 0.246 Ha in Paloncha Division)

Sl. No	Range	RF	Beat	Compt. Nos.	Area under diversion (in Ha)
1	Paloncha	Katkur	Kavadigundla & Kantlam	36 & 28	The User Agency has submitted that the proposals that the area is passing through compt.Nos.36&28 in Katkur RF. Whereas during my field inspection it is found that the area proposed by the User Agency is falling in private lands. Hence there is no diversion in the area.
2		Dacharam	Nandipadu	444	0.246 The Segment 25/14 also passing through the Compt.Nos.444 & 446 which is covered with Misc. forest growth. The details are enclosed.
3		Lachapeta Ext-I	Nandipadu	446	
				Total:	0.246

(v) **Legal status of forest.**

- 1) Katkur RF Block notified under section 4 vide G.O.Ms. No. 418/F and R.P. For. III
- 2) Dacharam RF Block notified under section 15 vide G.O.Ms.No.2201 F&A For-III, Dt:10-05-74.
- 3) Lachapeta Ext-I RF Block notified under section 15 vide. G.O.Ms.No.550, Dt. 8.4.71.

(vi) **Density of vegetation.**

The Canopy density is 0.4 and the vegetation comprise Nallamaddi (*Terminalia tomentosa*), *Nemalinara*, *Yegisa*, *Gumpena*, *Pachaari*, *Chennangi*, *Bandaru*, *Tellatumma*, *Battaganam* and *Chella* . The source of arriving the density is from referring the GIS layers of Telangana Forest Department website.

vii) **Species-wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation/hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed.)**

Species – wise list enclosed.

Total summary of the proposed area **0.246 Ha**

Area in Ha.	Total No. of trees	Girth class wise no. of trees					Timber Cu.m	Fuel Cu.m	Total value (Rs.in Lac)
		Up to 30cm	31 to 60cm	61 to 90cm	91to 120 cm	Above 120cm			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0.246	90	0	48	14	11	17	10.158	8.762	1.131

viii) **Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.**

The canopy density of the vegetation is 0.4. The terrain of the area is almost plain and light slope and also bottom of the hillock. The soils are not prone for any erosion due to the presence of the vegetation.

ix) **Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.**

This is passing through Reserved Forest Area.

- x) **Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).**

No, the proposed area is not a part of any sanctuary or national park or Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant corridor etc. .

- xi) **Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area if so, details thereof.**

No rare /endangered unique species of flora and fauna are found in the area.

- xii) **Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.**

No, There are no protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area.

8. **Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.**

Yes, the requirement of Forest Land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project.

9. **Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.**

No

10. **Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:**

It is submitted that as per GOI, MoEF, Lr.F.No.11-9-98-FC, Dt:16-06-2011. No CA to be insisted upon in Drinking Water Projects in Left Wing Extremism Districts where the diversion of Forest Land is upto 5.00 Ha in each project. Hence, the CA is not applicable in this project.

11. **Site inspection report of the D.C.F (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.**

I have inspected the area proposed for diversion on 29-10-2016. The Site inspection report is enclosed here with.


12. Division/District profile:

- (i) **Geographical area of the district :** 1,60,29,000 Ha
Division: 404035.00 Ha
- (ii) **Forest area of the district :** 7,48,882 Ha
Division: 103303.53 Ha
- (iii) **Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.**
22 Cases in 3442.4018 Ha.
- (iv) **Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 on**
- (a) **forest land including penal compensatory afforestation,**
1248.15 Ha. Planted.
121.31 Ha ANR
Total : 1369.46 Ha
- (b) **Non-forest land.**
837.42 Ha
- (v) **Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) 04-11-2016, on**
- (a) **forest land 2780.67 Ha planted.**
- (b) **non-forest land. : 187.32 Ha**

13. Specific recommendations of the D.C.F for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.

Yes, Recommended for acceptance of the Telangana Drinking Water Project is intended to provide Safe Drinking Water to the people of Khammam District.

Date: -11 -2016.
Place: Paloncha.


Forest Divisional Officer
Paloncha Division
