

**Reference: Index Sr. No.30****Site Inspection Report**

Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No.Desk-17-4/1445. Dated 05/11/2015

Sr No.	Items	Observations and remarks					
1	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	Range:- Shirur Round:- Shikrapur Beat:- Karandi					
2	Name of the User Agency	Deputy General Manager, Essar Steel India Ltd. Sanaswadi Tal-Shirur Dist-Pune- 412 208					
3	Date of site inspection	26 /08/2016					
4	Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion	0.13 ha. Reserve Forest					
5	a)Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land	Name of Component	Name of Village	Forest Land Required			Legal Status of Land
				F.S./ Gut No	Comp tt No.	Area ha.	
		Construction of underground water pipeline	Koregaon bhima /D.wadi Tal-Shirur Dist-Pune	256, 247, 248, 255, 152, 153, 154, 155	---	0.13	R.F.
	b) Density and Eco-value class	0.4 Eco-Class-IV					
6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project If no, recommended are item-wise with details of alternative examined.	:Yes, The company has been constructed pipeline in forest area 0.1305 ha. it is unavoidable and barest minimum for this Project. So diversion of forest land for this purpose is unavoidable.					
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof;	: No					



8	<p>a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not.</p> <p>b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof.</p> <p>c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km).</p> <p>d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project</p> <p>e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore.</p>	<p>: 1. Passeridae (Sparrow), 2. Vanellus melabaricus (Titvi),</p> <p>Flora- 1. Azadirachta indica (Kadunimb), 2. Tamarindus Indica, (Tamrind), 4. Senegalia (Khair),</p> <p>: Approximate -80 Km.</p> <p>: No</p> <p>: No</p>
9	<p>Details of vegetation:</p> <p>a) Total number of trees to be felled.</p> <p>b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.</p> <p>c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60cm,</p> <p>d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.</p>	<p>: No</p> <p>: No</p> <p>: No</p> <p>: No</p>
10	<p>Background note on the proposal. (Short summary)</p>	<p>: Attached on page No. -----</p>
11	<p>Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached.</p>	<p>: Yes, There is violation of FCA 1980. The Company has laid down pipeline in the year 1992 without taking permission Under FCA-1980. At that time the forest land was in charge of Revenue Deptt. As per mutation the said land is transfer on 10.08.2008 to F.D. Shirur Range Offence Case No.O-8/16-17 Dtd.22.08.2016</p>



61

12	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	: No
13	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable)  State of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	: Not Applicable
14	Utility of the project	: For Company Production.
15	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?	: No
16	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	: No
17	Details of documents and photographs enclosed.	: Attached on page No. ----
18	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (CCF/CF/DCF)	:As the company is having approach on both sides of proposed forest land. But pipeline is already laid down before Approximate 25 years ago through forest land. (As per Collector order & Electric bill). Now there is no any disturbance and damage to existing forest land. So I as DCF Junnar recommend proposed diversion of forest land with necessary penalty charges for violation.

  
 Deputy Conservator of Forests  
 Junnar Forest Division Junnar

Place:-Junnar  
 Date:- / 09/ 2016