	Site Inspection Report					
C	State serial No. of Proposal FP/MH/MIN/14551					
Sr. No	Items	Observation and remarks				
1	Name of the project and location	a) Diversion of 146.996 ha forest area in village Pardi, Sawali, Ruikot of Zari Jamni Taluka of Yevatmal District, Maharashtra by Ms/ Yazdani International Private Limited, Bhubneswar for mining of coal from Marki Mangli II block given by Government of India, Ministry of Coal for mining b) Village: Pardi, Sawali, Ruikot. c) Range: Mukutban. d) Round: Bendala				
	Forest Compt. No.	e) Beat :  0.4 to 0.6  Eco-class III Tropical Dry Deciduous Forest Moderately Dense: 58.686 ha FDCM +: 60.392 ha FD. Total: 119.078  Open Forest: 8.777 +15.992+1.816+1.303= 27.918 ha Total: 146.996  A Class RF Com no. 20A ( Part) Village Pardi 60.392 ha (Moderately Dense) A Class RF Com no. 20B ( Part ) Village Pardi 58.686 ha ( Moderately dense) C Class RF Com no. C-32A village Sawli S no. 9,10 Area 10.18 ha ( Open Forest) Unclass forest S.no. 1 ( part) village Ruikot 17. 225 ha (Open forest)  Eco-class III Tropical Dry Decisions Free 1				
2	Name of the User Agency.	Eco-class III Tropical Dry Decisions Forests MS/ Yazdani International Private Limited				
3 4	Date of site inspection.  Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion.	18/08/2022  146.996 ha 0.4 to 0.6  Eco-class III Tropical Dry Deciduous Forest Moderately Dense: 58.686 ha FDCM +: 60.392 ha FD. Total: 119.078  Open Forest: 8.777 +15.992+1.816+1.303= 27.918 ha Total: 146.996  A Class RF Com no. 20A ( Part) Village Pardi 60.392 ha (Moderately Dense) A Class RF Com no. 20B ( Part ) Village Pardi 58.686 ha ( Moderately dense) C Class RF Com no. C-32A village Sawli S no. 9,10 Area 10.18 ha ( Open Forest) Unclass forest S.no. 1 ( part) village Ruikot 17.225 ha (Open forest) Eco-class III Tropical Dry Decisions Forests				

	5	a) Details of forest land		For	est Area St	atement o	f Marki Mang	li-II Coal	Black
		proposed for diversion and					Forest A	rea	DIOCK
		activity-wise break-up of forest land.	Sr. No.		Comp. No.	Gut No.		Total Area in Ha.	Legal Status
		b) Density and Eco-value class	. 1	Pardi	20A, 20B, 19	12	1190780.000	119.078	RF
				Pardi	Total.	Area	1190780.000	119.078	
			2	Sawali	C32A	9	88770.000	8.877	RF
				Sawali	C32A	10P	18160.000	1.816	RF
				Sawali	Total.	Area	106930.000	10.693	
			3	Ruikot		1 Part	172250.000	17.225	Un Class Forest
				Ruikot	Total .	Area	172250.000	17.225	
			0.4	to 0.6			1469960.000	146.996	
			1		III T	1.0	-		
			LCC	)-class	III I rop	ical Dr	y Deciduou	s Fores	st
			IVIO	derate	ly Dens	<b>e</b> : 58.6	86 ha FD	CM +:	60.392 ha
			ID.	l otal:	119.07	8			
			Op	en For	est: 8.77	7 + 15.9	992+1.816+	-1.303=	= 27.918 ha
i.	6	Whathaut	101	al: 146	.996.		•		
)	0	Whether the requirement of forest	Yes						
		land as proposed by the User							
		Agency in col.2 of part-I is							
		unavoidable and barest minimum for							
		the project. If no, recommended area							
		item-wise with details of alternative							
		examined.							
	7	Whether the proposal involves any	No						
		construction of building (including	cluding						
		residential) or not? If yes, details							
		thereof.							
	8	a) Whether forest area proposed	Vog the mile to						
		for diversion is important	Yes, the project does not envisage use of land						
		from wildlife point of view	in National Park or Wildlife Sanctuary and nor						
		or not.	it is a part of ESZ. The project location does						
			not form covered under 32 major tiger corridors in the country published nor it is par						
of WII publication Tiger corridor of				it is pa	ırı				
Vidarbha L			Vidarbha Landscape .						
		is location however is covered under Approved							
			Tige	r Cons	ervation	Plan	of Tal-1	under	Approved
			Tiger Conservation Plan of Tadol Reserve and Section 38 (O) I (g)				(O) T (	Andr	nari Tiger
			provi	ides fo	ir the	л 30 ісот	(U) 1 (g) (	or the	said Act,
			ecolo	oically	uic (	iger co	nservation	plan	to ensure
			nroto	cted a	roos	Tote lai	nd uses in a	reas li	nking one
			there	fora ==	icas or	uger	reserve wi	ith and	other and
Separate proposal 6		ed to recommendations of NWLB.							
			Separate proposal for obtaining recommendations of NWLB has been submitted by user agency.						
	8	b) Details of any rare or	INVVI	D nas	been sub	mitted	by user age	encv	
		endangered or unique species	As per Working Plan (Annexure -1)						
		of flora and fauna found in							
		nronosed forest land I.C.							
L		proposed forest land. If so,							

