कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फोरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून। संख्या 747 / 1जी–FP/UK/Others/9959/2015 देहरादूनः दिनांकः 02 सितंबर, 2015

सेवा में,

अपर सचिव, वन उत्तराखण्ड शासन

विषयः—जनपद पौड़ी में जंपिन एड्वेंचर्स प्राईवेट लि० के पक्ष जंपिन हाईट्स साहसिक क्षेत्र हेतु लीस नवनीकरण हेतु — 0.157 है० वन भूमि हस्तांतरण FP/UK/Others/9959/2015

महो दय,

उपरोक्त विषयक वन भूमि हस्तांतरण प्रस्ताव शासन को ऑनलाइन प्रेषित किया गया है जिसकी हार्ड कॉपी आवश्यक अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही हेतु संलग्न कर विशेष पत्रवाहक के माध्यम से प्रेषित किया जा रहा है। प्रस्ताव निजी संस्था को लीस का प्रकरण होने के कारण सहमति की दशा में प्रस्ताव पर सैद्धांतिक स्वीकृति हेतु भारत सरकार को प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें।

(एस0टी0एस0लेप्चा) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

संलग्नकः उपरोक्तानुसार

संख्या :

/ 1जी–FP/UK/Others/9959/2015 तद्दिनांकित

प्रतिलिपिः

- 1. प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, उत्तराखण्ड।
- 2. मुख्य वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल, पौड़ी।
- 3. वन संरक्षक, शिवालिक वृत्त, देहरादून।
- 4. अपर सचिव, लोक निर्माण विभाग / पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई, उत्तराखण्ड शासन, देहरादून।
- 5. जिलाधिकारी, पौड़ी।
- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, लैन्सडाउन वन प्रभाग।
- अधिशासी अभियंता, मैo जंपिन एड्वेंचर्स प्राईवेट लिo, मोहनचट्टी, पोo स्वर्गाश्रम, जनपद पौड़ी गढ़वाल।

(एर्सै0टी0एस0लेप्चा) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

भाग IV

(नोडल अधिकारी अथवा प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक अथवा अध्यक्ष, वन विभाग द्वारा

भरे जाने के लिए)

परियोजना का नामः

जनपद पौड़ी में जंपिन एड्वेंचर्स प्राईवेट लि० के पक्ष जंपिन हाईट्स साहसिक क्षेत्र हेतु लीस नवनीकरण हेतु – 0.157 है० वन भूमि हस्तांतरण FP/UK/Others/9959/2015

 टिप्पणी के साथ प्रस्ताव को स्वीकार करने या अन्यथा के लिए राज्य वन विभाग की विस्तृत राय और निर्दिष्ट सिफारिशें। (राय देते समय, सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक अथवा उप वन संरक्षक की प्रतिकूल टिप्पणी की सुस्पष्ट समीक्षा की जाय और विवेचनात्मक टिप्पणी दी जाय):

उपरोक्त योजना हेतु लैन्सडाउन वन प्रभाग में 0.157 है0 सिविल वन भूमि का हस्तांतरण प्रस्तावित है जिसका अवलोकन करने पर पाया गया कि उपरोक्त मोटर मार्ग निर्माण हेतु उपरोक्त वन भूमि का सम्मिलित होना अनिवार्य है। प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, लैन्सडाउन वन प्रभाग तथा वन संरक्षक, शिवालिक वृत्त, देहरादून द्वारा भी प्रस्ताव पर अपनी संस्तुति की है। अतः जनहित में, प्रस्ताव निजी संस्था को लीस का प्रकरण होने के कारण सहमति की दशा में प्रस्ताव पर सैद्धांतिक स्वीकृति हेतु भारत सरकार को प्रेषित करने की संस्तुति की जाती है।

हस्ताक्षरः

नाम व पदनामः (एस0टी0एस0लेप्चा भा.व.से) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, वन संरक्षण

एवं जपेरु प्रमुखाक्षिमग्सरेक्षक एवरमोखप्त्व अधिकारी वन संरक्षण,भूमि सर्वेक्षण निदेशालय, उत्तराखण्ड सरकारी मोहरः देहराद्न

तिथिः **03**/09/2015 स्थानः देहरादून

प्रपत्र-2.2

भाग-III

(सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक द्वारा भरा जाना है)

नहीं

P.No.- FP/UK/Others/9959/2015

14- स्थल, जहां की वन भूमि शामिल की गयी है क्या इसका सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक ने निरीक्षण किया है ? (हॉ/नहीं) यदि हॉ तो निरीक्षण की तारीख और किए गये प्रेक्षणों को, निरीक्षण नोट के रूप में संलग्न करें।

- 15- क्या सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक भाग-ख में दी गयी सहमत सूचना और उप वन संरक्षक के सुझावों से सहमत है।
- 16- प्रस्ताव की स्वीकृति या अन्यथा के बारे में सम्बन्धित वन संरक्षक की विस्तृत कारणों के साथ विशेष सिफारिशें।

प्रमागीय वनाधिकारी की आख्या के आधार पर संस्तुति की जाती है।

हस्ताक्षर

भिवन

चन्द्र)

नाम और पदनाम

तिथि- 21.08.2015

स्थान- देहरादून



प्रपत्र-5

SITE INSPECTION REPORT- NOT BELOW THE RANK OF DCF (for the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

5.2 On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is a Civil Loyan RF/PF/un-classed/Other forests measuring.......ha.

