Check List for site inspection by the DFO/CF/CCF:

At Present the site inspection report submitted by the DCF Level is very sketchy and does not convey much to higher officers going for verification of the facts in the field monitoring of the project on a Later date as mandated in the guidelines. This is Possible only when exhaustive check is carried out at the DCF/DFO level. This would help the higher authorities to verify the facts to arrive at an appropriate decision.

| Si. No. | Particular | Inspection Rep | ort By DFO (T) |
|---------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | Extent In hectares. | | |
| | | · P-215 | 2.1171 |
| | | P-214 | 2.1600 |
| | | P-19 | 2.1038 |
| | | P-18 | 3.4263 |
| | | P-06 P-340 | 2.8215 |
| | | P-346 | 2.1499 4.4533 |
| | | P-347 | 1.1642 |
| | THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE | P-348 | 0.8380 |
| | | P-385 | 0.702 |
| | | P-411 | 4:1951 |
| | | P-430 | 0.530 |
| | The second secon | P-410 | 2.1982 |
| | The state of the s | P-429 P-428 | 3.7207 |
| | | P-428 P-441 | 4.0071 |
| | | F-441 | 2.5647 39.1519 |
| | | | 39.1319 |
| | Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land | | |
| | proposed for diversion | The GPS Reading Map I Face | |
| 3. | Legal Status Of the Forest Land | | |
| | (Protected Forest, Reserved Forest, | PF Forest | |
| | Revenue Forest Land or Any Other | | |
| | | ** | |
| | Forest Land) | | |
| 4. | Demarcation Of the Area With | The area will be well demarcated by the boundary pillars and the temporary claims will be settled by the user agency | |
| | Temporary Claims etc. | | |
| 5. | | | |
| | Any Signs Of Encroachment | No | |
| 6. | Any activity already taken up within the | | |
| | Forest Land or Adjoining non Forest | No | |
| | Land as Part of the Proposed Project by | | |
| | | | |
| | the user Agency. Details of Action taken | | |
| | Against the user Agency In case of | | |
| | violation of the FC act and guideline | | |
| | there under. | | |
| 7. | Status of Vegetation, site Quality, | Vegetation - Teak | and Mixed forest |
| | | Site Quality –Va, Vb Density 0.1 to 0.5 Species - Teak, Seja, Tendoo, Palas and others | |
| | Species Composition etc. | | |
| | Importance of area from wildlife point of | -p-1112 roan, coja, ror | accit alac and others |
| 0. | | | |
| | view, status of wildlife (Density and | Jackal | 23 |
| | abundance of important Species, bird | Striped hyaena | 09 |
| | life reptiles, butterflies and other | Blue bull | 39 |
| | scheduled animals, any endangered | Indian wild boar | 32 |
| | wildlife) Any Latest Consum of wildlife | Total | 103 |
| | wildlife). Any Latest Census of wildlife | | |
| | in this area. | | |
| 9. | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other | | |
| | unique ecosystem in the area. | No | |
| 0. | Current Land use, in this area managed | | |
| 10. | | RDF, IWC, Plantation and Encroachment Working Circle | |
| | as per prescription in the working plan | | |
| | and if not why? | | |

| 11. | Importance of the area from historical or | | |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | religious point of view. | No | |
| 12. | Any dependent persons/families on this land | No | |
| 13. | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No | |
| 14. | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | No | |
| 15. | Compensatory afforestation proposed on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms. | Compensatory afforestation has been proposed on forest land compartment number P-301, and P-451 north division panna | |
| 16. | proposed area should not be part of any protected area also distance from the boundary of the forest protected area should be more than 10 kms. | The Proposed area is Not a part of the protected Forest but falls within the 10 KM. Radius of Panna Tiger reserve and Gangau Sanctuary and Buffer Zone. | |
| 17. | Dependence of tribal's in the area Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area. | . No | |
| 18. | Utility of the project, including the people living close vicinity of the project. | The project will generate Electric line facilities which in turn will be beneficial for the people living close vicinity of the project | |
| 19. | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | Not applicable. | |
| 20. | Alternative examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Not applicable. | |
| 21. | A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. | Has been given by the user agency. | |
| 22. | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | Plantation will be done on double the degraded forest land of the affected forest land in the plan | |
| 23. | Any other issue of significance. | None. | |
| 24. | Specific recommendation of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | The applied project for forest land diversion is recommended | |

Place: Panna Date: 28.05.2022

> Divisional Forest Officer North Division Panna (M.P.)