	the details thereof.				
		001			
	c) Aerial distance from the	28 km from nearest wildlife sanctuary Tipeshwar in			
	nearest boundary of any	Pandharkawada Division of Yevatmal District.			
	Protected Area (km).				
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of	Area is sensitive from Wildlife point of view as unsustainable mining will have impact on			
	the forest area likely to be				
	affected due to project.	connectivity.			
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation	Yes, mitigation plan is essentially required as			
	plan is required? If yes,	existence of schedule species especially tiger has been			
	reasons therefore:	noticed in losse area and area is a real to the first terms been			
	and the following the followin	noticed in lease area and area is covered under Tiger			
9	Details of vegetation:	Conservation Plan of Tadoba Andhari TR			
		113425			
	a) Total number of trees to be	Below-60 Above-60			
	felled:	FDCM Territorial 1446 2309			
	b) Number of trees to be felled	28036 38508 933 1727			
	of girth below 60 cm:	8325 20519 565 648 365 427			
	c) Number of trees to be felled	3082 5524 227 176			
	of girth above 60 cm:	39443 64551 142 107 204 155			
	d) Effect of removal of trees on	103004 3882 5549			
	the general eco-system in the				
	area.	of the state of th			
		project land is reclaimed and adjoining tree cover is			
10	Pookaround note and the	increased.			
10	Background note on the proposal	Project is for mining of coal from Coal Block auctioned			
	(short summary).	by GOI from 339.467 lease area in village Pardi, Sawali.			
-		Ruikot and Mukutban having 146.996 forest land.			
		Proposal is moved under FC Act 1980 for diversion of			
		forests and since the location falls in Tiger Conservation			
		Plan proposal for obtaining recommendations of NWLB			
		has been moved by user agency.			
		and agency.			
11	Whether the proposal involves any	No.			
	violation of Forest (Conservation)	110.			
	Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report				
	on violation including action taken				
	against the concerned off side to				
	against the concerned officials to be attached.				
10					
12	Whether the proposal involves	No.			
	rehabilitation of displaced person.				
	If yes, whether rehabilitation plan				
	has been approved by the State				
	Government?				
13	Details on catchment and	No			
	culturable command area under				
	the project (if applicable).				
	Status of oatchment and				
	Status of catchment area treatment	NA.			
	plan to prevent siltation of				
	reservoir (if applicable).				
14	Utility of the project	<ul> <li>Project involves mining of important fossil fuel</li> </ul>			
		required for the development result for the			
		required for the development of ancillary			

