Check list for site inspection by the DFO/CF/CCF:

At present the Site Inspection Report submitted by the DCF level is very sketchy and not convey much to higher officers going for verification of the facts in the field monitoring of the project on a later date as mandated in the guidelines. This is possible only when exhaustive check is carried out at the DCF/DFO level. This would help the higher authorities to verify the facts to arrive at appropriate decisions.

Sl. No.	Particular	Inspection Report By CCF/DFO(T)
1.	Extent is hectares.	4.089
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	Forest area under range Aron, Raghogarh and Maksudangarh Camprtment No is P 720, P 713, P 719, P 160, P 159, P 166, P 171, P 168, P 156, P 165, P 157, P 727, P 729, P 731, P 730, P 733, P 732, P 735, P 736, P 734, P 739, P 740, P 750, P 743, P 744, P 747, P 757, P 759, P 760, P 762, P 745, P 756, P 765, P 767, P 770, P 773, P 776, P 777, P 782, P 783, P 787, P 788, P 789 Attached Coordinates List
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Protected forests
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under	No Activity
7.	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Misc-dry deciduous, Forest Land, species-shrubs d weeds.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Nil
10.	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why?	Area is managed as per Working Plan
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	There is no histor cal or religious Site.
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	None
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	None

		Na
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected ? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced ?	No
15	Compensatory Afforestation proposed is on forest land of non-forest land.	No
	Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	
17.	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	No
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	The above pipeline is to be constructed for the provision of drinking water to the people in the rural areas adjoining the forest area of Guna district.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	N/A
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	The proposed scheme is necessary from the point of view of water supply.
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate attached. In Proposal that is minimum and essential.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest rand is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	Construction of pipeline is necessary for water supply in rural areas. 77 trees will be affected in the construction of underground pipeline in the forest area
23.	Any other issue of significance.	Nil
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	It is recommended to give 4.089 hectares of forest land for laying underground pipeline under Rajgha dam based group water supply scheme.

Divisional Forest Officer

♥ Forest Division Guna

Place Date

- Guna 67/9/22