

Office of the Divisional forest officer, Forest Division, Datia (M.P.)

Site inspection Report

I, Priyanshi singh rathore, have been working as deputy conservator of forest and posted as divisional forest officer, Forest Division, Datia (M.P.)

Project manager, maa ratangarh, PIU Seondha, Datia (M.P.) wide letter no. 52/TS/2019 dated 26-12-2019 have submitted demand letter for diversion of forest land for non-forestry use under Forest Conservation Act, 1980 for Maa Ratangarh multipurpose project in district Datia and Gwalior as detailed below:-


Sr. No.	District	Division	Range	Copt. No.	Forest area proposed for diversion in hect.	Legal status
1	Datia	Datia	Seondha	P-22	31.973	Protected
2	-“-	-“-	-“-	P-27	0.950	Protected
3	-“-	-“-	-“-	P-29	19.609	Protected
4	-“-	-“-	-“-	P-29	2.537	Protected
5	-“-	-“-	-“-	P-30	2.214	Protected
6	-“-	-“-	-“-	R-31	183.681	Reserved
7	-“-	-“-	-“-	P-25, P-22, P-23, P-24, P-26, P-14, P-15, P-16	438.467	Protected
8	-“-	-“-	-“-	R-32, R-33, R-34, R-35	301.926	Reserved
TOTAL					981.357	

I have inspected the above site on dated 07-02-2020, 15-06-2020 And Again On 29.07.2022 for mentioning fact as submitted by the used agency, project manager, Maa Ratangarh, PIU, Seondha, Datia (M.P.) and for concluding departments recommendation in proposed diversion of forest land as mentioned above for non-forestry use under Forest Conservation Act, 1980.

I am submitting my site inspection report in prescribed format as below:-

Si.No.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO (T) Datia
1	Extent Hectares	981.357 Hectares
2	Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land Proposed for diversion	KML file has been uploaded in part-1 of the said application
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserve forest, revenue forest land and any other forest land)	Protected forest land -495.750 Hc. & Reserve forest land -485.607 Hc. Total- 981.357 Hc.
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary claims etc.	Yes
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the Proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act. and guidelines there under.	No
7	Status of Vegetation Site Quality Species composition etc.	The proposed area for diversion scrub forest with vegetation of 0.3 density and ecoclass 3. The most commonly found trees and bushes of Kardhai, Palas, Khair, Remja, Prosopis, & others Fuel.
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view status of wildlife (Density and abundance of important species bird the reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife).Any latest census of wildlife in the area.	Wild Animals like Hyna, spotted deer, wolf, wild bear, etc are found in the area.
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No
10	Current land use is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plane and if not why?	The area being managed as per working plan provisions.

11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No
12	Any dependent person families on this land.	Yes
13	Any displacement of pension proposed.	Yes
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plane for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	User agency need to compensate for rehabilitation and resettlement for the villagers (list of villages is mentioned in the document submitted by the user agency in which 8 villages are fully submerged and 16 villages are partially submerged)
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of the area suitability of the area for ca. if in the degraded forestland then what is the current working plane precipitation for the area? Distance of the non forest land for ca from the nearest forest Area number of patches in case the area should be more than to Kms.	626.06 Ha. Of land for CA has been allotted in Bhind distt. But allotted area is not according to point no. 7 of the guidelines of M.P. Forest Department Letter No. 2090 dated 31.07.2020 (Leter by DFO Bhind attached). Rest of the Land for CA has not been allotted by user agency yet.
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms.	Out of 10 Kms.
17	Dependence of tribles in the area whether the right of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	No
18	Utility of the project, including to the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Agricultural development of local area is expected for the people living in close vicinity of the project.
19	In case of renewal whether all the condition Stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	No
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non side specific projects.	Yes
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Yes
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the proposed project for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversity affected.	No
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Diversion of land for project is for the benefit of the people in agriculture and will also generate employment. So, project is recommended.


 Divisional Forest officer
 Place Datia
 Date: 29.07.2022