
संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

सागर जिले में प्रस्तावित बहरोल से बण्डा मार्ग की सीमा में ओ.एफ.सी. केबिल हेतु आवेदित वनमूमि रकवा 0.123 है. कक्ष कमांक पी.एफ. 194, आर.एफ. 207 (वन परिक्षेत्र बांदरी) की वनमूमि का वन परिक्षेत्र अधिकारी बांदरी ने दिनांक 19.12.2018 एवं कक्ष कमांक पी.एफ. 277, आर.एफ. 258 (वन परिक्षेत्र उत्तर सागर) की वनमूमि का वन परिक्षेत्र अधिकारी उत्तर सागर ने दिनांक 08.12.2018 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, निरीक्षण में पायी गयी स्थिति अनुसार चेकलिस्ट में उल्लेखित बिन्दुओं की जानकारी निम्नानुसार है :—

CT			
SI. No.	Pariticular	Inspection Report by DFO (T.)	
1	Extent is hectares.	0.123 hac.	
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	According to Attached Panchanama.	
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forest,	RF - 207, 258 (Reserved Forest), PF - 194,	
	revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	277 (Protected Forest)	
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes	
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No	
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or	No	
	adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the		
	user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in		
	case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.		
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Mixed Forest, IV-B, VA density 0.1 to 0.3	
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of	Area has no importance from wildlife point of	
	wildlife (density and aboundance of important species, bird life	view.	
	reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any		
	endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.		
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Not applicable	
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why?	No	
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of	Area has no importance from historical or	
	view.	religious point of view.	
12	Any dependent persons/families on the land.	No, Persons/Families are dependent on the	
		proposed area.	
13	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement proposed.	
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the	As no peersons/families are dependent on the	
	persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the	proposed area, therefore no resettelment and	
	persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the	rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no	
	persons proposed to be displaced?	dissenting voice.	



1.5	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-	Not applicable
15	forest land.	Νοι αρμικασίε
	Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the	
	degraded forest land then what is the curent Working Plan	
	prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for	•
	CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the	•
	area should be more than to kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also	Proposed area is not a part of protected area.
	distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should	Proposed area is at above 80 kms from Panna
	be more than 10 kms.	Tiger Reserve.
17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the	No
	tribals have been recognized in the area.	
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close	Project is of great importance from national
	vicinity of the project.	point of view and also for Provided the Better
		Communication Facilities including generation
		of employement to those living in close
		vicinity of the project.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest
	earlier sanction order have been complied with.	land.
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site	Not applicable
	specific projects.	
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested	Yes
	for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the	No
	purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not	
	adversely affected.	
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for	To provide better Communication Facilities in
	approval of the project.	Distt. Sagar. It is recommended to divert 0.123
		hac. Minimum forest land affected in Laying of
		OFC line project.

Divisional Forest Officer North Sagar Forest Division