5.3 The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in Co1.2 part-1 is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project. γ_{ES}

5.4 Whether any rare /endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If, so the details there of :- NO

5.5 xx Whether any protected archeological /heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area, if, so the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.-

- a) The user agency has not violated the provisions of forest (Conservation), Act 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.
 - (RENEWAL OF LEASE)
- b) It has been found that the user agency has violated (Conservation), Act, and 1980 provisions. A details report as per para 1.9 of chapter 1, Para C of Hand book of forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 attached.

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

ECOMMENDED. The project is provi employment to local people and prom Place:- KOTOWARA KECOMMENDED. (Signature) Name NITISH MANI TRIPATHI Date.....25 07 15 Designation DFO. LANLDOWNE Office Seal निमासन पन प्रथान कोटवार (गढ़वाल)

N.B.

x State the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted. xx out of(a) and (b) tick the option which is applicable and cross the option which is not applicable.

As per letter number 2-2/2000 FC darted 16-10-2000 from ministry of Environment & Forest, Government of India for proposal involving less then 40 hectares of forest land, the site inspection report from DCF is required and for proposal involving more the 40 hectares of forest land site inspection report from the conservation of forests is required.

PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of proposal : UK-0516/2015

- 1. Location of the project/Scheme : Uttarakhand
 - (i) State / Union Territory : Uttarakhand
- (ii) District : Pauri Garhwal
- (iii) Forest Division : Lansdowne
- (iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.): 0.157
- (v) Category: Others
- 2. Legal status of forest land proposed for diversion :

S. No.	Division	Forest Land(ha.)	Legal Status
1	Lansdowne	0.157	Civil Soyam land

Sitrict wise area to be diverted in the division : S. No. District Area(ha.) 1 Pauri Garhwal 0.157 Letails of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion : (ii) Density of vegetation S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 Scientific No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 Scientific No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 Scientific No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class Scientific Local (31- (61- (91- (121- No. Name 60)cm. 90)cm. 120)cm. 150)cm. (>120)cm. NIL NIL Null Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Nullerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i				Division	1. : Lansdowne				
1 Pauri Garhwal 0.157 1 Pauri Garhwal 0.157 1 Density of vegetation 0.157 S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. Scientific Local (31- 60)cm. (91- 90)cm. (121- 150)cm. (>150)cm. No. Name 60)cm. 90)cm. 120)cm. 150)cm. (>150)cm. NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL Nill	B. Distri	ct wise area to be	diverted in the di	vision :					
a. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion : (ii) Density of vegetation S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. Scientific Local (31- (61- (91- (121- (>150)cm. No. Name 60)cm. 90)cm. 120)cm. 150)cm. (>150)cm. NIL NIL Null Null Null Null Null Null Null Null . Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Null Null Null Null Null . Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Null . . Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data 		S. No. District						Area(ha	.)
S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. Scientific No. Name (31- 60)cm. (61- 90)cm. (91- 120)cm. (121- 150)cm. (>150)cm. Nul Nul Nul Nul Nul Nul Nul Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Nul proposed for diversion : Nul proposed for diversion : Nul Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i) Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii) Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No (iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No		1		Pauri Garl	nwal			0.157	
S. No. Area(in ha.) Density Eco-Class 1 0.157 20 Eco 5 S. No. Name (31- Name (61- 90)cm. (91- 120)cm. (121- 150)cm. (>150)cm. Null Null Null Null Null Null Null . Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Null proposed for diversion : Null Null . Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. . Approximate distance of the proposed for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data . . Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : . . (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc . . (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No . Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No		·		est land propose	d for diversion	:			
S. Scientific No. Local Name (31- 60)cm. (61- 90)cm. (91- 120)cm. (121- 150)cm. (>150)cm. NIL NIL Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : he area is not RF hence no working plan prescription. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No (iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No	. ,			n ha.)	D	ensity		Eco-	Class
No. Name Name 60)cm. 90)cm. 120)cm. 150)cm. (>150)cm. NIL Null Null No. Null Null Null Null Null Nume Nume Null Nume Significance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion of view : (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed		1	0.1	57		20		Eco	o 5
No. Name Name 60)cm. 90)cm. 120)cm. 150)cm. (>150)cm. NIL NIL Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : ne area is not RF hence no working plan prescription. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No (iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No		I							
 Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : he area is not RF hence no working plan prescription. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No 				``	•			•	(>150)cm
 he area is not RF hence no working plan prescription. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : Slightly prone to erosion. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : No Data Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view : (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No (iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No 	I				NIL			L	
 (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No 	. Appro	ximate distance o	of the proposed sit	e for diversion	from boundary	of forest(i	in km.) :	: No Data	
 (i). Sus scrofa, Malatta mullacca, Muntiacus muntjak etc (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No 	. Jigini								
 (ii). reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No 	(i).						d prop	osed for dive	ersion :
(iii). zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act,1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No	(ii) .								ve,tiger
Whether any national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve,	(iii).	zone(ESZ) (Note: In	of the Protec case, ESZ of a	cted Area no Protected A	otified unde Area is not r	r Wildli notified	fe(Pro ,then,	otection) Act, 10kms dista	,1972
		Whether a	any national p	ark, wildlife	e sanctuary,	biosph	ere re	eserve, tiger	reserve,