<ul> <li>Create employment potential in the most of the habitants are small laborers and depend on the for With the availability of employment the adjoining forest is Likely to substantial extent.</li> <li>Government exchequer will be been contribution through Royalty/ Ces and other revenue to the State Gove</li> <li>Overall socio-economic deve envisaged through company's towards Corporate Social Responsibility</li> </ul>	I farmers and rest resources, ent pressure on be reduced to nefited through ess/ Sales Tax	
most of the habitants are small laborers and depend on the for With the availability of employment the adjoining forest is Likely to substantial extent.  Government exchequer will be been contribution through Royalty/ Cest and other revenue to the State Gove  Overall socio-economic deve envisaged through company's	I farmers and rest resources, ent pressure on be reduced to nefited through ess/ Sales Tax ernment.	
<ul> <li>contribution through Royalty/ Ces and other revenue to the State Gove</li> <li>Overall socio-economic deve envisaged through company's</li> </ul>	ess/ Sales Tax ernment.	
envisaged through company's	elonment is	
	contribution	
Mine void could be the source of more agriculture land around addit the farmers	irrigation for	
Company's contribution towards conservation by way of Wildlife Plan.	s wild life Conservation	
.15 Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?		
Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required).		
agency is furnished in the proposal. Photogra	Wildlife Mitigation Measure Plan prepared by user agency is furnished in the proposal. Photographs are attached	
Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer. (CCF/CF/DCF)  Background of Recommendations –  A) As per Office Record		
For the following reasons and conditions		
a. Project location does not fall in NP/W		
b. Project location is not a part if corridor of GOI	32 notified	

- c. Project area is not a part of Tiger Corridor notified by WII in their publication "Wildlife Atlas of Vidrabha Landscape"
- d. This location however is covered under Approved Tiger Conservation Plan of Tadoba Andhari Tiger Reserve and Section 38 V (3) (b) of the said Act, provides for the tiger conservation plan to ensure ecologically compatible land uses in areas linking one protected areas or tiger reserve with another and therefore need to recommendations of NWLB.
- e. Proposed mining lease area is more than 28 km from the sensitive core boundary of Tipesshwar WLS and
- f. User agency has already submitted separate proposal under section 38 (O)(G) of WLP 1972 for obtaining Clarence from NBWL.

## B. As per field inspection

g. Area involved in this project have Schedule I species as well as many other Wildlife.

Keeping in view the above documents and observations the project is recommended for approval the following reasons-

- i) Project is site specific as mine is vested by
  Government of India. Coal is the important
  and prime source of energy and mining is in
  the interest of infrastructure development of
  India.
- ii) Project will create of additional employment opportunities which will reduce the pressure on adjoining forest and wildlife

This recommendations are however subject to -

- a. Clearance under section 38(o), (g) 1 of Wildlife (Protection ) Act 1972 from NWLB.
- b. Project should have following mitigation measures -

1)	No Mining/ No blasting/ No transport allowed from 6 pm to 6 am.
2)	Mining lease boundary falling in corridor section will be fenced with 6-8 feet chain link fencing to avoid incident of trapping of wild life.
3)	7.5 meter safety zone around lease boundary within lease area will be developed as green belt for safety of the wild life from dust/ noise and vibrations. Plantation of additional plants of native species should be resorted to.
4)	Separate nursery of saplings of native flora should be created for plantation of the area on vacant spaces.
5)	Control Blasting with minimum duration during day time with keeping record for inspection for the forest staff.
6)	Water sprinkling during mining/ haul roads and approach road.
7)	Strict compliances of mitigation measures suggested in Mine Closure Plans, Wild-Life

(Signature)

Place: Pandharkawada Dated: - 25/1/2023 Kiran Jagtap (IFS)
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Pandharkawda Division, Pandharkawada

Mitigation Plan and conditions of Environment Clearance and NBWL

Conservator or Foresta
(Territorial) Yavatmai