

Site Inspection Report

| State serial No. of Proposal FP/MH/ROAD/119248/2021 | | Observation and remarks |
|--|--|---|
| S. no | Items | |
| 1 | Name of the project and location | Up-gradation to 2 lane with paved shoulder configuration of Gadchiroli-Sironcha of NH- 353 C in the State of Maharashtra by Ministry of Road Transport & Highways from Zamela Nala to Sironcha Rai in Sironcha Forest Division Ta : Sironcha Dist : Gadchiroli. |
| | Range : | Kamlapur,Pranhita, Jimalgatta, Bamni,Sironcha. & Jimalgata FDCM |
| | Round : | Rajeram,Kamlapur,Guddigudam,Marampalli, Jimalgatta,Bamni,Tekda,Rompalli,Bajurpalli, Karsapalli , Sironcha. |
| | Beat : | Timram,Golakarji,Repanpalli,Guddiguddam ,Nandigava -I,Marmpalli, Bamni, Pochampalli, Rompalli, Bajurpalli , Tekda, , Karsapalli, Sironcha. |
| | Village : | Nandigaon,Guddigudam,Timram S.,Golakarji, Chalewada, Tatiguddam, Repanpalli, Gundera, Govingaon, Damaramrith,Ummannoor S., Suddaguddam, Kamalpetha Raytwari, Bamni, Vankatapur, Garkapetha, Raipetha Urf Pochampalli, Viyampalli, Rangayapalli V.L.Amravti V.L., Karasapalli V.L., Bhogapur V.L., Naryanpur, Medaramrai Chak, Brahmanpalli Rai, Yercheru, Aadimattapur, Sironcha Mal, Sironcha Rai, |
| | Forest Compt. No. | FD Comptt. No. - KP179, KP178, KP175, KP174, KP172, KP173, KP148, KP147, KP146, KP145, PP192, PP191, PP190, PP189, PP187, PP186, PP183, PP182, PP181, PP180, PP205, JP253, JP331, SP009, SB004, SB005, SB006, SB008, SA045, SA046, SA047,SA048, SA049, SA050, SA051, SA044, SB009, SP018, SP020, SP022, SP021, SP022, SP012, SB013, SP023. & FDCM Com. No. 332,57,330,58, |
| 2 | Name of the User Agency. | Ministry of Road Transport and Highways through NH Division of PWD Gadchiroli |
| 3 | Date of site inspection. | 29.09.2021 |
| 4 | Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. | 87.342 ha. Reserved Forests & 44.76 ha. Protected Forest |
| 5 | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. | Attached on Page No. 366 to 368 |
| | b) Density and Eco-value class. | The density is 0.8 Eco class-III, |
| 6 | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. | Yes. |
| 7 | Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. | No |

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 8 | a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. | Not |
| | b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. | No. |
| | c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). | Pranhita wild life Sanctuary is 0.00 km and Kolamarka 8.200 Km from the Proposed Area. No diversion of land is proposed in WLS |
| | d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. | Not sensitive |
| | e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: | No |
| 9 | Details of vegetation: | |
| | a) Total number of trees to be felled: | 21557 FD area & 810 FDCM area total -22387 trees |
| | b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: | 14251 |
| | c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: | 8136 |
| | d) Effect of removal of trees on the general eco-system in the area. | Loss of forest canopy density ,loss of N.T.F.P |
| 10 | Background note on the proposal (short summary). | Attached on page no. 266 to 271 |
| 11 | Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached. | No. |
| 12 | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government? | No. |
| 13 | Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable). | No |
| | Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable). | Not Applicable |
| 14 | Utility of the project. | The road is passing through LWE affected area of Gadchiroli District. This project will give boost to socio-economic development of the region and will increased employment potential so reduce the impacts of LWE |
| 15 | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion? | No. |
| 16 | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required). | No |
| 17 | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | Photographs enclosed in page no.- 297 to 298 |
| 18 | Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer | The diversion of minimum forest land is |

recommended for the following reasons

1. This road is lifeline of the district connecting Maharashtra and Telangana and widening is essential as the traffic has been substantially increased.
2. The road is passing through LWE affected area of Gadchiroli District. This project will give boost to socio-economic development of the region and will increased employment potential so reduce the impacts of LWE
3. Following conditions have has been proposed
 - 3.1 The underpasses having a minimum size of width 4.00 mt. and height 3.00 mt. shall be provided.
 - 3.2 Interval between such underpasses shall not be more than 2.00 Km.
 - 3.3 The User Agency may modify the dimensions and interval of the underpasses, in exceptional cases, due to technical limitations (eg. Terrain factors, engineering standards) after visiting the site and recording reasons /facts.
 - 3.4 wherever natural cross drainage works are in existence, then there would be no need to construct new underpass subject to existing structure nearly complying above mentioned dimensions, as suggested in para 3.1
 - 3.5 wherever natural cross drainage works are in existence but as per engineering requirement, it is required to reconstruct then that structure shall comply with the minimum dimensions as suggested in para 3.1
- 4 The Sironcha Forest Division sheres boundary with Chhatisgarh and Telangana State. The are is Prone to Teak Smuggling Following Conditions is Proposed.

| S. No | Particulars | Nos | Rate | total Amount | Remark |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-----|---------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Construction of new Forest Check Post | 3 | 2500000 | 7500000 | For controlling / illicit teak smuggling |
| 2 | Four wheeler vehicle | 2 | 1500000 | 3000000 | For monitoring / inspection of proposed road works |
| Total | | | | 10500000 | |

Place:-Sironcha
Date:- 18 .01.2022



(Sumit Kumar)

Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Sironcha Forest Division, Sironcha