 $http://forestsclearance.nic.in/Admin/processing_report.aspx?pid=FP\%2fUK\%2fOthers\%2f9959\%2f2015$

- (iv). elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed for diversion : No
- (v). Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : No

9.

Details of any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument located in the area, if any :

- (i). Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : No
- 10. Comment as to the reasonability of the extent of the forest land proposed for diversion :
 - (i). Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : Yes
- 11. Details of violation(s), if any ,committed :
 - (i). Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : No

12. Whether work in violation is still in progres(Yes/No) : No Data

13. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme :

	Patch Wise Details									
S.no Patch No. Area(ha.) Name of PF/RF Range Compartment Uploaded KML file patch										
	NO Data									
(ii). (ii).	(ii). Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed for C.A.:									
	S. No. Documents Remarks									

NIL

S.no	District Name	Geographical area of the district (in ha.)	Forest area of the district (in ha.)	area	No. of Approved Cases	Forest Land including penal C.A. (in ha.)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on(date)	A) Forest land (in ha.)	B) Non- forest land (in ha.)
	NO Data								

15. Site inspection report of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer highlighting important facts pertaining to the forest land :

Division Name	Circle	Site inspected By	Whether site inspected	No. of times site visited	Site inspection report	Date of visit		
Lansdowne	Shivalik	DFO	Yes	One	Addet	23/08/2015		
(Spe	(Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer with(Part II,III & Part IV))							

16. Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason :

Division	Circle	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Reason	Letter	Whether CF agreed
Lansdowne	Shivalik	DFO	Recommended	Proposal is for renewal of lease. Project is providing employment to local people and promoting tourism also.		
	Shivalik	CF	Recommended	Kindly find the attachment.	PT Lake	Yes

Print page

Form B Report

FORM - B

Form for seeking prior approval of Central Government under section 2 of the Forest(Conservation) Act, 1980 for Diversion of fresh forest area

PART - I (To be filled up by User Agency)

A. General Details

A-1. Project Details

(i). Proposal No. : FP/UK/Others/9959/2015

(ii). Name of Project for which Forest Land is required : Jumpin Adventures Pvt. Ltd.

(iii). Short narrative of the proposal and Project/scheme for which the forest land is required : Dear Sir, We have set up an extreme adventure zone comprising of Bungy Jumping, Flying Fox, and Giant Swing under the name and style of 'Jumpin Heights' at Mohanchatti, Yamkeshwar Block, District Pauri Garhwal. The facility is one of its kinds not only in India but in the whole of the Asia.

(iv). State : Uttarakhand

(v). Category of the Project : Others

(vi). Shape of forest land proposed to be diverted : Linear

(vii). Estimated cost of the Project(Rupees in lacs) : 600

(viii). Area of forest land proposed for diversion(in ha.): 0.157

(ix). Non-forest land required for this project(in ha.): 0

(x). Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted(in years): 30

A-2. Details of User Agency

(i). Name : JUMPIN ADVENTURES PVT. LTD.

