Check list for site inspection by the DFO

Inspection Date - 08-09-2022

Proposal No. – FP/MP/ROAD/51129/2020

SI. No.	Particular	7	Inspect	ion Report by DEO	
- 1	2	Inspection Report by DFO			
1.	Extent is hectares.	4.50 hec.			
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	About what	Compartm ent No.	G.P.S. Reading	
]		What	CIIC IVO.	Starting point	Ending point
		Main	RF-333	23°20'81.01"N	23°12'21.76"N
		Existin		80°08'16.13"E	80°17'09.07"E
	•	g Road Wideni	PF-334	23°13'7.26"N	23°13'15.15"N
		ng		80°11'23.35"E	80°12'7.80"E
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)		orest & Prote	cted Forest	
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	Yes			
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	NIa			<u> </u>
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest	No No			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· 0.	land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the	I NO			
	proposed project by the user agency. Details of				
ļ	action taken against the user Agency in case of			4.4	
	violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.				
7.	Status of vegetation site quality species composition etc.	Range	Com. No.	Vegetation & Site Quality	Density
1		Kundam	RF- 333	Teak Iva, Mixed Iva	0.3 -0.5
		Kundam	P-334	Teak Iva, Mixed Iva	0.5
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No			
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No			
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not, why?	The Proposed area 4.5 hec. of compartment no. PF-334 & RF-333 are prescribed under IWC working circle as per present Working plan by Anand kumar singh (Year 2018-19 to 2027-28)			
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No			
	Any dependent persons/ families on this land.	No	·····		
	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No			
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting	No		<u> </u>	
	voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?		•		
15.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land.	CA is proposed on the land of Katni division.			
	Location of this area suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is			•	
.	the current working plan prescription for the				
	area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches				
	in case the area should be more than to kms.				

1.0	TD 1 1 11 11 1				
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected	Area is not part of any protected Area. Nearest Protected			
	area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest	area is more than 10 kms.			
'	protected area should be more than 10 kms.	· ·			
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights	A certificate should be given by the User Agency			
'	of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	regarding the presence and recognition of the rights of			
		tribals in the proposed area.			
18	Utility of the project, including the people living	The widening of the existing road will provide easy			
	in close vicinity of the project.				
	in close vicinity of the project.	movement and transportation to the people living close			
		vicinity of the project thereby improving their standard of			
		living.			
19	Incase of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated	Not applicable.			
<u> </u>	in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.				
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of	Not applicable.			
	non-site specific projects.				
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land	Not applicable.			
	requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is	11			
	bare minimum.				
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that	Not applicable.			
	the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted				
	is also not adversely affected.				
23	Any other issue of significance.	No			
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with	The widening of the existing road will provide easy			
	reasons for approval of the project.	movement and transportation to the people living around the project thereby improving their standard of living. It			
		will also improve inter-state transportation. Therefore the diversion of 4.5 hectares of the proposed forest land is			
		recommended.			

Divisional Forest Officer
(T) Division Jabalpur

Recommandation by DFO

Proposal No. – FP/MP/ROAD/51129/2020 (National Highway – 45)

The construction of the bypass and the widening of the existing road will provide easy movement and transportation to the people living around the project thereby improving their standard of living. It will also improve inter-state transportation. Therefore the diversion of 4.500 hectares of the proposed forest land is recommended.

Divisional forest officer
(T) Division Jabalpur