

**Site Inspection by the DFO**  
**Proposal No. : (FP/GI)/Others/40175/2019)**


Sr. no.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO (T)
1	Extent in hectares	9.7503 ha.
2	Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land proposed for Diversion	As per annexure - 32
3	Legal Status of the forest land (Protected forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest land or any other forest land)	RF (Sec.- 20)
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes
5	Any signs of encroachment	User agency has already uprooted trees and Constructed building, Solar plant, road on 0.45 ha. out of proposed 9.7503 ha Forest land without prior permission of Competent authority. company has violate Forest (Conservation) Act-1980.
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining on forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	User agency has already uprooted trees and clear area of 0.45 Ha. out of proposed 9.7503 ha Forest land and Constructed building, Solar plant, road without prior permission of Competent authority. Company has violate Forest (Conservation) Act-1980. <b>Action taken:-</b> FOR No. 05/2016-17, 1/2018-19 & 5/2020-21 Mandvi Range has been booked against company and Rs. 48,000 + 25,000 + 15,000 + 50,000 = Rs.1,38,000/- has been- recovered as deposit for Violation / damaging the Forest. Further inquiry has been in progress.
7	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species composition etc.	As per annexure - 16
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of Important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No
9	Endemism of flora / fauna of any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why	Area managed as per prescriptions in the Working plan and demanded

23

		area of Village: Mota Asambia (Vanothi Rakhal), Ta. Mandvi is under Plantation Working Circle.
11	Importance of the area from historical of religious point of view.	No
12	Any department of persons proposed	No
13	Any displacement of persons proposed	N / A
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting	N / A
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non – forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area ? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 km.	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on <u>Non-Forest land</u> . Location of the area is as per annexure – 18, suitability of the area for CA. is as per annexure – 21. Proposed CA land is adjacent to the existing forest area.
16	Proposal area should not be part of any Protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 km.	As per annexure - 25
Sr. no.	Particular	
17	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in this area.	No
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in closed vicinity of the project.	There is no settlement near the project. So these issue do not apply
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	N / A (this is a fresh proposal)
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non – site specific projects.	No
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non – forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Enclosed as Annexure - 10
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	User agency has given undertaking for bare minimum cutting of trees.
23	Any other issued of significance	No
24	Specific recommendation of the DFO with reason for approval of the project.	<b><u>Recommendation for Diversion of 9.7503 ha. forest land</u></b>  - For Educational purposes - As per detail note on Project (Anne.-04) - User agency has occupied surroundly private survey No.543, 549, 560 and survey No.557, 558, 562, 534, 539, 540, 541 are under the ownership of the user agency. So has there is no scope of

	<p>alternative land for the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- User agency are recommended not to cut local tree species mentioned in marking kharda (Annexure-16). Only prosopis juliflora will be removed.</li><li>- User agency has repeatedly violate forest (Conservation) act-1980. So, penalty should be kept 5-times of actual.</li></ul>
--	---

Date:- 01 / 02 / 2021  
Place:- Bhuj - Kutch.

  
( Tushar B. Patel )  
Dy.Consarvator of Forest  
Kutch West Division, Bhuj