(ii). Address1 : Jumpin Heights, Village Mohanchatti via PO swargashram

(iii). Address2 : NIL

(iv). State : Uttarakhand

(v). District : Pauri Garhwal

(vi). Pin: 249304

(vii). Landmarks : Rishikesh-Silogi Morar Marg

(viii). Email address : enquiries@jumpinheights.com

(ix). Landline Telephone No.: 2442100

(x). Fax No. : NIL

(xi). Mobile No. : 9897609390

(xii). Website (if any) : jumpinheights.com

(xiii). Details of Proposals seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act for diversion of forest land submitted by the User Agency in the past : Yes

(xiv). Legal status of User Agency : Private

A-3. Details of Person Making Application

(i). First Name: Devendra

(ii). Middle Name: Singh

(iii). Last Name: Gusain

(iv). Gender: Male

(v). Designation: Operation Head

(vi). Address 1: Jumpin Heights, Village Mohanchatti via PO swargashram Pin Code-249304

(vii). Address 2: NIL

(viii). State: Maharashtra

(ix). District: Nashik

(x). Tehsil: Nashik

(xi). Pin: 422010

(xii). Landmarks: MIDC Nadhik

(xiii). Email Address: enquiries@jumpinheights.com

(xiv). Landline Telephone No.: 2381656

(xv). Fax No.: NIL

(xvi). Mobile No.: 9897609390

(xvii). Copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the User Agency: Documents of User Agency

A- Details of the forest land returned back to the State Forest Department or any 7. other Authority from the forest land diverted in favour of the user agency

(i).Details of the forest land returned back to the State Forest Department or any other Authority from the forest land diverted in favour of the user agency: No

Serial No	Area	No of patch	Area of patch	Uploaded patch	Uploaded GPS	Date of return	Authority	Uploaded documentary proof
					NIL			

A- Details of the forest land proposed to be returned/surrendered to the State

Forest Department or any other Authority from the forest land diverted in

8. favour of the user agency

A-9 Details of Transfer of Lease

Serial No	Name of person	Address of person	Date of transfer	MMDR File	Transfer Deed	Conservation	MoEF_file No	Date of approval	MoEF file
					NIL				

B. Details of land required for the Project

B- Details of proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act

1 for diversion of forest land for the Project already submitted in the past

(i). Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act for diversion of forest land required for the project has been submitted in the past?. : Yes

(ii). Details of proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Act for diversion of forest land for the Project already submitted in the past.

	List of proposal submitted in Past								
S.no	Proposal	Proposal	Moef	Area Proposed	Area	Date of In-	Date of		

B-2. Details of forest land proposed to be diverted

B-2.1 Details of Divisions involved

	Details of Divisions involved								
S.no	Division Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)						
1.	Lansdowne	0.157	0						

B-2.2 Details of Districts involved

	District wise breakup								
S.no	District Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)						
1.	Pauri Garhwal	0.157	0						

B-2.3 Village wise breakup

	Villages wise breakup								
S.no	Village	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)						
1	Mohanchatti	0.157	0						

B-2.4 Component wise breakup

Component wise breakup					
S.no	Component	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)		
1	1	0.157	0		

C. Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted

	Division 1. : Garhwal, Pauri					
(i). Area of forest land proposed to be diverted(in ha.): 0.157						
(ii). : Linear						
(b). No. of Segments : One						
	Segments wise details					
Segmenst	Segment Area(in ha.)	Kml File of Segments				
1.	0.157	Kml File of Segments				
(iii). Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted: <u>Copy of India Toposheet</u>						

(iv). Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using DGPS or Total Station:
Copy of Geo-Referenced Map

<u>D. Justification for locating the Project in forest land and details of alternatives examined</u>

(i). Copy of note containing justification for locating the Project in forest land: <u>511712381211CWYNOjustification.pdf</u>

(ii). Whether a copy of map indicating location of alternative examine is required to be provided: Yes

(a). Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined: <u>411151261212271FXcopyofmap.pdf</u>

- E. Employment likely to be generated
 - (i). Whether the Project is likely to generate employment ?: Yes
 - (ii). Permanent/Regular Employment(Number of persons): 21
 - (iii). Temporary Employment(Number of person-days): 0
- F. Displacement of People due to the Project, if any
 - (i). Whether Project involves displacement?: No
- G. Details of Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project
 - (i). Whether the Project requires Cost-Benefit analysis?: No
- H. Status of Environmental Clearance
 - (i). Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act 1986 ? : No
- I. Status of Wildelife Clearance

(i). Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area or their Eco sensitive zone? : No

J. Applicability of special provisions governing Scheduled Areas

(i).Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in a Scheduled Area? : No

ĸ	Status of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act,2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted
<u>n.</u>	forest land proposed to be diverted

(i). Whether the process for settlement of Rights under the Forest Rights Acts 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted has been completed? : Yes

(a). Copy of documentry evidence in support of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted: <u>511712381213TGSMEEvidencedocument.pdf</u>

L. Details of land identified for Compensatory Afforestation

(i). Whether non-forest or Revenue forest land is required to be provided by User Agency?: NIL

(ii). Whether the area of non-forest land or Revenue forest land required to be provided by User Agency for raising Compensatory Afforestation is less than area of forest land proposed to be diverted ?: NIL

(iii) . Reason for not providing Non-Forest Land: NIL

Additional information Details

Documents				
S.No	Documents	Remarks		
NIL				